INTANGIBLE ASSET VALUATION APPRAISAL SPECIALTY

REPORT REVIEW CHECKLIST

Dear Candidate,

This checklist provides specific criteria for evaluating intangible asset appraisal reports submitted for the advancement procedure for obtaining the Business Valuation Intangible Asset Appraisal Specialty. The Candidate and the intangible asset report examiner (“Examiner”) use this checklist to evaluate and document the appraisal report submitted by a candidate. The report and this checklist will be submitted to the ASA Board of Examiners. For purposes of demonstrating your appraisal expertise, we suggest that you select appraisals that include many of the elements covered in this checklist.

As a large share of intangible asset valuations are performed for financial reporting, many elements in this checklist related to valuations prepared for financial reporting. Reports prepared for other purposes (tax reporting for transfer pricing, litigation, other) will also be accepted for examination.

Certain items are identified as “Pass/Fail” items. Any report that fails to pass one of these particular elements will be rejected immediately, regardless of the quality of the rest of the report. Other elements on the checklist are typically important but may not be required or appropriate for every appraisal report.

To satisfy the report review criteria, the report submitted must be a comprehensive intangible asset valuation report. For financial reporting reports, the report should include at least one intangible asset valued using a multi-period excess earnings method (MPEEM). If an MPEEM is not employed, the report should include language discussing why this method was not employed.

The ASA prefers that the submitted report be an actual report prepared for an actual client. It is required that the Candidate be the primary preparer (substantially responsible) of the report. The ASA recognizes that a Candidate may work in a practice area or for a company or government agency where there is a policy or firm report format that specifically precludes the inclusion of one or more of the pass/fail items noted below in appraisal reports. In such a case, the Candidate is allowed to expand the original report to make it a demonstration report. A demonstration report is a report prepared by the Candidate, for an actual client, that has been expanded to include items required by the ASA that were not included in the original report because of a firm policy. If a Candidate submits a demonstration report, then he or she must clearly indicate that it is a demonstration report and state why a demonstration report is being submitted, including the company policies that limited the completeness of the actual report.

The comment section at the end of this checklist is to be completed by the Examiner. This section provides the candidate with targeted feedback on issues of importance to the Examiner. Therefore, the comment section of this checklist is an integral part of the evaluation process. Any comments should be studied closely by the Candidate.
Candidates are required to fill out page references for each item listed in this checklist. If any element on the checklist is not applicable to your report, please indicate by writing “n/a.” (Please note that the graders reserve the right to make the final determination as to whether any particular component is applicable.)

This checklist is applicable only to the ASA advancement process and is not intended to be used for any other purpose.

### BACKGROUND INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of entity holding intangible asset(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name and standing of party hiring the appraiser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Dates</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effective valuation date ____________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date report prepared ________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose of Appraisal</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the purpose of the appraisal clearly stated? (Circle the factors considered—ASC 805, IFRS 3, transfer pricing, other tax valuation, litigation project, financing, other.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the standard (definition) of value appropriate to the stated use of the appraisal?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition of Value</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definition of standard of value provided.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard of value well defined. (Circle the factors considered—fair value, fair market value, investment value, intrinsic value, other)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Source/authority of definition for *standard of value* provided. *(Circle the factors considered—financial reporting, tax reporting, litigation, other)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source/authority</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard of value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Transaction Background (If Purchase Price Allocation Report)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form of transaction - acquisition of stock (subchapter C), stock (subchapter S) or assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If an acquisition of stock, is the type of entity acquired described (e.g., C corporation, S corporation, LLC or partnership)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the rationale for the transaction discussed? Type of transaction - Is buyer strategic or financial buyer?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of consideration paid and valuation of each element of consideration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did transaction include contingent consideration? If so, was this valued using a reasonable methodology?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Subject Company Basic Information

- History of company
- Description of products/services
- Description of the company’s markets (industry and/or geographical)
- Description of competitive situation faced by the company

### Sources of Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sources of information—List the sources of information used to prepare the report.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site visit(s) - Describe the nature of the site visit.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Management interviews - Describe the nature/extent of the management interview

Company Financial Statements

Pass/Fail - Income statement—Company’s historical income statements presented in report or in exhibits with sufficient detail and history to assess for possible adjustments. (If missing, an explanation of its absence should be included.)

Balance sheet—Company’s most recent balance sheet presented in report or in exhibits with sufficient detail.

INTANGIBLE ASSET IDENTIFICATION

Is there adequate description of the process for identifying intangible assets?

Pass/Fail - Do the intangible assets identified appear appropriate?

Were there any apparent limitations on the intangible assets appraised?

Do intangible assets valued reflect market participant considerations?

VALUATION METHODOLOGY

Overall Valuation Methodology

Are the various valuation methodologies explained?

Pass/Fail - Are the valuation methodologies applied to the various intangible assets appropriate given the characteristics of each intangible asset?
**DISCOUNT RATE(S)**

______  Is the estimated Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) reasonable? Is the approach used to develop the WACC appropriate for the stage of development of the acquired company?

______  Is an Internal Rate of Return (IRR) calculated in the report?

______  Is a Weighted Average Return on Assets (WARA) presented? Does the WARA reconcile to the WACC or IRR? Are any material differences adequately explained?

