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The International Valuation Standards Council (IVSC) is an independent, not-for-profit organisation committed to advancing quality in the valuation profession. Our primary objective is to build confidence and public trust in valuation by producing transparent and consistent standards and securing their universal adoption and implementation for the valuation of assets across the world. We believe that International Valuation Standards (IVS) are a fundamental part of the financial system, along with high levels of professionalism in applying them.

Valuations are widely used and relied upon in financial and other markets and other settings, whether for inclusion in financial statements, for regulatory compliance or to support secured lending and transactional activity.

The International Valuation Standards (IVS) are standards for undertaking valuation assignments using generally recognised concepts and principles that promote transparency and consistency in valuation practice. The IVSC also promotes leading practice approaches for conduct and competency for professional valuers.

The IVSC Standards Board is the body responsible for setting the IVS. The Board has autonomy in the development of its agenda and approval of its publications.

In developing the IVS, the Board:

- follows established due process in the development of any new standard, including consultation with stakeholders (valuers, users of valuation services, regulators, valuation professional organisations, etc.) and public exposure of all new standards or material alterations to existing standards;
- liaises with other bodies that have a standard-setting function in the financial markets;
- conducts outreach activities including round-table discussions with invited constituents and targeted discussions with specific users or user groups.

The objective of the IVS is to increase the confidence and trust of users of valuation services by establishing transparent and consistent valuation practices. A standard will do one or more of the following:

- identify or develop globally accepted principles and definitions;
- identify and promulgate considerations for the undertaking of valuation assignments and the reporting of valuations;
- identify specific matters that require consideration and methods commonly used for valuing different types of assets or liabilities.

The purpose of IVS is to promote and maintain a high level of public trust in valuation practice. As such, they establish appropriate global requirements for valuations that apply both to the parties involved in the process and to those who oversee this process.
The IVS consist of mandatory requirements that must be followed in order to state that a valuation was performed in compliance with the IVS. Certain aspects of the standards do not direct or mandate any particular course of action, but provide fundamental principles and concepts that must be considered in undertaking a valuation.

The IVSC Standards Boards have taken into account the following core principles when drafting the International Valuation Standards:

Core Principles of Valuation Standard Setting – these principles have all been deleted.

Core Principles of Valuation have been revised and/or deleted and, where applicable, moved to IVS 101 Valuation Framework, Section 10 Valuer Principles.

IVS are international principle-based valuation standards. They outline a process that can be used in conjunction with other standards, laws, and regulations requiring a value.

IVS describe the valuation process, which may involve multiple parties (including specialists and service organisations). The valuer is ultimately responsible for the assertion of compliance with IVS.

IVS are drafted on the basis that valuers who use the standards are competent and have the requisite knowledge, skills, experience, training, and education to perform valuations. For the purposes of IVS, a valuer is defined as an individual, group of individuals or individual within an entity, regardless of whether employed (internal) or engaged (contracted/external), possessing the necessary qualifications, ability and experience to execute a valuation in an objective, unbiased, ethical and competent manner. In some jurisdictions, licensing is required before an entity or an individual can act as a valuer (see IVSC Code of Ethical Principles for Valuers).

The use of IVS can either be mandated or voluntarily adopted by:

• a body having legal jurisdiction over the purpose for which the valuation is required, or
• a valuation professional organisation requiring their use by members for specific purposes, or
• agreement between the party requiring the valuation and a valuer.

Previous sections on IVS Framework, IVS General Standards and IVS Asset Standards have been deleted.

Section What is the Effective Date has been moved to IVS 100 Valuation Framework and has been retitled Effective Date.

Section on Future Changes to these Standards has been deleted.
Structure of International Valuation Standards (IVS)

International Valuation Standards are comprised of General Standards that are applicable across all valuations, and Asset Standards that relate to specific valuation disciplines. Appendices form part of International Valuation Standards and provide additional information for certain concepts articulated. In order to provide an IVS-compliant valuation, all IVS General Standards, Asset Standards and Appendices must be followed.

Core Principles of Valuation Standard Setting has been deleted.

Core Principles of Valuation have been revise. Paragraphs 1–3 have been incorporated into IVS 100 Valuation Framework. Paragraphs 4–14 have been deleted as these concepts are incorporated within IVS.

General Standards

General Standards apply to all valuations. The General Standards are structured as follows.

IVS 100 Valuation Framework
IVS 101 Scope of Work
IVS 102 Bases of Value
   Appendix: IVS-Defined Bases of Value
   Other Bases of Value
   Premise of Value
IVS 103 Valuation Approaches
   Appendix: Valuation Method
IVS 104 Data and Inputs
   Appendix: Environmental, Social and Governance Considerations
IVS 105 Valuation Models
IVS 106 Documentation and Reporting

Asset Standards

In addition to the requirements of the General Standards, Asset Standards apply to specific types of assets and liabilities as follows:

IVS 200 Businesses and Business Interests
IVS 210 Intangible Assets
IVS 220 Non-Financial Liabilities
IVS 230 Inventory
IVS 300 Plant, Equipment and Infrastructure
IVS 400 Real Property Interests
IVS 410 Development Property
IVS 500 Financial Instruments
10. **Overview of Glossary**

10.1 This glossary forms an integral part of the standards and defines certain terms used in *International Valuation Standards - IVS*. All glossary definitions are italicised.

10.2 This glossary is only applicable to the International Valuation Standards and does not attempt to define basic valuation, accounting or finance terms, as valuers are assumed to have an understanding of such terms (see definition of “valuer”).

20. **Defined Terms**

20.1 **10.01 Asset or Assets**

The right to an economic benefit.

To assist in the readability of the standards and to avoid repetition, the words “asset” and “assets” refer generally to items that might be subject to a valuation engagement. Unless otherwise specified in the standard, these terms can be considered to mean “asset, group of assets and liabilities”.

20.2 **10.02 Automated Valuation Model (AVM)**

A type of model that provides an automated calculation for a specified asset at a specified date, using an algorithm or other calculation techniques without the valuer applying professional judgement over the model, including assessing, and selecting inputs or reviewing outputs.

20.3 **10.03 Basis (bases) of Value**

The fundamental premises on which the reported values are or will be based (examples are included in IVS 102 *Bases of Value*, section 10) see IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, para 10.1) (in some jurisdictions also known as standard of value).

20.4 **10.04 Client(s)**

The word “client” refers to the person, persons or entity for whom the valuation is performed who engages the valuer for a given valuation. “Clients” may be internal (ie, valuations performed for an employer) or external (ie, when the valuer is engaged by a third-party (clients, ie, when the valuer is engaged by a third party client) as well as internal clients (ie, valuations performed for an employer).
**Glossary**

**10.05 Cost(s) (noun)**

The consideration or expenditure required to acquire or create an asset.

**10.06 Data**

Quantitative and qualitative information available to the valuer.

**10.07 Discount Rate(s)**

A rate of return used to convert a monetary sum, payable or receivable in the future, into a present value.

**10.08 Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG)**

The criteria that together establish the framework for assessing the impact of the sustainability and ethical practices, financial performance or operations of a company, asset or liability. ESG comprises three pillars: Environmental, Social and Governance, all of which may collectively impact performance, the wider markets and society.

**10.09 Equitable Value**

This is the estimated price for the transfer of an asset or liability between identified knowledgeable and willing parties that reflects the respective interests of those parties.

**10.10 Fair Market Value**

1. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) defines “fair market value” as the price a willing buyer would pay a willing seller in a transaction on the open market.

2. For United States tax purposes, Regulation §20.2031.1 states: “The fair market value is the price at which the property would change hands between a willing buyer and a willing seller, neither being under any compulsion to buy or to sell and both having reasonable knowledge of relevant facts”

**10.11 Intangible Asset**

An identifiable non-monetary asset with no physical substance.
20.12 **Intended Use**

The reason(s) for which a value is developed as described in the scope of work. This is also known as intended purpose.

The use(s) of a valuer’s reported valuation or valuation review results, as identified by the valuer based on communication with the client.

20.13 **Intended User**

The client and any other party as identified by the client and valuer in the scope of work as a name or type, as users of the valuation or valuation review report by the valuer based on communication with client.

20.14 **Investment Value**

The value of an asset to the owner or a prospective owner given individual investment or operational objectives. This may also be known as “worth”.

20.15 **Jurisdiction**

The word “jurisdiction” refers to the legal and regulatory environment in which a valuation engagement is performed. This generally includes laws and regulations set by governments (eg, country, state and municipal) and, depending on the purpose, rules set by certain regulators (eg, banking authorities and securities regulators).

20.16 **Liability**

The present obligation to transfer an economic benefit. A liability has the following two essential characteristics:

(a) it is a present obligation,

(b) the obligation requires an entity to transfer or otherwise provide economic benefits to others.

20.17 **Liquidation Value**

The gross amount that would be realised when an asset or group of assets are sold from a liquidation sale, with the seller being compelled to sell as of a specific date, on a piecemeal basis. Liquidation value should take into account the costs of getting the assets into saleable condition as well as those of disposal activity. Liquidation value can be determined under two different premises of value (see IVS 104 102 Bases of Value, Appendix A60 section 80):

(a) an orderly transaction with a typical marketing period, or

(b) a forced transaction with a shortened marketing period.
20.14 10.18 Market Value

The estimated amount for which an asset or liability should exchange on the valuation date between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm’s length transaction, after proper marketing and where the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion.

20.15 May-

The word “may” describes actions and procedures that valuers have a responsibility to consider. Matters described in this fashion require the valuer’s attention and understanding. How and whether the valuer implements these matters in the valuation engagement will depend on the exercise of professional judgement in the circumstances consistent with the objectives of the standards.

20.26 10.19 Must

Actions or procedures that are mandatory. The word “must” indicates an unconditional responsibility. The valuer must fulfil responsibilities of this type in all cases in which the circumstances exist to which the requirement applies.

10.20 Observable Data

Information that is readily available to market participants about actual events or transactions that are used in determining the value for the asset and/or liability.

20.17 Participant

The word “participant” refers to the relevant participants pursuant to the basis (or bases) of value used in a valuation engagement (see IVS 104 Bases of Value). Different bases of value require valuers to consider different perspectives, such as those of “market participants” (eg, market value, IFRS fair value) or a particular owner or prospective buyer (eg, investment value).

20.18 10.21 Price (noun)

The monetary or other consideration asked, offered or paid for an asset or to transfer a liability. Price and, which may be different from the value may be different.

20.19 Purpose

The word “purpose” refers to the reason(s) a valuation is performed. Common purposes include (but are not limited to) financial reporting, tax reporting, litigation support, transaction support, and to support secured lending decisions.

10.22 Professional Judgement

The use of accumulated knowledge and experience, as well as critical reasoning, to make an informed decision.
10.23 Professional Scepticism

Professional scepticism is an attitude that includes a questioning mind and critical assessment of valuation evidence.

10.24 Service Organisation

An entity (or segment of an entity) that provides information, reports or opinions including but not limited to providing market data, credit ratings or other services to support the valuation.

20.20 10.25 Should

The word “should” indicates responsibilities that are presumptively mandatory. The valuer must is expected to comply with requirements of this type unless the valuer can demonstrates that alternative actions which were followed under the circumstances were are sufficient, to achieve the objectives of the standards.

In the rate circumstances in which the valuer believes the objectives of the standard can be met by alternative means, the valuer must document why the indicated action was not deemed to be necessary and/or appropriate.

If a standard provides that the valuer “should” consider an action or procedure, consideration of the action or procedure is presumptively mandatory, while the action or procedure is not.

20.24 10.26 Significant and/or Material

Any aspect of a valuation which, in the professional judgement of the valuer, greatly impacts the resultant value. Assessing significance and materiality require professional judgement. However, that judgement should be made in the following context:

• Aspects of a valuation (including inputs, assumptions, special assumptions, and methods and approaches applied) are considered to be significant/material if their application and/ or impact on the valuation could reasonably be expected to influence the economic or other decisions of users of the valuation; and judgements about materiality are made in light of the overall valuation engagement and are affected by the size or nature of the subject asset.

• As used in these standards, “material/materiality” refers to materiality to the valuation engagement, which may be different from materiality considerations for other purposes, such as financial statements and their audits.

10.27 Specialist

An individual or group of individuals possessing technical skills, experience and knowledge required to perform or assist in the valuation or the review and challenge process. A specialist can be internally employed or externally engaged.
20.22 **Subject or Subject Asset**
These terms refer to the asset(s) valued in a particular valuation engagement.

20.23 **Synergistic Value**
The result of a combination of two or more assets or interests where the combined value is more than the sum of the separate values. If the synergies are only available to one specific buyer, then synergistic value will differ from market value, as the synergistic value will reflect particular attributes of an asset that are only of value to a specific purchaser. The added value above the aggregate of the respective interests is often referred to as marriage value.

10.29 **Tangible Asset**
A physical measurable asset such as, but not limited to, property, plant, and equipment.

20.24 **Valuation**
The act or process of determining an opinion or forming a conclusion of or on a value of an asset on a stated basis of value at a specified as of a valuation date that is prepared in compliance with IVS.

20.25 **Valuation Approach**
A generic term for the use of the cost, income or market approach. In general, a way of estimating value that employs one or more specific valuation methods (see IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods).

10.32 **Valuation Date**
The point in time to which the valuation applies.

20.26 **Valuation Method**
Within a valuation approaches, a specific way to estimate technique to conclude a value.

20.27 **Valuation Purpose or Purpose of Valuation**
See “Purpose”.

10.34 **Valuation Model**
A quantitative implementation of a method in whole or in part that converts inputs into outputs used in the development of a value.

10.35 **Valuation Process Review**
An analysis by the valuer to assess compliance with IVS or a component of IVS applicable as at a valuation date. This does not include an opinion on the value.
10.36 Valuation Review
A valuation review is either a valuation process review or a value review or both.

10.37 Valuation Risk
The possibility that the value is not appropriate for its intended use.

20.28 Valuation Reviewer
A “valuation reviewer” is a professional valuer engaged to review the work of another valuer. As part of a valuation review, that professional may perform certain valuation procedures and/or provide an opinion of value.

20.29 Value (noun)
The valuer’s quantitative conclusion on the results of a valuation process that is fully compliant with the requirements of IVS as of a valuation date.

The opinion resulting from a valuation process that is compliant with IVS. It is an estimate of either the most probable monetary consideration for an interest in an asset or the economic benefits of holding an interest in an asset on a stated basis of value.

20.30 Valuer
A “valuer is an individual, group of individuals or individual within an entity, regardless of whether employed (internal) or engaged (contracted/external), possessing the necessary qualifications, ability and experience to execute a valuation in an objective, unbiased, ethical and competent manner. In some jurisdictions, licensing is required before one can act as a valuer.

10.40 Value Review
An analysis by the valuer applying IVS to assess and provide an opinion on the value of another valuer’s work. This does not include an opinion on the valuation process.

20.31 Weight
The word “weight” refers to the amount of reliance placed on a particular indication of value in reaching a conclusion of value. (e.g. when a single method is used, it is afforded 100% weight).

20.32 Weighting
The word “weighting” refers to the process of analysing and reconciling differing indications of values, typically from different methods and/or approaches. This process does not include the averaging of valuations, which is not acceptable.

20.33 Worth
See investment value.
General Standards
Amendments to the IVS Framework are as follows:

Text which has not been changed or moved remains black. Where text has been moved from a different chapter or previous editions it appears in blue. New text is red. Deleted text is red and struck through (e.g., Departures).

Change of title of chapter from IVS Framework to IVS 100 Valuation Framework.