______  Does the selection of the WACC or IRR as the basis for discount rate estimates appear reasonable?

______  Sources of earnings or cash flow growth projections for subject (who prepared, for what purpose, what adjustments did the appraiser make, other)

______  Are the relationships of discount rates for different assets of the business enterprise reasonable?

**ARE THE DATA USED APPROPRIATE FOR THE VALUATION DATE?**

*Cost of Capital Data—If the build-up or CAPM procedure is used:*

______  Is the risk-free rate as of the effective valuation date?

______  Are other components, such as equity risk premium, current as of the year of valuation?

______  Is the equity risk premium appropriate for the method used?

______  Is the adjustment for company and industry specific factors adequately explained?

______  Is the size premium appropriately selected and explained?
MULTI-PERIOD EXCESS EARNINGS METHOD

_______  Are market participant synergies appropriately included in the valuation analysis?

_______  Pass Fail  Pass/Fail  Are any income statement adjustments reasonable (R&D adjustments for valuation of technology, sales and marketing expense adjustments for valuation of existing customers, other)?

_______  Are the income statement adjustments adequately supported?

_______  Have other financial statement adjustments been made and justified to “normalize” the company’s historical financial performance?

_______  If customer relationships are being valued, is an estimate for potential loss of customers included and explained? Is the estimated rate of loss reasonable?

_______  If technology is valued using the MPEEM, is the economic life and obsolescence estimate for the technology explained in the report? Does the estimate appear reasonable?

_______  Are contributory asset charge calculations reasonable (appropriate assets, asset balances, rate of return assumptions, revenue bases, other)?

RELIEF FROM ROYALTY METHOD

_______  Pass Fail  Pass/Fail  Is the relief from royalty method applied for appropriate assets?

_______  Is the royalty rate reasonable? Is the royalty rate based on licensing transactions, a profit split method or both?

_______  Is the discount rate selected appropriate?

_______  Are the discount rate and cash flow amount consistent (i.e., post tax cash flows and post tax discount rate)?
Is a tax amortization benefit (TAB) included? Is the calculation reasonable?

**COST APPROACH**

Pass Fail Is the cost approach used to value appropriate assets?

Are the cost estimates developed reasonable and explained in sufficient detail?

Pass Fail If a TAB is included, are the costs to create tax-effected? If a TAB is not added, the valuation should reflect pretax costs.

Is an adjustment for obsolescence discussed in the report? Does an adjustment appear appropriate?

**OTHER**

Pass Fail **Pass/Fail** - Is tax amortization benefits (TAB) included appropriately?

Do the assumptions in the TAB calculations appear reasonable (tax rate, discount rate, term of amortization period, other)?

Were any liabilities valued by the appraiser?

If attrition is appropriate for the valuation of customers or another asset, is the basis for the estimate explained? Does the estimate appear reasonable?

Is the assembled work force valued? Does the valuation appear reasonable?
OTHER REPORT ELEMENTS

APPRAISER’S QUALIFICATIONS

Experience and Professional Involvement

________
Length and type of experience

________
Education

APPRAISER’S CERTIFICATION

________ Pass Fail Pass/Fail - Signed statement of disinterestedness (or disclosure of interest) included.

________ Pass Fail Pass/Fail - Conformance (or lack of conformance) to any professional standards (e.g., USPAP, ASA BV Standards, etc.) explained.

________ Pass Fail Pass/Fail - Statement is included that compensation is not contingent on value reported or on any predetermined value.

________ Pass Fail Pass/Fail - Statement included that no person other than those identified had any significant professional input.

________ Pass Fail Pass/Fail - Statement included that, to the best of our knowledge, all statements are true and correct.
### STATEMENT OF CONTINGENT AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pass/Fail* - Statement included that the opinion of value is only for the stated effective valuation date and only for the stated valuation purpose.

Statement included listing reliance on data supplied by others without independent verification (the typical report will have a lengthy list of assumptions and limiting conditions the examiner should be aware of).

Are the listed assumptions and limiting conditions appropriate for the engagement?

### OVERALL EVALUATION

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is the report understandable to the reader?

If the report and listed data sources were provided to another competent appraiser, could key data provided be independently checked for accuracy and thoroughness?

Is there replicability? Could a competent appraiser follow the thought process leading to the conclusion(s) (not necessarily agree with the conclusion(s), but be able to understand basis)?

Is the appraisal methodology appropriate for the purpose of the appraisal, the relevant standard of value?

Is the report internally consistent (e.g., nothing in one place that seems to contradict something else)?

Is the report comprehensive (e.g., does it do everything it promises to do; are all the topics included that seem necessary to support the conclusions reached)?

Are there any obvious omissions in the report?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Does the report logically lead to convincing support for the conclusions reached?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is the professional format acceptable (spelling, grammar, layout)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_____</td>
<td>Pass/Fail - Signature included. Also, if original report was signed by two or more individuals, then a signed statement from the other appraiser(s) is required stating that the report was primarily the work product of the Candidate. Only one person/Candidate can claim to be the primary preparer of a given report.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Candidate’s Name: ____________________________________________________________

Name of the Report: __________________________________________________________

Date of the Report: __________________________________________________________

**REPORT EXAMINATION SCORING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Items Passed</th>
<th># Items Failed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXAMINER COMMENTS**

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________