- Introduction Core Principles of Valuation paragraphs 1–3 have been revised and moved to paragraphs 10.1–10.3. Section 10.4 has been added retained.
- Section 20 has been added.
- Section 30 has been added.
- Parts of Section 40 have been moved from IVS Framework Departures and title changed to Compliance.
- Revised Section 40 from previous edition of IVS 102 Investigations and Compliance has been moved to Section 40 Compliance.
- Section 50 have been amended and moved from the Introduction section on “What is the Effective Date?”.
- Previous Section 20 on Assets and Liabilities, Section 30 on Valuer, Section 40 on Objectivity and Section 50 on Competence have been deleted.

### Contents

| Valuer Principles | 10 |
| Valuation Process Quality Control | 20 |
| Use of a Specialist or Service Organisation | 30 |
| Compliance | 40 |
| Effective Date | 50 |

General Standards apply to all assets and liabilities and are the starting point for any valuation. Asset Standards provide requirements in addition to the General Standards for specific types of assets and liabilities.

Compliance with IVS includes adherence to General Standards, applicable Asset Standards, and the Appendices.

In performing valuations, the valuer must comply with the Valuer Principles.
10. Valuer Principles

10.01 Ethics

The valuer must follow the ethical principles of integrity, objectivity, impartiality, confidentiality, competence, and professionalism to provide a non-biased valuation and to promote and preserve the public trust.

10.02 Competency

At the time the valuation is submitted, valuers must have the technical skills, and knowledge and experience required to appropriately complete the valuation assignment.

10.03 Compliance

The valuer must disclose or report that IVS were used for the valuation assignment and that they complied with those standards in performing the valuation.

10.04 Professional Scepticism

The valuer must apply an appropriate level of professional scepticism at every stage of the valuation.

20. Valuation Process Quality Control

20.01 There must be valuation process quality controls ("the controls") around the valuation process.

20.02 The controls help ensure that valuations are performed objectively, transparently, without bias and in compliance with IVS.

20.03 The extent of the controls should be determined having regard to the intended use, intended user, the asset and/or liability being valued and the complexity of the valuation.

20.04 The controls should assess the judgements made during the valuation including their reasonableness and freedom from bias in determining the value.

20.05 The controls should be documented. The documentation should contain sufficient detail to allow another valuer, applying professional judgement, to understand the effectiveness of the controls.

20.06 There should be periodic assessment of the controls to ensure that their integrity and completeness are appropriate as of the valuation date. The periodic assessment should be documented.

20.07 If the valuer is able to address valuation risk they may then perform monitoring procedures with respect to their own compliance and control policies and procedures.

20.08 The valuer should conclude that the level of valuation risk, subject to controls in place, is appropriate given the intended use, intended user, the characteristics of the asset or liability being valued and the complexity of the valuation.
30. **Use of a Specialist or Service Organisation**

30.01 If the *valuer* does not possess the necessary technical skills, experience, *data* or knowledge to perform all aspects of a *valuation*, it is acceptable for the *valuer* to seek assistance from a *specialist* or *service organisation*, providing this is agreed and disclosed.

30.02 Prior to using a *specialist* or *service organisation* the *valuer* must assess and document the knowledge, skill and ability of the *specialist* or *service organisation*. Relevant factors include but are not limited to:

(a) experience in the type of work performed,

(b) professional certification, licence, or professional accreditation of the *specialist* or *service organisation* in the relevant field,

(c) reputation and standing of the *specialist* or *service organisation* in the particular field.

30.03 When a *specialist* or *service organisation* is used, the *valuer* must obtain an understanding of the process and findings to establish a reasonable basis to rely on their work based on the *valuer’s professional judgment*.

40. **Compliance with Standards**

40.1 When a statement is made that a *valuation* will be, or has been, undertaken in accordance with IVS, it is implicit that the *valuation* has been prepared in compliance with all relevant standards issued by the IVSC.

40.02 IVS consist of mandatory requirements that *must* be followed in order to state that a *valuation* was performed in compliance with IVS 10.3. A *valuer* can only depart from an IVS standard as described in section 60 of this Framework.

40.03 Certain aspects of IVS do not direct or mandate any specific action but provide fundamental principles and concepts that *should* be considered in undertaking a *valuation*.

40.04 If legal, statutory, regulatory and/or other authoritative requirements appropriate for the purpose and *jurisdiction* of the *valuation* conflict with IVS, such requirements *should* be prioritised, explained, documented, and reported in order to remain compliant with IVS.

40.05 If there are any legal, statutory, and regulatory or other authoritative requirements that *significantly* affect the nature of the procedures performed, *inputs* and assumptions used, and/or *value(s)*, the *valuer* must also disclose the specific legislative, regulatory or other authoritative requirements and the *significant* ways in which they
40.06 Any other deviations would render the valuation not compliant with IVS.

40.07 For assets and/or liabilities that may fall within multiple Assets Standards (IVS 200 Businesses and Business Interests to IVS 500 Financial Instruments), the valuer should follow the General Standards and explain, justify and document which of the Asset Standard(s) were used. For example, both IVS 200 Businesses and Business Interests and IVS 500 Financial Instruments apply to some assets and/or liabilities.

40.08 In certain instances, the valuer may be asked to conduct a valuation review for compliance with IVS. In such instances, the valuer should comply with IVS and the applicable review framework as defined in the scope of work.

20. Assets and Liabilities

20.1 The standards can be applied to the valuation of both assets and liabilities and present and future claims on assets and liabilities. To assist the legibility of these standards, the words asset or assets have been defined to include liability or liabilities and groups of assets, liabilities, or assets and liabilities, except where it is expressly stated otherwise, or is clear from the context that liabilities are excluded.

30. Valuer

30.1 Valuer has been defined as “an individual, group of individuals, or individual within an entity, regardless of whether employed (internal) or engaged (contracted/external), possessing the necessary qualifications, ability and experience to undertake a valuation in an objective, unbiased, ethical and competent manner. In some jurisdictions, licensing is required before one can act as a valuer. Because a valuation reviewer must also be a valuer, to assist with the legibility of these standards, the term valuer includes valuation reviewers except where it is expressly stated otherwise, or is clear from the context that valuation reviewers are excluded.

40. Objectivity

40.1 The process of valuation requires the valuer to make impartial judgments as to the reliability of inputs and assumptions. For a valuation to be credible, it is important that those judgments are made in a way that promotes transparency and minimises the influence of any subjective factors on the process. Judgement used in a valuation must be applied objectively to avoid biased analyses, opinions and conclusions.

40.2 It is a fundamental expectation that, when applying these standards, appropriate controls and procedures are in place to ensure the necessary degree of objectivity in the valuation process so that
the results are free from bias. The IVSC Code of Ethical Principles for Professional Valuers provides an example of an appropriate framework for professional conduct.

50. Competence

50.1 Valuations must be prepared by an individual, group of individuals or individual within an entity, regardless of whether employed (internal) or engaged (contracted/external), possessing the necessary qualifications, ability and experience to execute a valuation in an objective, unbiased, ethical and competent manner and having the appropriate technical skills, experience and knowledge of the subject of the valuation, the market(s) in which it trades and the purpose of the valuation.

50.2 If a valuer does not possess all of the necessary technical skills, experience and knowledge to perform all aspects of a valuation, it is acceptable for the valuer to seek assistance from specialists in certain aspects of the overall assignment, providing this is disclosed in the scope of work (see IVS 101 Scope of Work) and the report (see IVS 103 Reporting).

50.3 The valuer must have the technical skills, experience and knowledge to understand, interpret and utilise the work of any specialists.

60. Departures

60.1 A “departure” is a circumstance where specific legislative, regulatory or other authoritative requirements must be followed that differ from some of the requirements within IVS. Departures are mandatory in that a valuer must comply with legislative, regulatory and other authoritative requirements appropriate to the purpose and jurisdiction of the valuation to be in compliance with IVS. A valuer may still state that the valuation was performed in accordance with IVS when there are departures in these circumstances.

60.2 The requirement to depart from IVS pursuant to legislative, regulatory or other authoritative requirements takes precedence over all other IVS requirements.

60.3 As required by IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.3 (n) and IVS 103 Reporting, para 10.2, the nature of any departures must be identified (for example, identifying that the valuation was performed in accordance with IVS and local tax regulations). If there are any departures that significantly affect the nature of the procedures performed, inputs and assumptions used, and/or valuation conclusion(s), a valuer must also disclose the specific legislative, regulatory or other authoritative requirements and the significant ways in which they differ from the requirements of IVS (for example, identifying that the relevant jurisdiction requires the use of only a market approach in a circumstance where IVS would indicate that the income approach should be used);
60.4 Departure deviations from IVS that are not the result of legislative, regulatory or other authoritative requirements are not permitted in valuations performed in accordance with IVS.

50. What is the Effective Date?

50.01 This version of International Valuation Standards is published on 31 January 2024, with an effective date of 31 January 2025 for valuations performed on or after this date. The IVSC permits early adoption from the date of publication.

50.02 When undertaking valuations or valuation reviews with a retrospective or historical valuation date, the valuer should document the editions of IVS that:

(a) they have relied upon, and
(b) are applicable at the valuation date.
General Standards: IVS 101

Scope of Work

This section requires the client and valuer to agree the scope of work for a valuation or valuation review that is appropriate for the intended use. It provides the minimum valuation or valuation review requirements for that scope of work.

10. Introduction

10.01 A scope of work (sometimes referred to as terms or letter of engagement) describes the fundamental terms of a valuation or valuation review. These include but are not limited to the asset(s) and/or liability(ies) being valued, such as the asset(s) being valued, the purpose intended use of the valuation and the responsibilities of parties involved in the valuation.

10.02 A scope of work for a valuation review describes the fundamental terms such as the components of the valuation or value being reviewed.

10.03 A scope of work is required for all valuations and valuation reviews whether the values are for internal or external use.

10.04 The client and the valuer must agree on the scope of work and that the valuation or valuation review scope is appropriate for the intended use.

10.05 If, in the valuer’s professional judgement, the scope of work is overly restrictive, then this may not result in an IVS-compliant valuation.
10.2 This standard is intended to apply to a wide spectrum of valuation assignments, including:

(a) valuations performed by valuers for their own employers (employed);
(b) valuations performed by valuers for clients other than their employers (engaged), and
(c) valuation reviews where the valuation reviewer may not be required to provide their own opinion of value.

20. General Valuation Requirements

20.1 All valuation advice and the work undertaken in its preparation must be appropriate for the intended purpose.

20.2 A valuer must ensure that the intended recipient(s) of the valuation advice understand(s) what is to be provided and any limitations on its use before it is finalised and reported.

20.3 20.01 A valuer must communicate the scope of work to its client prior to completion of the assignment, including:

The scope of work must specify the following:

(a) asset(s) and/or liability(ies) being valued; the subject asset(s) and/or liability(ies) in the valuation must be clearly identified. The client is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of that information. Asset(s) being valued: The subject asset in the valuation assignment must be clearly identified.

(b) clients; Identity of the client(s) (if any): the person, persons, or entity who appoints the valuer for a given valuation. Clients may be internal (ie, valuations performed for an employer) or external (ie, when the valuer is engaged by a third-party client); Confirmation of those for whom the valuation assignment is being produced is important when determining the form and content of the report to ensure that it contains information relevant to their needs.

(c) intended use (if any): the reason for which a valuation is developed; Purpose of the valuation: The purpose for which the valuation assignment is being prepared must be clearly identified as it is important that valuation advice is not used out of context or for purposes for which it is not intended. The purpose of the valuation will also typically influence or determine the bases/bases of value to be used.

(d) Identify of other intended users (if any); any party, as identified, by the client in the scope of work as a user of the valuation. It is important to understand whether there are any other intended users of the valuation report, their identify and their needs, to ensure that the report content and format meets those users’ needs.

(e) Identity of the valuer: The valuer may be an individual, group of individuals, or an individual within an entity, regardless of whether employed (internal) or engaged (contracted/
external), possessing the necessary qualifications, ability and experience to execute a valuation in an objective, unbiased, ethical and competent manner. The valuer must disclose any potential conflict of interest or bias. If the valuer has any material connection or involvement with the subject asset or the other parties to the valuation assignment, or if there are any other factors that could limit the valuer’s ability to provide an unbiased and objective valuation, such factors must be disclosed at the outset. If such disclosure does not take place, the valuation assignment is not in compliance with IVS. If the valuer needs to seek material assistance from others in relation to any aspect of the assignment, the nature of such assistance and the extent of reliance must be made clear:

(d) Asset(s) being valued: The subject asset in the valuation assignment must be clearly identified.

(e) (f) The Valuation currency: The currency for the valuation and the final valuation report or conclusion must be established. For example, a valuation might be prepared in euros or US dollars. This requirement is particularly important for valuation assignments involving assets in multiple countries and/or cash flows in multiple currencies:

(f) Purpose of the valuation: The purpose for which the valuation assignment is being prepared must be clearly identified as it is important that valuation advice is not used out of context or for purposes for which it is not intended. The purpose of the valuation will also typically influence or determine the basis/bases of value to be used:

(h) (g) Valuation date: The valuation date must be stated. If the valuation date is different from the date on which the valuation report is issued or the date on which the investigations are to be undertaken or completed then where appropriate, these dates should also be clearly distinguished.

(g) (h) Basis/bases of value used: As required by IVS 404 102 Bases of Value, the valuation must be appropriate for the purpose of the valuation intended use. The source of the definition of any basis of value used must be cited or the basis explained. This requirement is not applicable to a valuation review where no opinion of value is to be provided and the reviewer is not required to comment on the basis of value used.

(h) Valuation date: The valuation date must be stated. If the valuation date is different from the date on which the valuation report is issued or the date on which investigations are to be undertaken or completed then where appropriate, these dates should be clearly distinguished.

(i) The nature and extent of the valuer’s work and any limitations thereon: Any limitations or restrictions on the inspection, enquiry and/or analysis in the valuation assignment value must be identified (see IVS Framework, paras 60.1-60.4). If relevant information is not available because the conditions of the assignment valuation restrict the investigation, these restrictions and any necessary assumptions or special assumptions (see IVS
The nature and sources of information upon which the valuer relies: The nature and source of any relevant significant information that is to be relied upon which the valuer relies and the extent of any significant verification or controls to ensure the accuracy of that information, to be undertaken during the valuation process must be identified.

Significant assumptions and/or Special assumptions: All significant assumptions and any agreed special assumptions that are known prior to the valuation should be recorded in the scope of work. to be made in the conduct and reporting of the valuation assignment must be identified.

Specialist: the use and role of a specialist.

Environmental, Social and Governance Factors: Any requirements in relation to the consideration of significant environmental, social and governance factors.

The type of report or other documentation being prepared: A clear description of how the valuation results will be reported or a sample of the deliverable that will be supplied to the client. This should include a description of the type and extent of supporting documentation that will be supplied. The format of the report, that is, how the valuation will be communicated, must be described.

Restrictions on use, distribution and publication of the report: Where it is necessary or desirable to restrict the use of the valuation or those relying on it, the intended users and restrictions must be clearly communicated.

IVS compliance: a statement that the valuation will be prepared in compliance with IVS must be disclosed in the scope of work and that the valuer will assess the appropriateness of all significant inputs. If, during the course of a valuation, it becomes clear to the valuer that the scope of work will not result in an IVS-compliant valuation, this must be communicated to the client in writing. The nature of any departures must be explained, for example, identifying that the valuation was performed in accordance with IVS and local tax regulations. See IVS Framework paras 60.1-60.4 relating to departures.

Wherever possible, the The scope of work should must be established and agreed between the client and the valuer in writing prior to the completion of the valuation report. Parties to a valuation assignment prior to the valuer beginning work. Any changes to the scope of work prior to the completion of the valuation However in certain circumstances, the scope of a valuation engagement may not be clear at the start of that engagement. In such cases, as the scope becomes clear, valuers must be communicated and agreed the scope of work to their client upon in writing.

A written scope of work may not be necessary. However, since valuers are responsible for communicating the scope of work to their client, a written scope of work should be prepared.
20.6 Some aspects of the scope of work may be addressed in documents such as standing engagement instructions, master services agreements or a company’s internal policies and procedures.

20.03 If, during the course of a valuation engagement, it becomes clear that the scope of work will not result in an IVS-compliant value, the valuation will not comply with IVS.

30. Valuation Process Review and Value Review Requirements

30.01 A valuation review is not a valuation. The scope of work must state whether the valuation review is a valuation process review or a value review or both.

(a) a valuation process review addresses compliance with IVS,
(b) a value review addresses the reasonableness of a value.

30.02 The scope of work of an engagement that is either a valuation process review or a value review, or both, must include the following at a minimum:

(a) the type of review being conducted,
(b) the agreed scope as to whether the review is a valuation process review, a value review or both,
(c) the asset(s) and/or liability(ies) being reviewed,
(d) the identity of the valuation reviewer,
(e) the identity of the client,
(f) the intended use,
(g) the intended users, if applicable,
(h) significant or special assumptions and/or limiting conditions pertaining to the valuation to be reviewed,
(i) the use and role of a specialist or service provider, if used, as part of the valuation review,
(j) procedures to be undertaken, and the documentation to be reviewed.

30. Changes to Scope of Work

30.1 Some of the items in para 20.3 may not be determinable until the valuation assignment is in progress, or changes to the scope may become necessary during the course of the assignment due to additional information becoming available or matters emerging that require further investigation. As such, whilst the scope of work may be established at the outset, it may also be established over time throughout the course of the assignment.

30.2 In valuation assignments where the scope of work changes over time, the items in para 20.3 and any changes made over time must be communicated to the client before the assignment is completed and the valuation report is issued.
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This section **Compliance with this mandatory standard** requires the valuer to select the appropriate *basis (or bases) of value* and follow all applicable requirements associated with that *basis (or bases) of value*, whether those requirements are included as part of this standard (for IVS-defined *bases of value*) or not (for non-IVS-defined *bases of value*).

### 10. Introduction

**10.01** *Bases of value* (sometimes called standards of value) describes the fundamental premises or requirements on which the reported values will be based. It is critical that the *basis (or bases) of value* be appropriate to the terms and *intended use* purpose of the valuation assignment, since as a *basis of value* may influence or dictate the valuer’s selection of methods, *inputs* and assumptions, and the ultimate opinion of *value*.

**10.02** There are different *bases of value* used in *valuations*. The *valuer* may be required to use *bases of value* that are defined by statute, regulation, private contract or other document. Such bases have to be interpreted and applied accordingly another framework.

**10.3** While there are many different *bases of value* used in *valuations*, most have certain common elements, an assumed transaction, an assumed date of the transaction and the assumed parties to the transaction.

**10.4** Depending on the *basis of value*, the assumed transaction could take a number of forms:

- (a) a hypothetical transaction;
- (b) an actual transaction;
- (c) a purchase (or entry) transaction;
- (d) a sale (or exit) transaction, and/or
A premise of value or assumed use describes the circumstances of how an asset and/or liability is used. Different bases of value may require a particular premise of value or allow the consideration of multiple premises of value. The most common premises of value used in IVS are (see IVS 102 Bases of Value, Appendices A90-A120 for further description);

(a) highest and best use,
(b) current use/existing use,
(c) orderly liquidation, and
(d) forced sale.

The valuation date The assumed date of a transaction will influence what information and data the valuer considers in a valuation. The valuer should be aware that most bases of value prohibit the consideration of information or market sentiment that would not be known or knowable with reasonable due diligence on the measurement/valuation date by participants.

Most bases of value reflect assumptions concerning the parties to a transaction and provide a certain level of description of the parties. In respect to these parties, they could include but not be limited to one or more of the following actual or assumed characteristics, such as;

(a) hypothetical buyer or seller,
(b) known or specific parties,
(c) members of an identified/described group or potential parties,
(d) whether the parties are subject to particular conditions or motivations at the assumed date (eg, duress), and/or
(e) an assumed knowledge level.

In addition to the IVS-defined bases of value are listed at para 20.02, the IVS have also provided a non-exhaustive list of other non-IVS-defined bases of value are prescribed by individual jurisdictional law, local regulators, applicable standards, or those recognised and adopted by international agreement.

IVS-defined bases of value are (see IVS 102 Bases of Value, Appendices A10-A60);

(a) IVS-defined bases of value:
   (a) Market value (section 30), A10,
   (b) Market rent (section 40), A20,
   (c) Equitable value (section 50), A30,
   (d) Investment value/worth (section 60), A40,
(e) Synergistic value (section 70), A50, and
(f) Liquidation value (section 80) A60.

(b) Other bases of value (non-exhaustive list):

(i) Fair value (International Financial Reporting Standards (section 90),
(ii) Fair market value (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) (section 100),
(iii) Fair market value (United States Internal Revenue Service) (section 110), and
(iv) Fair value (Legal/Statutory) (section 120):
1. the Model Business Corporation Act, and
2. Canadian case law (Manning v Harris Steel Group Inc).

20.2 20.03 Other bases of value may be required for financial reporting, tax reporting, or in other legal or regulatory contexts. Depending on the promulgator of the basis of value, the same words may be defined differently or require different valuation approaches. Therefore, care should be taken to identify, articulate and apply the appropriate basis of value for a given valuation. (A non-exhaustive illustrative list of other bases of value is included at IVS Bases of Value, Appendices A70-A80). Valuers must choose the relevant basis (or bases) of value according to the terms and purpose of the valuation assignment. The valuer’s choice of a basis (or bases) of value should consider instructions and input received from the client and/or its representatives. However, regardless of instructions and input provided to the valuer, the valuer should not use a basis (or bases) of value that is inappropriate for the intended purpose of the valuation (for example, if instructed to value for financial reporting purposes under IFRS, compliance with IVS may require the valuer to use a basis of value that is not defined or mentioned in the IVS).

20.3 20.04 In accordance with IVS 101 Scope of Work, the basis of value must be appropriate for the purpose-intended use and the source of the definition of any basis of value used must be cited or the basis explained.

20.4 20.05 The valuer is responsible for understanding the regulation, case law and other interpretive guidance related to all basis(es) of value used.

20.5 20.06 The bases of value illustrated in IVS 102 Bases of Value, Appendices A70-A80, sections 90-120 of this standard are defined by organisations other than the IVSC and the onus is on the valuer to ensure they are using the relevant definition.

30. Entity-Specific Factors

30.01 For most bases of value, the factors that are specific to a particular buyer or seller and not available to participants generally are excluded from the inputs used in a market-based valuation. Examples
Entity-specific factors that may not be available to participants include but are not limited to:

(a) additional value or reduction in value derived from the creation of a portfolio of similar asset(s),
(b) unique synergies between the asset(s) and other asset(s) owned by the entity,
(c) legal rights or restrictions applicable only to the entity,
(d) tax benefits or tax burdens unique to the entity, and
(e) an ability to exploit an asset that is unique to that entity.

480.2 30.02 Whether such factors are specific to the entity or would be available to other participants in the market generally is determined on a case-by-case basis. For example, an asset may not normally be transacted as a stand-alone item but as part of a group of assets. In that case, any synergies with related assets would transfer to participants along with the transfer of the group and therefore are not entity specific.

480.3 30.03 If the objective of the basis of value used in a valuation is to determine the value to a specific owner (such as investment value/worth discussed in IVS 101 Basis of Value, Appendix A40 in paras 60.1 and 60.2) entity-specific factors are reflected in the valuation of the asset(s) and/or liability(ies). Situations in which the value to a specific owner may be required include but are not limited to the following examples:

(a) supporting investment decisions, and
(b) reviewing the performance of an asset.

490. 40. Synergies

490.1 40.01 Synergies refer to the benefits associated with combining assets and/or liabilities. When synergies are present, the value of a group of assets and/or liabilities is greater than the sum-of-the-values of the individual assets and liabilities on a stand-alone basis. Synergies typically relate to a reduction in costs, and/or increase in revenue, and/or a reduction in risk.

490.2 40.02 Whether synergies should be considered in a valuation depends on the basis(es) of value. For most bases of value, only those synergies available to other participants generally will be considered (see discussion of Entity-Specific Factors in paras of this standard 480.1-480.3 30.01-30.03).

490.3 40.03 An assessment of whether synergies are available to other participants may be based on the amount of the synergies rather than a specific way to achieve that synergy.
50. Assumptions and Special Assumptions

50.01 In addition to stating the basis of value, it is often necessary to make one or multiple assumptions to clarify either:

(a) the state of the asset in the hypothetical exchange, or
(b) the circumstances under which the asset and/or liability is assumed to be exchanged.

50.02 Such assumptions can have a significant impact on value.

50.03 Assumptions related to facts that are consistent with, or could be consistent with, those existing at the valuation date may be the result of a limitation on the extent of the investigations or enquiries undertaken by the valuer. Examples of such assumptions include but are not limited to without limitation:

(a) an assumption that an asset and/or liability employed in a business is transferred as a complete operational entity,
(b) an assumption that an asset and/or liability employed in a business are transferred without the business, either individually or as a group,
(c) an assumption that an individually valued asset and/or liability is transferred together with other complementary asset(s) and/or liability(ies), and
(d) an assumption that a holding of shares is transferred either as a block or individually.

50.04 All significant assumptions must be reasonable under the circumstances, be supported by evidence and be relevant having regard to the purpose intended use for which the valuation is required in order to provide an IVS-compliant valuation.

60. Special Assumptions

60.01 Where assumed facts differ from those existing at the valuation date, it is referred to as a “special assumption”. Special assumptions are often used to illustrate the effect of possible changes on the value of an asset. They are designated as “special” so as to highlight to a valuation user that the valuation conclusion is contingent upon a change in the current circumstances or that it reflects a view that would not be taken by participants generally on the valuation date. Examples of such assumptions include but are not limited to without limitation:

(a) an assumption that a property is freehold with vacant possession,
(b) an assumption that a proposed building had actually been completed on the valuation date,
(c) an assumption that a specific contract was in existence on the valuation date which had not actually been completed, and
(d) an assumption that a financial instrument is valued using a
yield curve that is different from that which would be used by a participant.

200.5 All assumptions and special assumptions must be reasonable under the circumstances, be supported by evidence, and be relevant having regard to the purpose for which the valuation is required.

60.02 All significant special assumptions must be reasonable under the circumstances, be supported by evidence and be relevant having regard to the intended use for which the valuation is required in order to provide an IVS-compliant valuation.

240. 70. Transaction Costs

240.4 70.01 Most bases of value represent the estimated exchange price of an asset without regard to adjustment for the seller’s costs of sale or the buyer’s costs of purchase and without adjustment for any taxes payable by either party as a direct result of the transaction.

220. 80. Allocation of Value

220.4 80.01 Allocation of value is the separate apportionment of value of an asset on an individual or component basis.

220.2 80.02 When apportioning value, the allocation method must be consistent with the overall valuation premise/basis and the valuer must:

(a) follow any applicable legal or regulatory requirements,

(b) set out a clear and accurate description of the purpose and intended use of the allocation,

(c) consider the facts and circumstances, such as the relevant characteristic(s) of the item(s) being apportioned,

(d) adopt appropriate methodology(ies) in the circumstances.
IVS 102 Bases of Value: Appendix

IVS-Defined Basis of Value

The bases of value appear in the Appendix. The Appendix must be followed when using the stated basis of value as applicable.

30. A10. Market Value

30.1 A10.01 Market value is the estimated amount for which an asset or liability should exchange on the valuation date between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm’s-length transaction, after proper marketing and where the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion.

30.2 A10.02 The definition of market value must be applied in accordance with the following conceptual framework:

(a) “The estimated amount” refers to a price expressed in terms of money payable for the asset in an arm’s-length market transaction. Market value is the most probable price reasonably obtainable in the market on the valuation date in keeping with the market value definition. It is the best price reasonably obtainable by the seller and the most advantageous price reasonably obtainable by the buyer. This estimate specifically excludes an estimated price inflated or deflated by special terms or circumstances such as atypical financing, sale and leaseback arrangements, special considerations or concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale, or any element of value available only to a specific owner or purchaser.

(b) An asset or liability should exchange “refers to the fact that the value of an asset or liability is an estimated amount rather than a pre-determined amount or actual sale price. It is the price in a transaction that meets all the elements of the market value definition at the valuation date.

(c) “On the valuation date” requires that the value is time specific as of a given date. Because markets and market conditions may change, the estimated value may be incorrect or inappropriate at another time. The valuation amount will reflect the market state and circumstances as at the valuation date, not those at any other date.

(d) “Between a willing buyer” refers to one who is motivated, but not compelled, to buy. This buyer is neither over-eager nor determined to buy at any price. This buyer is also one who purchases in accordance with the realities of the current market and with current market expectations, rather than in relation to an imaginary or hypothetical market that cannot be demonstrated or anticipated to exist. The assumed buyer would not pay a higher price than the market requires. The present owner is included among those who constitute “the market”.
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(e) “And a willing seller” is neither an over-eager nor a forced seller prepared to sell at any price, nor one prepared to hold out for a price not considered reasonable in the current market. The willing seller is motivated to sell the asset at market terms for the best price attainable in the open market after proper marketing, whatever that price may be. The factual circumstances of the actual owner are not part of this consideration because the willing seller is a hypothetical owner.

(f) “In an arm’s-length transaction” is one between parties who do not have a particular or special relationship, eg, parent and subsidiary companies or landlord and tenant, that may make the price level uncharacteristic of the market or inflated. The market value transaction is presumed to be between unrelated parties, each acting independently.

(g) “After proper marketing” means that the asset has been exposed to the market in the most appropriate manner to effect affect its disposal at the best price reasonably obtainable in accordance with the market value definition. The method of sale is deemed to be that most appropriate to obtain the best price in the market to which the seller has access. The length of exposure time is not a fixed period but will vary according to the type of asset and market conditions. The only criterion is that there must have been sufficient time to allow the asset to be brought to the attention of an adequate number of market participants. The exposure period occurs prior to the valuation date.

(h) “Where the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently” presumes that both the willing buyer and the willing seller are reasonably informed about the nature and characteristics of the asset, its actual and potential uses, and the state of the market as of the valuation date. Each is further presumed to use that knowledge prudently to seek the price that is most favourable for their respective positions in the transaction. Prudence is assessed by referring to the state of the market at the valuation date, not with the benefit of hindsight at some later date. For example, it is not necessarily imprudent for a seller to sell assets in a market with falling prices at a price that is lower than previous market levels. In such cases, as is true for other exchanges in markets with changing prices, the prudent buyer or seller will act in accordance with the best market information available at the time.

(i) “And without compulsion” establishes that each party is motivated to undertake the transaction, but neither is forced or unduly coerced to complete it.
exposed for sale is the one in which the asset notionally being exchanged is normally exchanged.

30.4 A10.04 The market value of an asset will reflect its highest and best use (see IVS 102 Bases of Value, Appendix A90 paras 140.1-140.5). The highest and best use is the use of an asset that maximises its potential and that is possible, legally permissible and financially feasible. The highest and best use may be for continuation of an asset's existing use or for some alternative use. This is determined by the use that a market participant would have in mind for the asset when formulating the price that it would be willing to bid.

30.5 A10.05 The nature and source of the valuation inputs must be consistent with the basis of value, which in turn must have regard to the valuation intended use purpose. For example, various valuation approaches and valuation methods may be used to arrive at an opinion of value provided they use market-derived observable data. The market approach will, by definition, use market-derived inputs. To indicate market value, the income approach should be applied, using inputs and assumptions that would be adopted by participants. To indicate market value using the cost approach, the cost of an asset of equal utility and the appropriate adjustments for physical, functional and economic obsolescence depreciation should be determined by analysis of market-based costs and depreciation.

30.6 A10.06 The data available and the circumstances relating to the market for the asset being valued must determine which valuation method or methods are most relevant and appropriate. If based on appropriately analysed market-derived observable data, each valuation approach or valuation method used should provide an indication of market value.

30.7 A10.07 Market value does not reflect attributes of an asset that are of value to a specific owner or purchaser that are not available to other buyers in the market. Such advantages may relate to the physical, geographic, economic or legal characteristics of an asset. Market value requires the disregard of any such element of value because, at any given date, it is only assumed that there is a willing buyer, not a particular willing buyer.

40. A20. Market Rent

40.1 A20.01 Market rent is the estimated amount for which an interest in real property should be leased on the valuation date between a willing lessor and a willing lessee on appropriate lease terms in an arm's-length transaction, after proper marketing and where the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion.

40.2 A20.02 Market rent may be used as a basis of value when valuing a lease or an interest created by a lease. In such cases, it is necessary to consider the contract rent and, where it is different, the market rent.
40.3 A20.03 The conceptual framework supporting the definition of *market value* (see section A10) can be applied to assist in the interpretation of market rent. In particular, the estimated amount excludes a rent inflated or deflated by special terms, considerations or concessions. The “appropriate lease terms” are terms that would typically be agreed in the market for the type of property on the *valuation date* between market participants. An indication of market rent should only be provided in conjunction with an indication of the principal lease terms that have been assumed.

40.4 A20.04 Contract rent is the rent payable under the terms of an actual lease. It may be fixed for the duration of the lease, or variable. The frequency and basis of calculating variations in the rent will be set out in the lease and must be identified and understood in order to establish the total benefits accruing to the lessor and liability of the lessee.

40.5 A20.05 In some circumstances the market rent may have to be assessed based on terms of an existing lease (eg, for rental determination purposes where the lease terms are existing and therefore not to be assumed as part of a notional lease).

40.6 A20.06 In calculating market rent, the valuer must consider the following:

(a) in regard to a market rent subject to a lease, the terms and conditions of that lease are the appropriate lease terms unless those terms and conditions are illegal or contrary to over-arching legislation, and

(b) in regard to a market rent that is not subject to a lease, the assumed terms and conditions are the terms of a notional lease that would typically be agreed in a market for the type of property on the *valuation date* between market participants.

50. A30. **Equitable Value**

50.1 A30.01 *Equitable value* is the estimated price for the transfer of an *asset* or *liability* between identified knowledgeable and willing parties that reflects the respective interests of those parties.

50.2 A30.02 *Equitable value* requires the assessment of the *price* that is fair between two specific, identified parties considering the respective advantages or disadvantages that each will gain from the transaction. In contrast, *market value* requires any advantages or disadvantages that would not be available to, or incurred by, market participants generally to be disregarded.

50.3 A30.03 *Equitable value* is a broader concept than *market value*. Although in many cases the *price* that is fair between two parties will equate to that obtainable in the market, there will be cases where the assessment of *equitable value* will involve taking into account matters that have to be disregarded in the assessment of *market value*, such as certain elements of *synergistic value* arising because of the combination of the interests.
Examples of the use of equitable value include:

(a) determination of a price that is equitable for a shareholding in a non‑quoted business, where the holdings of two specific parties may mean that the price that is equitable between them is different from the price that might be obtainable in the market, and

(b) determination of a price that would be equitable between a lessor and a lessee for either the permanent transfer of the leased asset or the cancellation of the lease liability.

Investment value/Worth

Investment value is the value of an asset to a particular owner or prospective owner for individual investment or operational objectives.

Investment value is an entity‑specific basis of value. Although the value of an asset to the owner may be the same as the amount that could be realised from its sale to another party, this basis of value reflects the benefits received by an entity from holding the asset and, therefore, does not involve a presumed exchange. Investment value reflects the circumstances and financial objectives of the entity for which the valuation is being produced. It is often used for measuring investment performance.

Synergistic Value

Synergistic value is the result of a combination of two or more assets or interests where the combined value is more than the sum of the separate values. If the synergies are only available to one specific buyer then synergistic value will differ from market value, as the synergistic value will reflect particular attributes of an asset that are only of value to a specific purchaser. The added value above the aggregate of the respective interests is often referred to as “marriage value” in some jurisdictions.

Liquidation Value

Liquidation value is the amount that would be realised when an asset or group of assets are sold from a liquidation sale, with the seller being compelled to sell as of a specific date on a piecemeal basis. Liquidation value should take into account the costs of getting the assets into saleable condition as well as those of the disposal activity. Liquidation value can be determined under two different premises of value:

(a) an orderly transaction with a typical marketing period, (see section 160), or

(b) a forced transaction with a shorted market period. (see section 170).

The valuer must disclose which premise of value is assumed.
**Other Bases of Value**

90. **A70. Fair Value (International Financial Reporting Standards) (IFRS)**

90.1 **A70.01** IFRS 13 defines fair value as the *price* that would be received to sell an *asset* or paid to transfer a *liability* in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

90.2 **A70.02** For financial reporting purposes, over 130 countries require or permit the use of International Accounting Standards published by the International Accounting Standards Board. In addition, the Financial Accounting Standards Board in the United States uses the same definition of fair value in Topic 820.

100. **Other Basis of Value — Fair Market Value (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD))**

100.1 The OECD defines *fair market value* as the *price* a willing buyer would pay a willing seller in a transaction on the open market.

100.2 OECD guidance is used in many engagements for international tax purposes.

110. **Other Basis of Value — Fair Market Value (United States Internal Revenue Service)**

110.1 For United States tax purposes, Regulation §20.2031.1 states; “The fair market value is the price at which the property would change hands between a willing buyer and a willing seller, neither being under any compulsion to buy or to sell and both having reasonable knowledge of relevant facts.

120. **A80. Fair Value (Legal/Statutory) in different jurisdictions**

120.1 Many national, state and local agencies use fair value as a *basis of value* in a legal context. The definitions can vary significantly and may be the result of legislative action or those established as defined by courts in prior cases.

120.2 Examples of US and Canadian definitions of fair value are as follows:

(a) The Model Business Corporation Act (MBCA) is a model set of law prepared by the Committee on Corporate Laws of the Section of Business Law of the American Bar Association and is followed by 24 States in the United States. The definition of fair value from the MBCA is the *value* of the corporation’s shares determined:

(i) immediately before the effectuation of the corporate action to which the shareholder objects;

(ii) using customary and current valuation concepts and techniques generally employed for similar businesses in the context of the transaction requiring appraisal, and
(iii) without discounting for lack of marketability or minority status except, if appropriate, for amendments to the articles pursuant to section 13.02(a)(5);

(b) In 1986, the Supreme Court of British Columbia in Canada issued a ruling in Manning v Harris Steel Group Inc. that stated: “Thus, a ‘fair’ value is one which is just and equitable. That terminology contains within itself the concept of adequate compensation (indemnity), consistent with the requirements of justice and equity.

**IVS-defined Premise of Value**

The premises of value appear in the Appendix. The Appendix *must* be followed when using the stated premises of value as applicable.

### 130. Premise of Value/Assumed Use

130.1 A premise of value or assumed use describes the circumstances of how an asset or liability is used. Different *bases of value* may require a particular premise of value or allow the consideration of multiple premises of value. Some common premises of value are:

- (a) highest and best use;
- (b) current use/existing use;
- (c) orderly liquidation; and
- (d) forced sale.

### 140. A90. Highest and Best Use

140.1 A90.01 Highest and best use is the use, from a participant perspective, that would produce the highest *value* for an *asset*.

140.1 A90.02 Although the concept of highest and best use is most frequently applied to non-financial *assets*. As many financial *assets* do not have alternative uses, there may be circumstances where the highest and best use of financial *assets* needs to be considered.

140.2 A90.03 The highest and best use *must* be physically possible (where applicable), financially feasible, legally allowed and result in the highest *value*. If different from the current use, the *costs* to convert an *asset* to its highest and best use would impact the *value*.

140.3 A90.04 The highest and best use for an *asset* may be its current or existing use when it is being used optimally. However, highest and best use may differ from current use or even be an orderly liquidation.

140.4 A90.05 The highest and best use of an *asset* valued on a stand-alone basis may be different from its highest and best use as part of a group of *assets*, when its contribution to the overall *value* of the group *must* be considered.
The determination of the highest and best use involves consideration of the following:

(a) To establish whether a use is physically possible, regard will be had to what would be considered reasonable by participants.

(b) To reflect the requirement to be legally permissible, any legal restrictions on the use of the asset, eg, town planning/zoning designations, need to be taken into account as well as the likelihood that these restrictions will change.

(c) The requirement that the use be financially feasible takes into account whether an alternative use that is physically possible and legally permissible will generate sufficient return to a typical participant, after taking into account the costs of conversion to that use, over and above the return on the existing use.

Current Use/Existing Use

Current use/existing use is the current way an asset, liability, or group of assets and/or liabilities is used. The current use may be, but is not necessarily, also the highest and best use.

Orderly Liquidation

An orderly liquidation describes the value of a group of assets that could be realised in a liquidation sale, given a reasonable period of time to find a purchaser (or purchasers), with the seller being compelled to sell on an as-is, where-is basis.

The reasonable period of time to find a purchaser (or purchasers) may vary by asset type and market conditions.

Forced Sale

The term “forced sale” is often used in circumstances where a seller is under compulsion to sell and that, as a consequence, a proper marketing period is not possible and buyers may not be able to undertake adequate due diligence. The price that could be obtained in these circumstances will depend upon the nature of the pressure on the seller and the reasons why proper marketing cannot be undertaken. It may also reflect the consequences for the seller of failing to sell within the period available. Unless the nature of, and the reason for, the constraints on the seller are known, the price obtainable in a forced sale cannot be realistically estimated. The price that a seller will accept in a forced sale will reflect its particular circumstances, rather than those of the hypothetical willing seller in the market value definition. A “forced” sale is a description of the situation under which the exchange takes place, not a distinct basis of value.

If an indication of the price obtainable under forced sale circumstances is required, it will be necessary to clearly identify the reasons for the constraint on the seller, including the consequences of failing to sell in the specified period by setting out appropriate assumptions. If these circumstances do not exist
at the *valuation date*, these must be clearly identified as special assumptions.

470.3 A120.03 A forced sale typically reflects the most-probable *price* that a specified property is likely to bring under all of the following conditions:

(a) consummation of a sale within a short time period,
(b) the *asset* is subjected to market conditions prevailing as of the *valuation date* or assumed timescale within which the transaction is to be completed,
(c) both the buyer and the seller are acting prudently and knowledgeably,
(d) the seller is under compulsion to sell,
(e) the buyer would receive only benefits that are available to others and would derive no material benefit(s) from the transaction not available to other market participants, is typically motivated,
(f) both parties are acting in what they consider their best interests, and
(g) a normal marketing effort is not possible due to the brief exposure time.
(h) payment will be made in cash.

470.4 A120.04 Sales in an inactive or falling market are not automatically “forced sales” simply because a seller might hope for a better *price* if conditions improved. Unless the seller is compelled to sell by a deadline that prevents proper marketing, the seller will be a willing seller within the definition of market value (see IVS 102 *Bases of Value*, Appendix A10) paras 30.1-30.7).

470.5 A120.05 While confirmed “forced sale” transactions would generally be excluded from consideration in a *valuation* where the *basis of value* is *market value*, it can be difficult to verify that an arm's-length transaction in a market was a forced sale.
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IVS 103 Valuation Approaches requires the valuer to consider and select the most relevant and appropriate valuation approaches for the valuation of the asset and/or liability based on its intended use(s).

10. Introduction

10.01 Consideration must be given to the relevant and appropriate valuation approaches. One or more valuation approaches may be used in order to arrive at the value in accordance with the basis of value. The three approaches described and defined below are the principle valuation approaches used in valuation. They are all based on the economic principles of price equilibrium, anticipation of benefits or substitution.

(a) market approach,
(b) income approach, and
10.02 The selection of the approach should seek to maximise the use of observable inputs, as appropriate.

4.03 Each of these valuation approaches includes different, detailed methods of application (see IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, Appendices A10-A30).

4.04 The goal in selecting valuation approaches and methods for an asset and/or liability is to find the most appropriate method under the particular circumstances of the valuation. No single one method is suitable in every possible situation. In their selection process, the valuer should consider at a minimum:

(a) the appropriate basis(es) of value and premise(s) of value, determined by the terms and intended use purpose of the valuation assignment,

(b) the respective strengths and weaknesses of the possible valuation approaches and valuation methods,

(c) the appropriateness of each method in view of the nature of the asset(s) and/or liability/ies, and the valuation approaches or valuation methods used by participants in the relevant market, and

(d) the availability of reliable information needed to apply the method(s), and

(e) price information from an active market.

4.05 The valuer is not required to use more than one method for the valuation of an asset and/or liability, particularly when the valuer has a high degree of confidence in the accuracy and reliability of a single method, given the facts and circumstances of the valuation engagement.

4.06 However, the valuer should consider the use of multiple approaches and methods, and more than one valuation approach or valuation method should be considered and may be used to arrive at an indication of value, particularly when there are insufficient factual or observable inputs for a single method to produce a reliable conclusion.

4.07 Where more than one valuation approach and valuation method is used, or even multiple methods within a single approach, the conclusion of value based on those multiple approaches and methods should be reasonable and the process of analysing and reconciling the differing values into a single conclusion, without averaging, should be described by the valuer in the report.

4.08 While this standard includes discussion of certain valuation methods within the cost, market and income approaches, it does not provide a comprehensive list of all possible valuation methods that may be appropriate. It is the valuer’s responsibility to choose the appropriate...
method(s) for each valuation engagement. Compliance with IVS may require the valuer to use a method not defined or mentioned in IVS.

40.6 10.09 When different valuation approaches and/or valuation methods result in widely divergent indications of value, the valuer should perform procedures to understand why the value indications differ, as it is generally not appropriate to simply weight two or more significantly divergent indications of value. In such cases, the valuer should reconsider the guidance in IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, para 10.4, 49.3 to determine whether which one of the valuation approaches and/or valuation methods provides a better or more reliable indication of value.

40.7 10.10 The valuer should maximise the use of relevant observable market information in all three approaches. Regardless of the source of the inputs and assumptions used in a valuation, the valuer must perform appropriate analysis to evaluate those inputs and assumptions and their appropriateness for the valuation intended use purpose.

40.9 10.11 The valuer should exercise professional judgement in determining the valuation approaches, valuation methods, and procedures. If, in the valuer’s professional judgment, the limitations placed on the valuer’s selection of the valuation approaches, valuation methods, and procedures for the valuation are overly restrictive then this in certain circumstances, the valuer and the client may agree on the valuation approaches, methods and procedures the valuer will use of the extent of procedures the valuer will perform. Depending on the limitations placed on the valuer and procedures performed, such circumstances may not result in an IVS-compliant valuation. (see IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 10.5).

40.8 10.12 Although no one approach or method is applicable in all circumstances, with price information from an active market is generally considered to be the strongest evidence of value. Some bases of value may prohibit the valuer from making subjective adjustments to price information from an active market. Price information from an inactive market may still be good evidence of value, but subjective adjustments may be needed.

40.9 In certain circumstances, the valuer and the client may agree on the valuation approaches, methods and procedures the valuer will use or the extent of procedures the valuer will perform. Depending on the limitations placed on the valuer and procedures performed, such circumstances may result in a valuation that is not IVS-compliant.

40.10 10.13 A valuation may be limited or restricted where the valuer is not able to employ the valuation approaches, valuation methods and procedures that a reasonable and informed third party would perform, and it is reasonable to expect that the effect of the limitation or restriction on the estimate of value could be material significant.

20. Market Approach

20.01 The market approach provides an indication of value by comparing the asset and/or liability with identical or comparable (that is similar) asset and/or liability for which price information is available.
20.02 The market approach should always take into account trading volume, trading frequency, range of observed prices, and proximity to the valuation date. The market approach should be applied and afforded significant weight under the following circumstances:

(a) the subject asset has recently been sold in a transaction appropriate for consideration under the basis of value,
(b) the subject asset or substantially similar assets are actively publicly traded, and/or
(c) there are frequent and/or recent observable transactions in substantially similar assets.

20.03 Although the above circumstances would indicate that the market approach should be applied and afforded significant weight, when the above criteria are not met, the following are additional circumstances where the market approach may be applied and afforded significant weight. When using the market approach under the following circumstances, the valuer should consider whether any other approaches can be applied and weighted to corroborate the value indication from the market approach.

(a) transactions involving the subject asset or substantially similar assets are not recent enough considering the levels of volatility and activity in the market,
(b) the asset or substantially similar assets are publicly traded, but not actively,
(c) information on market transactions is available, but the comparable assets have significant differences to the subject asset, potentially requiring subjective adjustments,
(d) information on recent transactions is not reliable (ie, hearsay, missing information, synergistic purchaser, not arm's length, distressed sale, etc).
(e) the critical element affecting the value of the asset is the price it would achieve in the market rather than the cost of reproduction or its income-producing ability.

20.04 The heterogeneous nature of many assets means that it is often not possible to find market evidence of transactions involving identical or similar assets. Even in circumstances where the market approach is not used, the use of observable inputs market-based inputs should be maximised in the application of other approaches (eg, market-based valuation metrics such as effective yields and rates of return).

20.05 When comparable market information does not relate to the exact or substantially the same asset, the valuer must perform a comparative analysis of qualitative and quantitative similarities and differences between the comparable assets and the subject asset. It will often be necessary to make adjustments based on this comparative analysis. Those adjustments must be reasonable and the valuer must document the reasons for the adjustments and how they were quantified.
20.06 The market approach often uses market multiples derived from a set of comparables, each with different multiples. The selection of the appropriate multiple within the range may require adjustment and judgement, considering qualitative and quantitative factors.

40. Income Approach

40.1 The income approach provides an indication of value by converting projected future cash flows to a single current value. Under the income approach, the value of an asset is determined by reference to the value of income, cash flow or cost savings generated by the asset.

40.2 The income approach should be applied and afforded significant weight under the following circumstances:

   (a) the income-producing ability of the asset is the critical element affecting value from a participant perspective, and/or

   (b) reasonable projections of the amount and timing of future income are available for the subject asset, but there are few, if any, no relevant and reliable market comparables.

40.3 Although the above circumstances would indicate that the income approach should be applied and afforded significant weight, when using the income approach under the following are additional circumstances, where the income approach may be applied and afforded significant weight. When using the income approach under the following circumstances, the valuer should consider whether any other approaches can be applied and weighted to corroborate the indication of value from the income approach:

   (a) the income-producing ability of the subject asset is only one of several factors affecting value from a participant perspective,

   (b) there is significant uncertainty regarding the amount and timing of future income related to the subject asset,

   (c) there is a lack of access to information related to the subject asset (for example, a minority owner may have access to historical financial statements but not forecasts/budgets), and/or

   (d) the subject asset has not yet begun generating income, but is projected to do so.

40.4 A fundamental basis for the income approach is that investors expect to receive a return on their investments and that such a return should reflect the perceived level of risk in the investment.

40.5 Generally, investors can only expect to be compensated for systematic risk (also known as “market risk” or “undiversifiable risk”).

60. Cost Approach

60.1 The cost approach provides an indication of value using the economic principle that a buyer will pay no more for an asset than the cost to obtain an asset of equal utility, whether by purchase or
by construction, unless undue time, inconvenience, risk or other factors are involved. The approach provides an indication of value by calculating the current replacement or reproduction cost of an asset and making deductions for physical deterioration and all other relevant forms of obsolescence.

60.2 40.02 The cost approach should be applied and afforded significant weight under the following circumstances:

(a) participants would be able to recreate an asset with substantially the same utility as the subject asset, without regulatory or legal restrictions, and the asset could be recreated quickly enough that a participant would not be willing to pay a significant premium for the ability to use the subject asset immediately,

(b) the asset is not directly income-generating and the unique nature of the asset makes using an income approach or market approach unfeasible,

(c) the basis of value being used is fundamentally based on replacement cost, such as replacement value, and/or

(d) the asset was recently created or issued and sold to market participants, such that there is a high degree of reliability in the assumptions used in the cost approach.

60.3 40.03 Although the circumstances in para 60.2 in para 40.02 would indicate that the cost approach should be applied and afforded significant weight, when using the cost approach under the following are additional circumstances, where the cost approach may be applied and afforded significant weight. When using the cost approach under the following circumstances, the valuer should consider whether any other approaches can be applied and weighted to corroborate the value indication of value from the cost approach:

(a) participants might consider recreating an asset of similar utility, but there are potential legal or regulatory hurdles or significant time involved in recreating the asset,

(b) when the cost approach is being used as a reasonableness check to other approaches (for example, using the cost approach to confirm whether a business valued as a going concern might be more valuable on a liquidation basis), and/or

(e) the asset was recently created, such that there is a high degree of reliability in the assumptions used in the cost approach.

60.4 40.04 The value of a partially completed asset will generally reflect the costs incurred to date in the creation of the asset (and whether those costs contributed to value) and the expectations of participants regarding the value of the property asset when complete, but also consider the costs and time required to complete the asset and appropriate adjustments for profit and risk.
The valuation methods provided in this appendix may not apply to all asset classes or use cases. However, the appendix must be followed when using the applicable valuation method.


Comparative Transactions Method

30.1 A10.01 The comparable transactions method, also known as the guideline transactions method, utilises information about transactions involving assets that are the same or similar to the subject asset to arrive at an indication of value.

30.2 A10.02 When the comparable transactions considered involve the subject asset, this method is sometimes referred to as the prior transactions method.

30.3 A10.03 If few recent transactions have occurred, the valuer may consider the prices of identical or similar assets that are listed or offered for sale, provided the relevance of this information is clearly established, critically analysed and documented. This is sometimes referred to as the comparable listings method and should not be used as the sole indication of value but can be appropriate for consideration together with other methods. When considering listings or offers to buy or sell, the weight afforded to the listings/offers should consider the level of commitment inherent in the price and how long the listing/offer has been on the market. For example, an offer that represents a binding commitment to purchase or sell an asset at a given price may be given more weight than a quoted price without such a binding commitment.

30.4 A10.04 The comparable transaction method can use a variety of different comparable evidence, also known as units of comparison, which form the basis of the comparison. For example, a few of the many common units of comparison used for real property interests include price per square foot (or per square metre), rent per square foot (or per square metre) and capitalisation rates. A few of the many common units of comparison used in business valuation include EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and Amortisation) multiples, earnings multiples, revenue multiples and book value multiples. A few of the many common units of comparison used in financial instrument valuation include metrics such as yields and interest rate spreads. The units of comparison used by participants can differ between asset classes and across industries and geographies.

30.5 A10.05 A subset of the comparable transactions method is matrix pricing, which is principally used to value some types of financial instruments, such as debt securities, without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather relying on the securities’ relationship to other benchmark quoted securities and their attributes (ie, yield).
30.6 A10.06 The key steps in the comparable transactions’ method are:

(a) identify the units of comparison that are used by participants in the relevant market,

(b) identify the relevant comparable transactions and calculate the key valuation metrics for those transactions,

(c) perform a consistent comparative analysis of qualitative and quantitative similarities and differences between the comparable assets and the subject asset,

(d) make necessary adjustments, if any, to the valuation metrics to reflect differences between the subject asset and the comparable assets,

(e) apply the adjusted valuation metrics to the subject asset, and

(f) if multiple valuation metrics were used, reconcile the indications of value.

30.7 A10.07 The valuer should choose comparable transactions within the following context:

(a) evidence of several transactions is generally preferable to a single transaction or event,

(b) evidence from transactions of very similar assets (ideally identical) provides a better indication of value than assets where the transaction prices require significant adjustments,

(c) transactions that happen closer to the valuation date are more representative of the market at that date than older/dated transactions, particularly in volatile markets,

(d) for most bases of value, the transactions should be arm’s length between unrelated parties,

(e) sufficient information on the transaction should be available to allow the valuer to develop a reasonable understanding of the comparable asset and assess the valuation metrics/comparable evidence

(f) information on the comparable transactions should be from a reliable and trusted source, and

(g) actual transactions provide better valuation evidence than intended transactions.

30.8 A10.08 The valuer should analyse and make adjustments for any material significant differences between the comparable transactions and the subject asset. Examples of common differences that could warrant adjustments may include, but are not limited to:

(a) material characteristics (age, size, specifications, etc),

(b) size adjustments,

(c) size of the stake (partial or majority),

(d) relevant restrictions on either the subject asset or the comparable assets,
(e) geographical location (location of the asset and/or location of where the asset is likely to be transacted/used) and the related economic and regulatory environments,

(f) profitability or profit-making capability of the assets,

(g) historical and expected growth,

(h) yields/coupon rates,

(i) types of collateral,

(j) unusual terms in the comparable transactions,

(k) differences related to marketability and control characteristics of the comparable and the subject asset, and

(l) differences in ESG considerations, and

(m) ownership characteristics (eg, legal form of ownership, amount percentage held).

Guideline publicly-traded comparable method

30.9 A10.09 The guideline publicly-traded method utilises information on publicly-traded comparables that are the same or similar to the subject asset to arrive at an indication of value.

30.10 A10.10 This method is similar to the comparable transactions method. However, there are several differences due to the comparables being publicly traded, as follows:

(a) the valuation metrics/comparable evidence are available as of the valuation date,

(b) detailed information on the comparables is readily available in public filings,

(c) the information contained in public filings is prepared in accordance with well understood accounting, regulatory and legal standards.

30.11 A10.11 The method should be used only when the subject asset is sufficiently similar to the publicly-traded comparables to allow for meaningful comparison.

30.12 A10.12 The key steps in the guideline publicly-traded comparables method are as follows:

(a) identify the valuation metrics/comparable evidence that are used by participants in the relevant market,

(b) identify the relevant guideline publicly-traded comparables and calculate the key valuation metrics for those transactions,

(c) perform a consistent comparative analysis of qualitative and quantitative similarities and differences between the publicly-traded comparables and the subject asset,

(d) make necessary adjustments, if any, to the valuation metrics to reflect differences between the subject asset and the publicly-traded comparables,
(e) apply the adjusted valuation metrics to the subject asset, and
(f) weight the indications of value if multiple valuation metrics were used.

30.13 A10.13 The valuer should choose publicly-traded comparables within the following context:

(a) consideration of multiple publicly-traded comparables is preferred to the use of a single comparable,
(b) evidence from similar publicly-traded comparables (for example, with similar market segment, geographic area, size in revenue and/or assets, growth rates, profit margins, leverage, liquidity and diversification) provides a better indication of value than comparables that require significant adjustments, and
(c) securities that are actively traded provide more meaningful evidence than thinly-traded securities.

30.14 A10.14 The valuer should analyse and make adjustments for any material differences between the guideline publicly-traded comparables and the subject asset. Examples of common differences that could warrant adjustments may include, but are not limited to:

(a) material characteristics (age, size, specifications, etc),
(b) relevant discounts and premiums (see IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, para 30.17),
(c) relevant restrictions on either the subject asset or the comparable assets,
(d) geographical location of the underlying company and the related economic and regulatory environments,
(e) profitability or profit-making capability of the assets,
(f) historical and expected growth,
(g) differences related to marketability and control characteristics of the comparable and the subject asset, and
(h) differences in ESG considerations, and type of ownership
(i) subordination.

Other Market-Approach Considerations

30.15 A10.15 The following paragraphs address a non-exhaustive list of certain special considerations that may form part of a market approach valuation.

30.16 A10.16 Anecdotal or “rule-of-thumb” valuation benchmarks are sometimes considered to be a market approach. However, indications of value derived from the use of such rules should not be given substantial weight unless it can be shown that buyers and sellers place significant reliance on them.

30.17 A10.17 In the market approach, the fundamental basis for making adjustments is to adjust for differences between the subject asset
and the guideline transactions or publicly-traded securities. Some of the most common adjustments made in the market approach are known as discounts and premiums.

(a) Discounts for Lack of Marketability (DLOM) should be applied when the comparables are deemed to have superior marketability to the subject asset. A DLOM reflects the concept that when comparing otherwise identical assets, a readily marketable asset would have a higher value than an asset with a long marketing period or restrictions on the ability to sell the asset. For example, publicly-traded securities can be bought and sold nearly instantaneously while shares in a private company may require a significant amount of time to identify potential buyers and complete a transaction. Many bases of value allow the consideration of restrictions on marketability that are inherent in the subject asset but prohibit consideration of marketability restrictions that are specific to a particular owner. DLOMs may be quantified using any reasonable method, but are typically calculated using option pricing models, studies that compare the value of publicly-traded shares and restricted shares in the same company, or studies that compare the value of shares in a company before and after an initial public offering.

(b) Control Premiers, sometimes referred to as Market Participant Acquisition Premiums (MPAPs) and Discounts for Lack of Control (DLOC), are applied to reflect differences between the comparables and the subject asset with regard to the ability to make decisions and the changes that can be made as a result of exercising control. All else being equal, participants would generally prefer to have control over a subject asset than not. However, participants' willingness to pay a Control Premium or DLOC will generally be a factor of whether the ability to exercise control enhances the economic benefits available to the owner of the subject asset. Control Premiums and DLOCs may be quantified using any reasonable method, but are typically calculated based on either an analysis of the specific cash flow enhancements or reductions in risk associated with control or by comparing observed prices paid for controlling interests in publicly-traded securities to the publicly-traded price before such a transaction is announced. Examples of circumstances where Control Premiums and DLOCs should be considered include where:

(i) Shares of public companies generally do not have the ability to make decisions related to the operations of the company (they lack control). As such, when applying the guideline public comparable method to value a subject asset that reflects a controlling interest, a control premium may be appropriate, or

(ii) The guideline transactions in the guideline transaction method often reflect transactions of controlling interests. When using that method to value a subject asset that reflects a minority interest, a DLOC may be appropriate.
(c) Blockage discounts are sometimes applied when the subject asset represents a large block of shares in a publicly-traded security such that an owner would not be able to quickly sell the block in the public market without negatively influencing the publicly-traded price. Blockage discounts may be quantified using any reasonable method but typically a model is used that considers the length of time over which a participant could sell the subject shares without negatively impacting the publicly-traded price (ie, selling a relatively small portion of the security’s typical daily trading volume each day). Under certain bases of value, particularly fair value for financial reporting purposes, blockage discounts are prohibited.

50: A20. Income Approach Methods

50.1 A20.01 Although there are many ways to implement the income approach, methods under the income approach are effectively based on discounting future amounts of cash flow to present value. They are variations of the Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) method and the concepts in the following paragraphs apply in part or in full to all income approach methods.

Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) Method

50.2 A20.02 Under the DCF method the forecasted cash flow is discounted back to the valuation date, resulting in a present value of the asset.

50.3 A20.03 In some circumstances for long-lived or indefinite-lived assets, DCF may include a terminal value which represents the value of the asset at the end of the explicit projection period. In other circumstances, the value of an asset may be calculated solely using a terminal value with no explicit projection period. This is sometimes referred to as an income capitalisation method.

50.4 A20.04 The key steps in the DCF method are:

(a) choose the most appropriate type of cash flow for the nature of the subject asset and the assignment valuation (ie, pre-tax or post-tax, total cash flows or cash flows to equity, real or nominal, etc),

(b) determine the most appropriate explicit period, if any, over which the cash flow will be forecast,

(c) prepare cash flow forecasts for that period,

(d) determine whether a terminal value is appropriate for the subject asset at the end of the explicit forecast period (if any) and then determine the appropriate terminal value for the nature of the asset,

(e) determine the appropriate discount rate, and

(f) apply the discount rate to the forecasted future cash flow, including the terminal value, if any.

Type of Cash Flow

50.5 A20.05 When selecting the appropriate type of cash flow for the nature
of the asset or assignment valuation, the valuer must consider the following factors below. In addition, the discount rate and other inputs must be consistent with the type of cash flow chosen.

(a) Cash flow to whole asset or partial interest: typically cash flow to the whole asset is used. However, occasionally other levels of income may be used as well, such as cash flow to equity (after payment of interest and principal on debt) or dividends (only the cash flow distributed to equity owners). Cash flow to the whole asset is most commonly used because an asset should theoretically have a single value that is independent of how it is financed or whether income is paid as dividends or reinvested.

(b) The cash flow can be pre-tax or post-tax: the tax rate applied should be consistent with the basis of value and in many instances would be a participant tax rate rather than an owner-specific one.

(c) Nominal versus real: real cash flow does not consider inflation whereas nominal cash flows include expectations regarding inflation. If expected cash flow incorporates an expected inflation rate, the discount rate has to include an adjustment for inflation as well.

(d) Currency: the choice of currency used may have an impact on assumptions related to inflation and risk. This is particularly true in emerging markets or in currencies with high inflation rates. The currency in which the forecast is prepared and related risks are separate and distinct from risks associated with the country(ies) in which the asset resides or operates.

(e) The type of cash flow contained in the forecast: for example, a cash flow forecast may represent expected cash flows, i.e., probability-weighted scenarios, most likely cash flows, contractual cash flows, etc.

50.6 A20.06 The type of cash flow chosen should be in accordance with the participant’s viewpoints. For example, cash flows and discount rates for real property are customarily developed on a pre-tax basis while cash flows and discount rates for businesses are normally developed on a post-tax basis. Adjusting between pre-tax and post-tax rates can be complex and prone to error and should be approached with caution.

50.7 A20.07 When a valuation is being developed in a currency (“the valuation currency”) that differs from the currency used in the cash flow projections (“the functional currency”), the valuer should use one of the following two currency translation methods:

(a) Discount the cash flows in the functional currency using a discount rate appropriate for that functional currency. Convert the present value of the cash flows to the valuation currency at the spot rate on the valuation date.

(b) Use a currency exchange forward curve to translate the functional currency projections into valuation currency...
projections and discount the projections using a *discount rate* appropriate for the valuation currency. When a reliable currency exchange forward curve is not available (for example, due to lack of liquidity in the relevant currency exchange markets), it may not be possible to use this method and only the method described in para 50-A20.07 (a) can be applied.

### Explicit Forecast Period

50.8 A20.08 The selection criteria will depend upon the *intended use purpose* of the valuation, the nature of the *asset*, the information available and the required *bases of value*. For an *asset* with a short life, it is more likely to be both possible and relevant to project cash flow over its entire life.

50.9 A20.09 The *valuer should* consider the following factors when selecting the explicit forecast period:

(a) the life of the *asset*,

(b) a reasonable period for which reliable *data* is available on which to base the projections,

(c) the minimum explicit forecast period which *should* be sufficient for an *asset* to achieve a stabilised level of growth and profits, after which a terminal value can be used,

(d) in the *valuation* of cyclical assets, the explicit forecast period *should* generally include an entire cycle, when possible, and

(e) for finite-lived *assets* such as most financial instruments, the cash flows will typically be forecast over the full life of the *asset*.

50.10 A20.10 In some instances, particularly when the *asset* is operating at a stabilised level of growth and profits at the *valuation date*, it may not be necessary to consider an explicit forecast period and a terminal value may form the only *basis for value* (sometimes referred to as an income capitalisation method).

50.11 A20.11 The intended holding period for one investor *should* not be the only consideration in selecting an explicit forecast period and *should* not impact the *value* of an *asset*. However, the period over which an *asset* is intended to be held may be considered in determining the explicit forecast period if the objective of the *valuation* is to determine its *investment value*.

### Cash Flow Forecasts

50.12 A20.12 Cash flow for the explicit forecast period is constructed using prospective financial information (PFI) (projected income/inflows and expenditure/outflows).

50.13 A20.13 As required by IVS 103 *Valuation Approaches*, para 50.12, regardless of the source of the PFI (eg, management forecast), the *valuer must* perform analysis to evaluate the PFI, the assumptions underlying the PFI and their appropriateness for the *intended use* of the *valuation purpose*. The suitability of the PFI and the underlying assumptions
will depend on the *purpose intended use* and the required *bases of value*. For example, cash flow used to determine *market value* should reflect PFI that would be anticipated by participants; in contrast, *investment value* can be measured using cash flow that is based on the reasonable forecasts from the perspective of a particular investor.

50.14 A20.14 The cash flow is divided into suitable periodic intervals (e.g., weekly, monthly, quarterly or annually) with the choice of interval depending upon the nature of the *asset*, the pattern of the cash flow, the *data* available, and the length of the forecast period.

50.15 A20.15 The projected cash flow *should* capture the amount and timing of all future cash inflows and outflows associated with the subject *asset* from the perspective appropriate to the *basis of value*.

50.16 A20.16 Typically, the projected cash flow will reflect one of the following:

(a) contractual or promised cash flow,
(b) the single most likely set of cash flow,
(c) the *probability-weighted* expected cash flow, or
(d) multiple scenarios of possible future cash flow.

50.17 A20.17 Different types of cash flow often reflect different levels of risk and may require different *discount rates*. For example, *probability-weighted* expected cash flows incorporate expectations regarding all possible outcomes and are not dependent on any particular conditions or events (note that when a probability-weighted expected cash flow is used, it is not always necessary for the *valuer* to take into account distributions of all possible cash flows using complex models and techniques. Rather, the *valuer* may develop a limited number of discrete scenarios and probabilities that capture the array of possible cash flows). A single most likely set of cash flows may be conditional on certain future events and therefore could reflect different risk and warrant a different discount rate.

50.18 A20.18 While the *valuer* often receives PFI that reflects accounting income and expenses, it is generally preferable to use cash flow that would be anticipated by participants as the basis for *valuations*. For example, accounting non-cash expenses, such as depreciation and amortisation, *should* be added back, and expected cash outflows relating to capital expenditures or to changes in working capital *should* be deducted in calculating cash flow.

50.19 A20.19 The *valuer must* ensure that seasonality and cyclicality in the subject has been appropriately considered in the cash flow forecasts.

**Terminal Value**

50.20 A20.20 Where the *asset* is expected to continue beyond the explicit forecast period, the *valuer must* estimate the *value* of the *asset* at the end of that period. The terminal value is then discounted back to the *valuation date*, normally using the same discount rate as applied to the forecast cash flow.
50.21 A20.21 The terminal value should consider:

(a) whether the asset is deteriorating/finite-lived in nature or indefinite-lived, as this will influence the method used to calculate a terminal value,

(b) whether there is future growth potential for the asset beyond the explicit forecast period,

(c) whether there is a pre-determined fixed capital amount, capital expenditure or return condition expected to be received at the end of the explicit forecast period,

(d) the expected risk level of the asset at the time the terminal value is calculated,

(e) for cyclical assets, the terminal value should consider the cyclical nature of the asset and should not be performed in a way that assumes “peak” or “trough” levels of cash flows in perpetuity,

(f) the tax attributes inherent in the asset at the end of the explicit forecast period (if any) and whether those tax attributes would be expected to continue into perpetuity, and

(g) risks and opportunities associated with environmental, social and governance characteristics of the subject asset.

50.22 A20.22 The valuer may apply any reasonable method for calculating a terminal value. While there are many different approaches to calculating a terminal value, the three most commonly used methods are:

(a) Gordon growth model/constant growth model, (appropriate only for indefinite-lived assets)

(b) market approach/exit value (appropriate for both deteriorating/finite-lived assets and indefinite-lived assets), and

(c) salvage value/disposal cost (appropriate only for deteriorating/finite-lived assets).

**Gordon Growth Model/Constant Growth Model**

50.23 A20.23 The Gordon growth/constant growth model assumes that the cash flow from the asset grows (or declines) at a constant rate into perpetuity.

**Market Approach/Exit Value**

50.24 A20.24 The market approach/exit value method can be performed in a number of ways, but the ultimate goal is to calculate the value of the asset at the end of the explicit cash flow forecast.

50.25 A20.25 Common ways to calculate the terminal value under this method include application of a market-evidence based capitalisation factor or a market multiple.

50.26 A20.26 When a market approach/exit value is used, the valuer should comply with the requirements in the market approach and market
approach methods section of this standard (see IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, section 20 and Appendix A10 sections 20 and 30). However, the valuer should also consider the expected market conditions at the end of the explicit forecast period and make adjustments accordingly.

**Salvage Value/Disposal Cost**

50.27 A20.27 The terminal value of some assets may have little or no relationship to the preceding cash flow. Examples of such assets include wasting assets such as a mine or an oil well.

50.28 A20.28 In such cases, the terminal value is typically calculated as the salvage value of the asset, less costs to dispose of the asset. In circumstances where the costs exceed the salvage value, the terminal value is negative and referred to as a disposal cost or an asset retirement obligation.

**Discount Rate**

50.29 A20.29 The rate at which the forecast cash flow is discounted should reflect not only the time value of money, but also the risks associated with the type of cash flow and the future operations of the asset.

50.30 A20.30 The discount rate must be consistent with the type of cash flow.

50.31 A20.31 The valuer may use any reasonable method for developing an appropriate discount rate. While there are many methods for developing a discount rate or determining the reasonableness of a discount rate, a non-exhaustive list of common methods includes:

(a) a capital asset pricing model (CAPM),
(b) a weighted-average-cost-of-capital (WACC),
(c) observed or inferred rates/yields,
(d) a build-up method.

50.32 A20.32 The valuer should consider corroborative analyses when assessing the appropriateness of a discount rate. A non-exhaustive list of common analysis should include:

(a) an internal rate of return (IRR),
(b) a weighted average return on assets (WARA),
(c) value indications from other approaches, such as market approach, or comparing implied multiples from the income approach with guideline company market multiples or transaction multiples.

50.33 A20.33 In developing a discount rate, the valuer should consider:

(a) the type of asset being valued. For example, discount rates used in valuing debt would be different to those used when valuing real property or a business,
(b) the rates implicit in comparable transactions in the market,
(c) the geographical location of the asset and/or the location of the markets in which it would trade,
(d) the life/term and/or maturity of the asset and the consistency of inputs. For example, the maturity of the risk-free rate applied will depend on the circumstances, but a common approach is to match the maturity of the risk-free rate to the time horizon of the cash flows being considered.
(e) the bases of value being applied, and
(f) the currency denomination of the projected cash flows.

50.34 A20.34 In developing a discount rate, the valuer must:
(a) document the method used for developing the discount rate and support its use,
(b) provide evidence for the derivation of the discount rate, including the identification of the significant inputs and support for their derivation or source.

50.35 A20.35 The valuer must consider the purpose intended use for which the forecast was prepared and whether the forecast assumptions are consistent with the basis of value being applied. If the forecast assumptions are not consistent with the basis of value, it could be necessary to adjust the forecast or discount rate. (see para 50.38)

50.36 A20.36 The valuer must consider the risk of achieving the forecast cash flow of the asset when developing the discount rate. Specifically, the valuer must evaluate whether the risk underlying the forecast cash flow assumptions are captured in the discount rate.

50.37 A20.37 While there are many ways to assess the risk of achieving the forecast cash flow, a non-exhaustive list of common procedures includes:
(a) identify the key components of the forecast cash flow and compare the forecast cash flow key components to:
   (i) historical operating and financial performance of the asset,
   (ii) historical and expected performance of comparable assets,
   (iii) historical and expected performance for the industry, and
   (iv) expected near-term and long-term growth rates of the country or region in which the asset primarily operates,
(b) confirm whether the forecast cash flow represents expected cash flows (ie, probability-weighted scenarios), as opposed to most likely cash flows (ie, most probable scenario) of the asset, or some other type of cash flow,
(c) if utilising expected cash flows, consider the relative dispersion of potential outcomes used to derive the expected cash flows.
(eg, higher dispersion may indicate a need for an adjustment to the discount rate),
(d) compare prior forecasts of the asset to actual results to assess the accuracy and reliability of managements’ estimates,
(e) consider qualitative factors,
(f) consider the value indications such as those resulting from the market approach, and
(g) consider the risks associated with environmental, social and governance characteristics of the subject asset.

50.38 A20.38 If the valuer determines that certain risks included in the forecast cash flow for the asset have not been captured in the discount rate, the valuer must:

(a) Adjust the forecast; when adjusting the cash flow forecast: The valuer should provide the rationale for why the adjustments were necessary, undertake quantitative procedures to support the adjustments, and document the nature and amount of the adjustments.

(b) Adjust the discount rate to account for those risks not already captured: When adjusting the discount rate, the valuer should document why it was not appropriate or possible to adjust the cash flow forecast, provide the rationale for why such risks are not otherwise captured in the discount rate, undertake quantitative and qualitative procedures to support the adjustments, and document the nature and amount of the adjustment. The use of quantitative procedures does not necessarily entail quantitative derivation of the adjustment to the discount rate. The valuer need not conduct an exhaustive quantitative process but should take into account all the information that is reasonably available.

50.39 A20.39 In developing a discount rate, it may be appropriate to consider the impact the asset's unit of account has on unsystematic risks and the derivation of the overall discount rate. For example, the valuer should consider whether market participants would assess the discount rate for the asset on a stand-alone basis, or whether market participants would assess the asset in the context of a broader portfolio and therefore consider the potential diversification of unsystematic risks.

50.40 A20.40 The valuer should consider the impact of inter-company arrangements and transfer pricing on the discount rate. For example, it is not uncommon for inter-company arrangements to specify fixed or guaranteed returns for some businesses or entities within a larger enterprise, which would lower the risk of the entity forecasted cash flows and reduce the appropriate discount rate. However, other businesses or entities within the enterprise are deemed to be residual earners in which both excess return and risk are allocated, thereby increasing the risk of the entity forecasted cash flows and the appropriate discount rate.
Cost Approach Methods

Broadly, there are three cost approach methods:

(a) replacement cost method: a method that indicates *value* by calculating the *cost* of a similar *asset* offering equivalent utility,

(b) reproduction cost method: a method under the *cost* that indicates *value* by calculating the *cost* to recreating a replica of an *asset*, and

(c) summation method: a method that calculates the *value* of an *asset* by the addition of the separate *values* of its component parts.

*Replacement Cost Method*

Generally, replacement cost is the *cost* that is relevant to determining the *price* that a participant would pay as it is based on replicating the utility of the *asset*, not the exact physical properties of the *asset*.

Usually replacement cost is adjusted for physical deterioration and all relevant forms of obsolescence. After such adjustments, this can be referred to as depreciated replacement cost.

The key steps in the replacement cost method are:

(a) calculate all of the *costs* that would be incurred by a typical participant seeking to create or obtain an *asset* providing equivalent utility,

(b) determine whether there is any depreciation related to physical, functional and external obsolescence associated with the subject *asset*, and

(c) deduct total depreciation from the total *costs* to arrive at a *value* for the subject *asset*.

The replacement cost is generally that of a modern equivalent *asset*, which is one that provides similar function and equivalent utility to the *asset* being valued, but which is of a current design and constructed or made using current cost-effective materials and techniques.

*Reproduction Cost Method*

Reproduction cost is appropriate in circumstances such as the following:

(a) the *cost* of a modern equivalent *asset* is greater than the *cost* of recreating a replica of the subject *asset*, or

(b) the utility offered by the subject *asset* could only be provided by a replica rather than a modern equivalent.

The key steps in the reproduction cost method are:

(a) calculate all of the *costs* that would be incurred by a typical participant seeking to create an exact replica of the subject *asset*,
(b) determine whether there is any depreciation related to physical, functional and external obsolescence associated with the subject asset, and

(c) deduct total depreciation from the total costs to arrive at a value for the subject asset.

**Summation Method**

**70.8 A30.08** The summation method, also referred to as the underlying asset method, is typically used for investment companies or other types of assets or entities for which value is primarily a factor of the values of their holdings.

**70.9 A30.09** The key steps in the summation method are:

(a) value each of the component assets that are part of the subject asset using the appropriate valuation approaches, and methods; and

(b) add the value of the component assets together to reach the value of the subject asset.

**Cost Considerations**

**70.10 A30.10** The cost approach should capture all of the costs that would be incurred by a typical participant.

**70.11 A30.11** The cost elements may differ depending on the type of asset and should include the direct and indirect costs that would be required to replace/recreate the asset as of the valuation date. Some common items to consider include, but are not limited to:

(a) direct costs:

   (i) materials, and

   (ii) labour

(b) indirect costs:

   (i) transport costs

   (ii) installation costs

   (iii) professional fees (design, permit, architectural, legal, etc)

   (iv) other fees (commissions, etc)

   (v) overheads

   (vi) taxes

   (vii) finance costs (eg, interest on debt financing), and

   (viii) profit margin/entrepreneurial profit to the creator of the asset (eg, return to investors).

**70.12 A30.12** An asset acquired from a third party would presumably reflect their costs associated with creating the asset as well as some form of profit margin to provide a return on their investment. As such, under bases of value that assume a hypothetical transaction, it may be appropriate to include an assumed profit margin on certain
costs which can be expressed as a target profit, either a lump sum or a percentage return on cost or value. However, financing costs, if included, may already reflect participants’ required return on capital deployed, so the valuer should be cautious when including both financing costs and profit margins.

70.13 A30.13 When costs are derived from actual, quoted or estimated prices by third party suppliers or contractors, these costs will already include a third parties’ desired level of profit.

70.14 A30.14 The actual costs incurred in creating the subject asset (or a comparable reference asset) may be available and provide a relevant indicator of the cost of the asset. However, adjustments may need to be made to reflect the following:

(a) cost fluctuations between the date on which this cost was incurred and the valuation date, and

(b) any atypical or exceptional costs, or savings that are reflected in the cost data but that would not arise in creating an equivalent.

80. Depreciation/Obsolescence

80.1 A30.15 In the context of the cost approach, “depreciation” refers to adjustments made to the estimated cost of creating an asset of equal utility to reflect the impact on value of any obsolescence affecting the subject asset. This meaning is different from the use of the word in financial reporting or tax law where it generally refers to a method for systematically expensing capital expenditure over time.

80.2 A30.16 Depreciation adjustments are normally considered for the following types of obsolescence, which may be further divided into sub-categories when making adjustments:

(a) physical obsolescence: any loss of utility due to the physical deterioration of the asset or its components resulting from its age and usage,

(b) functional obsolescence: any loss of utility resulting from inefficiencies in the subject asset compared with its replacement such as its design, specification or technology being outdated,

(c) external or economic obsolescence: any loss of utility caused by economic or locational factors external to the asset. This type of obsolescence can be temporary or permanent.

80.3 A30.17 Depreciation/obsolescence should consider the physical and economic lives of the asset:

(a) The physical life is how long the asset could be used before it would be worn out or beyond economic repair, assuming routine maintenance but disregarding any potential for refurbishment or reconstruction,

(b) The economic life is how long it is anticipated that the asset could generate financial returns or provide a non-financial benefit in its current use. It will be influenced by the degree
of functional or economic obsolescence to which the asset is exposed.

80.4 A30.18 Except for some types of economic or external obsolescence, most types of obsolescence are measured by making comparisons between the subject asset and the hypothetical asset on which the estimated replacement or reproduction cost is based. However, when market evidence of the effect of obsolescence on value is available, that evidence should be considered.

80.5 A30.19 Physical obsolescence can be measured in two different ways:

(a) curable physical obsolescence, ie, the cost to fix/cure the obsolescence, or

(b) incurable physical obsolescence which considers the asset's age, expected total and remaining life where the adjustment for physical obsolescence is equivalent to the proportion of the expected total life consumed. Total expected life may be expressed in any reasonable way, including expected life in years, mileage, units produced, etc.

80.6 A30.20 There are two forms of functional obsolescence:

(a) excess capital cost, which can be caused by changes in design, materials of construction, technology or manufacturing techniques resulting in the availability of modern equivalent assets with lower capital costs than the subject asset, and

(b) excess operating cost, which can be caused by improvements in design or excess capacity resulting in the availability of modern equivalent assets with lower operating costs than the subject asset.

80.7 A30.21 Economic obsolescence may arise when external factors affect an individual asset or all the assets employed in a business and should be deducted after physical deterioration and functional obsolescence. For real estate, examples of economic obsolescence include but are not limited to:

(a) adverse changes to demand for the products or services produced by the asset,

(b) oversupply in the market for the asset,

(c) a disruption or loss of a supply of labour or raw material,

(d) the asset being used by a business that cannot afford to pay a market rent for the assets and still generate a market rate of return, and

(e) adverse changes in the environmental, social and governance characteristics of the subject asset.

80.8 A30.22 Cash or cash equivalents do not suffer obsolescence and are not adjusted. Marketable assets are not adjusted below their market value determined using the market approach.
10. **Introduction**

10.01 *Data and inputs* are used in developing *values* for all types of *assets* and *liabilities*. *Inputs* are derived from *data*, along with assumptions and adjustments and are used in the quantitative development of a *value* conclusion.

10.02 *Data and inputs* should be based on factual information (such as measurements or published *prices*), but often include reasoning and analysis in order to arrive at an *input* to be used in the *valuation*.

10.03 The *valuation* should maximise the use of *observable data*. *Observable data* is defined as information that is readily available to market participants about actual events or transactions that are used in determining the *value* for the *asset or liability*.

10.04 The *valuer* is responsible for assessing and selecting the *data*, assumptions and adjustments to be used as *inputs* in the *valuation* based upon professional judgement and professional scepticism.

20. **Use of a Specialist or Service Organisation**

20.01 If the *valuer* does not possess all of the necessary *data* to perform all aspects of the *valuation*, it is acceptable for the *valuer* to engage a *specialist* or *service organisation*. 

---

**IVS 104 Data and Inputs**

This Chapter is a new Chapter.
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20.02 Prior to using a specialist or service organisation, the valuer must ensure their capabilities meet the requirements of the intended use and must document their capabilities.

30. Characteristics of Relevant Data

30.01 The valuer must determine the data that is relevant, which for the purposes of IVS 104 Data and Inputs means “fitness for use” in terms of the asset and/or liability being valued, the scope of work, the valuation method and the valuation model.

30.02 The valuer must apply professional judgement to balance the characteristics of relevant data listed below in order to choose the inputs used in the valuation. The characteristics of relevant data are:

(a) accurate: data are free from error and bias and reflect the characteristics that they are designed to measure,
(b) complete: set of data are sufficient to address attributes of the assets or liabilities,
(c) timely: data reflect the market conditions as of the valuation date,
(d) transparent: the source of the data can be traced from their origin.

30.03 In certain cases, the data may not incorporate all of these characteristics. Therefore, the valuer must assess data and conclude based on professional judgement that the data is relevant to value the assets and/or liabilities in accordance with the scope of work and the valuation method.

40. Input Selection

40.01 Inputs must be selected from relevant data in the context of the asset and/or liability being valued, the scope of work, the valuation method, and the valuation model.

40.02 Inputs must be sufficient for the valuation models being used to value the asset or liability based on the valuer using professional judgement.

40.03 When valuing portfolios or groups of similar assets or liabilities, inputs should be selected appropriately across those portfolios or groups of assets.

40.04 If significant inputs are inadequate or cannot be sufficiently justified, the valuation would not comply with IVS.

50. Data and Input Documentation

50.01 The source, selection and use of significant data and inputs must be explained, justified, and documented.

50.02 Documentation must be sufficient to enable the valuer applying professional judgement to understand why specific data was determined to be relevant and inputs were selected and were considered reasonable.

50.03 The form and location of documentation may vary based on the scope of work.
The valuer should be aware of relevant legislation and frameworks in relation to the environmental, social and governance factors impacting a valuation.

A10. Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG)

A10.01 The impact of significant ESG factors should be considered in determining the value of a company, asset or liability.

A10.02 ESG factors may impact valuations both from a qualitative and quantitative perspective and may pose risks or opportunities that should be considered.

A10.03 Examples of environmental factors may include but are not limited to the following:

(a) air and water pollution,
(b) biodiversity,
(c) climate change (current and future risks),
(d) clean water and sanitation,
(e) carbon and other gas emissions,
(f) deforestation,
(g) natural disaster,
(h) resource scarcity or efficiency (eg, energy, water and raw materials),
(i) waste management.

A10.04 Examples of social factors may include but are not limited to the following:

(a) community relations,
(b) conflict,
(c) customer satisfaction,
(d) data protection and privacy,
(e) development of human capital (health & education),
(f) employee engagement,
(g) gender equality and racial equality,
(h) good health and well-being,
(i) human rights,
(j) working conditions,
(k) working environment.

A10.05 Examples of governance factors may include but are not limited to the following:

(a) audit committee structure,
(b) board diversity and structure,
(c) bribery and corruption,
(d) corporate governance,
(e) donations,
(f) ESG reporting standards and regulatory costs,
(g) executive remuneration,
(h) institutional strength,
(i) management succession planning,
(j) partnerships,
(k) political lobbying,
(l) rule of law,
(m) transparency,
(n) whistle-blower schemes.

A10.06 ESG factors and the ESG regulatory environment should be considered in valuations to the extent that they are measurable and would be considered reasonable by the valuer applying professional judgement.
IVS 105 Valuation Models

This Chapter replaces IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods Section 90.
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IVS 105 Valuation Models addresses the selection and use of valuation models to be used in the valuation process.

No model without the valuer applying professional judgement, for example an automated valuation model (AVM), can produce an IVS-compliant valuation.

10. Introduction

10.01 A valuation model is a tool used for the quantitative implementation of a valuation method in whole or in part. A valuation model converts inputs into outputs used in the development of a value, whereas a valuation method is a specific technique to develop a value.

10.02 Valuation models must be suitable for the intended use of the valuation and consistent with inputs.

10.03 Valuation models can be developed internally or sourced externally from a specialist or service organisation.

10.04 Valuation models used must be tested to ensure accuracy of the output is appropriate for the intended use, basis of value and the assets and/or liabilities being valued.

10.05 In all cases the valuer must apply professional judgement and professional scepticism in the selection and use of valuation models and the application of inputs used in the valuation model.

20. Use of a Specialist or Service Organisation

20.01 If the valuer does not possess all of the necessary valuation models to perform all aspects of the valuation, it is acceptable for the valuer to engage a specialist or service organisation to provide a valuation model.
Prior to using a specialist or service organisation, the valuer must assess and document their capabilities.

### Characteristics of Appropriate Valuation Models

The valuer must determine that the valuation model is appropriate, which for the purposes of IVS 105 Valuation Models means “fit for purpose” in terms of assets or liabilities being valued, the scope of work and the valuation method. The valuer must apply professional judgement to balance the characteristics of a valuation model in order to choose the most appropriate valuation model. The characteristics of appropriate valuation models are shown below:

- **Accuracy**: The valuation model is free from error and functions in a manner consistent with the objectives of the valuation.
- **Completeness**: The valuation model addresses all the features of the asset and/or liability to determine value.
- **Timeliness**: The valuation model reflects the market conditions as of the valuation date.
- **Transparency**: All persons preparing and relying on the valuation model must understand how the valuation model works and its inherent limitations.

In certain cases, the valuation model may not incorporate all of these characteristics. Therefore, the valuer must assess and conclude that the valuation model is appropriate to value the assets and/or liabilities in accordance with the scope of work and the valuation method.

### Valuation Model Selection and Use

The valuation model should be selected in the context of the intended use, basis of value and the asset and/or liability being valued.

Regardless of whether the valuation model is developed internally or externally sourced the valuer must assess the valuation model in order to determine that the valuation model is fit for its intended use.

The valuer must understand the way the valuation model operates.

The valuation model should be tested for functionality and outputs must be analysed for accuracy. Any significant limitations should be identified, along with any potentially significant adjustments.

Valuation models used over time should be maintained, monitored, assessed, and adjusted to ensure that they remain appropriate, accurate and complete.

If significant limitations have been identified or adjustments required then these must be explained, justified, and documented.

If significant limitations or adjustments cannot be sufficiently justified, the valuation would not comply with IVS.
50. Valuation Model Documentation

50.01 A suitable valuation model should have documentation that includes the following information:

(a) support for the selection or creation of the valuation model,
(b) description of the inputs and outputs,
(c) significant inputs,
(d) limitations, and
(e) quality control procedures and results.

50.02 Documentation should be sufficient to describe why the valuation model(s) were selected and considered by the valuer applying professional judgement.
Valuation reports and documentation are a critical and defining feature of IVS, which collectively assist in creating consistency, professionalism, transparency, comparability, and trust in *valuation* to serve the public interest.

10. Introduction

10.01 An IVS-compliant *valuation* *must* have sufficient documentation and reporting to describe and provide transparency to the *intended user* on the *valuation approach(es)*, *valuation methods*, *inputs*, *valuation models*, *professional judgement*, and resultant *value(s)*.

It is essential that the *valuation report* communicates the information necessary for proper understanding of the *valuation* or *valuation review*. A report *must* provide the intended users with a clear understanding of the *valuation*.

10.02 The results of a *valuation* or *valuation review* *must* be documented and reported in writing and may include paper, electronic files, or other forms of recorded media.

To provide useful information, the report *must* set out a clear and accurate description of the scope of the assignment, its *purpose* and *intended use* (including any limitations on that use) and disclosure of any assumptions, special assumptions (IVS 104 *Bases of Value*, para 200.4), *significant uncertainty* or *limiting* *conditions* that directly affect the *valuation*.
10.03 Documentation and reporting requirements apply regardless of whether the valuer is employed by the client or externally engaged by the client.

This standard applies to all valuation reports or reports on the outcome of a valuation review which may range from comprehensive narrative reports to abbreviated summary reports.

10.04 Documentation must be maintained throughout the valuation and must describe the valuation and the basis of conclusions made. The level of documentation must at a minimum meet the requirements contained in IVS 106 Documentation and Reporting, section 20.

For certain asset classes there may be variations from these standards or additional requirements to be reported upon. These are found in the relevant IVS Asset Standards.

10.05 Reporting must be provided to the client in writing (see para 10.02 of this standard). The level of reporting must at a minimum meet the requirements contained in section 30 of this standard.

20. General Requirements Documentation

20.01 Documentation is the written record of the valuation or valuation review and may include communications with the client, working papers, or both, used to support the conclusions reached and compliance with IVS. The purpose of the valuation, the complexity of the asset being valued and the users’ requirements will determine the level of detail appropriate to the valuation report. The format of the report should be agreed with all parties as part of establishing a scope of work (see IVS 101 Scope of Work).

20.02 Documentation must be maintained to describe the valuation or valuation review and must be sufficient to describe the conclusion reached by the valuer. Documentation must be adequate to allow the valuer applying professional judgement to understand the scope of the valuation, the work performed, and the conclusions reached. Compliance with this standard does not require a particular form or format of report; however, the report must be sufficient to communicate to the intended users the scope of the valuation assignment, the work performed and the conclusions reached.

20.03 In some cases, all documentation is included in the valuation report or valuation review report. In other cases, depending on the agreed scope of work, additional documentation must be maintained. Documentation should include but is not limited to communications with the client, alternative methods explored, additional data and inputs considered, risks and biases addressed, professional judgement used, and the valuation quality control procedures followed. The report should also be sufficient for an appropriately experienced valuation professional with no prior involvement with the valuation engagement to review the report and understand the items in paras 30.1 and 40.1, as applicable.
20.04 In all cases, documentation should describe the valuation or valuation review and how the valuer managed valuation risk. The valuer must keep a copy of any report issued on the value and a record of the valuation work performed for a period in accordance with legal, regulatory, authoritative or contractual requirements relative to the intended use.

30. Valuation Reports

30.01 Valuation reports must provide, in sufficient detail, a clear and well-structured description of the basis for the conclusion of value.

30.02 Valuation reports may reference other documents. These documents may include but are not limited to scope of work, internal policies, and procedures.

30.03 Valuation reports should include all information necessary to provide the client with a clear description of the scope of work, the work performed, professional judgements made and the basis for conclusions reached.

30.04 The format of the valuation reports may range from comprehensive narrative reports to abbreviated summary reports.

30.05 Standing engagements that require frequent or repeated valuations may provide updates to an existing IVS-compliant report providing it is agreed upon in the scope of work.

30.06 Where the report is the result of an assignment involving the valuation of an asset or assets, the Valuation reports must convey the following, at a minimum:

(a) agreed scope of the work, the scope of the work performed, including the elements noted in para 20.3 of IVS 101 Scope of Work, to the extent that each is applicable to the assignment,

(b) assets and/or liabilities being valued,

(c) the identity of the valuer,

(d) the purpose;

(e) intended use,

(f) intended users, if applicable,

(g) valuation currency(ies) used,

(h) valuation date(s),

(i) basis/es of value adopted,

(j) the valuation approach(es) adopted,

(k) the method or valuation method(s) or valuation model(s) applied,

(l) sources and selection of significant data and the key inputs used,

(m) significant environmental, social and governance factors used and considered,
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(回味) (n) significant or special the assumptions made, and/or limiting conditions,

(o) findings of a specialist or service organisation,

(回味) (p) the conclusion(s) of value and rationale for valuation, principal reasons for any conclusions reached, and

(q) IVS compliance statement,

(回味) (r) the date of the report (which may differ from the valuation date).

30.07 In all instances the valuation report must be sufficient to describe the conclusion reached and be considered reasonable by the valuer applying professional judgement.

30.2 Some of the above requirements may be explicitly included in a report or incorporated into a report through reference to other documents (engagement letters, scope of work documents, internal policies and procedures, etc).

30.08 If the valuer concludes that a limitation or restriction will impact compliance with IVS, the valuer must not state that the report is compliant with IVS.

40. Valuation Review Reports

40.01 A valuation review is not a valuation. A valuation review must state whether the review is a valuation process review or a value review or both:

(a) a valuation process review addresses compliance with IVS,

(b) a value review addresses the reasonableness of a value.

40.1 Where the report is the result of a valuation review, the report must convey the following, at a minimum:

(a) the scope of the review performed, including the elements noted in para 20.3 of IVS 101 Scope of Work to the extent each is applicable to the assignment,

(b) the valuation report being reviewed and the inputs and assumptions upon which that valuation was based,

(c) the reviewer’s conclusions about the work under review, including supporting reasons, and

(d) the date of the report (which may differ from the valuation date).

40.02 If a value is provided as part of the value review, then this is a valuation (see section 30 of this standard).

40.2 Some of the above requirements may be explicitly included in a report or incorporated into a report through reference to other documents (eg, engagement letters, scope of work documents, internal policies and procedures, etc).

40.03 A valuation review must convey the following, at a minimum:

(a) agreed scope of the valuation review,
(b) assets and/or liabilities reviewed,
(c) the identity of the valuation reviewer,
(d) the identity of the client,
(e) intended use,
(f) intended users, if applicable,
(g) significant or special assumptions and/or limiting conditions pertaining to the valuation reviewed,
(h) the use of a specialist or service organisation if used, as part of the valuation review,
(i) procedures undertaken and the documentation reviewed,
(j) the valuation reviewer’s conclusions about the work under review, including supporting reasons, and
(k) the subject of the review,
(l) the date of the valuation review report,
(m) the version of IVS that is applicable to the review.

40.04 In all instances the valuation review report must be sufficient to describe the conclusion reached and be considered reasonable by the valuer applying professional judgement.
Asset Standards
10. Overview

10.01 The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of businesses and business interests. This standard contains additional requirements that apply to valuations of businesses and business interests.

20. Introduction

20.01 The definition of what constitutes a business may differ depending on the purpose intended use of a valuation, but generally involves an organisation or integrated collection of assets and/or liabilities engaged in commercial, industrial, service or investment activity. Generally, a business would include more than one asset (or a single asset and/or liability in which the value is dependent on employing
additional assets and/or liabilities working together to generate economic activity that differs from the outputs that would be generated by the individual assets and/or liabilities on their own.

20.02 Individual intangible assets, or a group of intangible assets, might not constitute a business but would nonetheless be within the scope of this standard if such assets generate economic activity that differs from the outputs that would be generated by the individual assets on their own. If the assets do not meet these criteria, the valuer should defer to IVS 210 Intangible Assets and IVS 220 Non-Financial Liabilities.

20.03 The commercial, industrial, service or investment activity of the business may result in greater economic activity (ie, value), than those assets and/or liabilities would generate separately. The excess value is often referred to as going concern value or goodwill. This excess value may constitute a separate asset under certain bases of value in certain situations. The absence of excess value does not automatically mean that the asset or group of assets does not constitute a business. In addition, economically, substantially all of the value of assets and/or liabilities within a business may reside in a single asset.

20.04 Businesses can take many legal forms, such as including but not limited to corporations, partnerships, joint ventures and sole proprietorships. However, Businesses can also include subsets or specific business activities of an entity, such as a division, a branch, or a segment. could take other forms such as a division, branch, line of business, segment, cash generating unit and asset group that can consist of parts of one or more legal entities.

20.05 Interests in a business (eg, securities) can also take many forms. To determine the value of a business interest, the valuer should apply these standards to first determine the value of the underlying business by applying these standards. In such instances, business interests should be fall within the scope of this standard. Depending on the nature of the interest, certain other standards may be applicable.

20.06 The valuer must establish whether the valuation is of performed for the entire entity or business, shares, or a shareholding in the entity and whether it is a controlling or non-controlling interest, or a specific business activity of the entity.

20.07 The valuer must specify and define the business or business interest being valued. This includes but is not limited to:

(a) enterprise value: often described as the total value of the equity in a business plus the value of its debt or debt-related liabilities, minus any cash or cash equivalents available to meet those liabilities,

(b) total invested capital value: often described as the total amount of money currently invested in a business, regardless of the source, often reflected as the value of total assets less current liabilities and cash.
(c) operating value: often described as the total value of the operations of the business, excluding the value of any non-operating assets and liabilities: and
(d) equity value: often described as the value of a business to all of its equity shareholders.

20.08 The valuer must specify and define the proportion of the interest valued and its related impact on the valuation.

19.8 Valuations of businesses are required for different purposes intended uses including but not limited to acquisitions, mergers and sales of businesses, taxation, litigation, insolvency proceedings, and financial reporting. Business valuations may also be needed as an input or step in other valuations such as the valuation of stock options, particular class(es) of stock, or debt.

30. Bases of Value

30.01 In accordance with IVS 104 Bases of Value, the valuer must select the appropriate basis(es) of value when valuing a business or business interest.

30.02 Often, business valuations are performed using bases of value defined by entities/organisations other than the IVSC, (some examples of which are mentioned in IVS 104 Bases of Value). Some examples of these bases of value are mentioned in IVS 102 Bases of Value.

30.03 It is the valuer’s responsibility to understand and follow the legislation, regulation, case law and/or other interpretive guidance related to those bases of value effective at as of the valuation date.

40. Valuation Approaches and Methods

40.01 The three principal valuation approaches described in IVS 105 Valuation Approaches may be applied to the valuation of businesses and business interests.

40.02 When selecting an valuation approach and valuation method, in addition to the requirements of this standard, the valuer must follow the requirements of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches, including para 10.4.

50. Market Approach

50.01 The market approach is frequently applied in the valuation of businesses and business interests as these assets and/or liabilities often meet the criteria in IVS 105 Valuation Approaches, paras 20.02 and 20.03. When valuing businesses and business interests under the market approach, the valuer should follow the requirements of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches, including but not limited to sections 20 and Appendix A10.
50.02 The three most common sources of *data* used as *inputs* to value businesses and business interests using the market approach are:

(a) public *stock* markets in which ownership interests of similar businesses are traded,

(b) the acquisition market in which entire businesses or controlling interests in businesses are bought and sold, and

(c) prior transactions *in shares* or offers for the ownership of the subject business.

50.03 There *must* be a reasonable basis for comparison with, and reliance upon, similar businesses in the market approach. These similar businesses *should* be in the same industry as the subject business or in an industry that responds to the same economic variables.

Factors that *should* be considered in assessing whether a reasonable basis for comparison between the subject company and the comparable companies exists include but are not limited to:

(a) similarity to the subject business in terms of qualitative and quantitative business characteristics,

(b) amount and verifiability of *data* on the similar business, and

(c) whether the *price* of the similar business represents an arm’s length and orderly a transaction consistent with the applicable *basis of value*.

50.04 When applying a market multiple, adjustments such as those specified in para 60.8 IVS 405 103 *Valuation Approaches*, Appendix A10.14 may be appropriate to both the subject company and the comparable companies.

50.05 The *valuer should* follow the requirements of IVS 405 103 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, paras 30.7–30.8; Appendix A10.6 to A10.8 when selecting and adjusting comparable transactions.

50.06 The *valuer should* follow the requirements of IVS 405 103 *Valuation Approaches*, Appendix A10.12–A10.14 when selecting and adjusting comparable public company information.

60. **Income Approach**

60.01 The income approach is frequently applied in the *valuation* of businesses and business interests as these *assets* and/or *liabilities* often meet the criteria in IVS 405–103 *Valuation Approaches*, paras 40.2 or 40.3 30.2 and 30.3.

60.02 When the income approach is applied, the *valuer should* follow the requirements of IVS 405 103 *Valuation Approaches*, sections 40 and 50 section 30 and Appendix A20.

60.03 Income and cash flow related to a business or business interest can be measured in a variety of ways and may be determined on a pre-tax or post-tax basis. The capitalisation or *discount rate* applied *must* be consistent with the type of income or cash flow used.
The type of income or cash flow used should be consistent with the type of interest being valued. Examples of this requirement include but are not limited to:

(a) Enterprise value: typically derived using cash flows before debt servicing costs and an appropriate discount rate applicable to enterprise-level cash flows, such as a weighted-average cost of capital, and

(b) Equity value: may be derived using cash flows to equity, that is, after debt servicing costs, and an appropriate discount rate applicable to equity-level cash flows, such as a cost of equity.

The income approach requires the estimation of:

(a) a capitalisation rate when capitalising income, or

(b) cash flow and a discount rate when discounting cash flows.

In estimating the appropriate capitalisation rate, the valuer should consider factors such as including but not limited to the level of interest rates, rates of return expected by participants for similar investments and the risk inherent in the anticipated benefit stream are considered (see IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, paras 50.29-50.31). (See IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, Appendix A20).

In methods that employ discounting, expected growth may be explicitly considered in the forecasted income or cash flow. In methods that employ capitalisation methods, expected growth is usually reflected in the capitalisation rate.

If a forecasted cash flow is expressed in nominal terms, a discount rate that takes into account consistent with the expectation of future price changes due to inflation or deflation should be used. If a forecasted cash flow is expressed in real terms, a discount rate that takes no account of expected price changes due to inflation or deflation should be used.

Under the income approach, the historical financial statements of a business entity are often used as a guide basis to estimate the future income or cash flow of the business. Determining the historical trends over time through ratio analysis may help provide the necessary information to assess the risks inherent in the business operations, in the context of the industry and the prospects for future performance.

When historical financial results are used as a basis for determining future income or cash flows, adjustments may be appropriate to reflect differences between the actual historic cash flows and those that would be experienced by a buyer of the business prospectively at on the valuation date. The adjustments should be consistent with the applicable basis of value.
Examples of such adjustments include but are not limited to:

(a) adjusting revenues and expenses to levels that are reasonably representative of expected continuing operations,
(b) presenting financial data of the subject business and comparison businesses on a consistent basis,
(c) adjusting non-arm’s length transactions (such as contracts with customers or suppliers) to market rates,
(d) adjusting the cost of labour or of items leased or otherwise contracted from related parties to reflect market prices or rates,
(e) reflecting the impact of non-recurring events from historic revenue and expense items. Examples of non-recurring events include losses caused by strikes, new plant start-up and weather phenomena. However, the forecast cash flows should reflect any non-recurring revenues or expenses that can be reasonably anticipated and. Past occurrences may be indicative of similar events in the future, and
(f) adjusting the accounting of inventory accounting to compare accurately reflect economic reality or to allow a comparison with similar businesses whose accounts may be kept on a different basis from the subject business or to more accurately reflect economic reality.

When using an income approach, it may also be necessary to make adjustments to adjust the valuation to reflect other matters that are not captured in either the cash flow forecasts or the discount rate adopted.

Examples of such adjustments may include but are not limited to adjustments for the marketability of the interest being valued or adjustments reflecting whether the interest being valued is a controlling or non-controlling interest in the business.

The valuer should ensure that adjustments to the valuation do not reflect factors that were already reflected previously included in the cash flows or discount rate.

For example, whether the interest being valued is a controlling or non-controlling interest is often already reflected in the forecasted cash flows. Forecast cash flows may already reflect that the interest being valued is a controlling or non-controlling interest in the business.

While many businesses may be valued using a single cash flow scenario, the valuer may also apply multi-scenario or simulation models, particularly when there is significant uncertainty as to the amount and/or timing of future cash flows.

The cost approach cannot normally be applied is rarely applicable in the valuation of businesses and business interests as these assets and/or liabilities seldom meet the criteria in IVS 105 Valuation of Businesses and Business Interests.
Approaches and Methods, paras 70.2 or 70.3 in IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, paras 40.2 or 40.3.

However, The cost approach is sometimes applied in the valuation of businesses, particularly when:

(a) the business is an early stage or start-up business where profits and/or cash flow cannot be reliably determined and comparisons with other businesses under the market approach is are impractical or unreliable:

(b) the business is an investment or holding business, in which case the summation method described in IVS-105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, paras 70.8–70.9, IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, Appendix A30.8–A30.9 is applicable: and/or

(c) the business does not represent a going concern and/or the value of its assets and/or liabilities in a liquidation may exceed the business’ value as a going concern.

70.02 In the circumstances where a business or business interest is valued using a cost approach, the valuer should must follow the requirements of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, sections 70 and 80 IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, section 40 and Appendix A30.

80. Special Considerations for Businesses and Business Interests

80.01 The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of businesses and business interests:

(a) Ownership Rights (section 90),

(b) Business Information (section 100),

(c) Economic and Industry Considerations (section 110),

(d) Operating and Non-Operating Assets (section 120),

(e) Capital Structure Considerations (section 130).

90. Ownership Rights

90.01 The rights, privileges or conditions that attach to the ownership interest, whether held in proprietorship, corporate or partnership form, require consideration in the valuation process. Ownership rights are usually defined within a jurisdiction by legal documents such as articles of association, clauses in the memorandum of the business, articles of incorporation, bylaws, partnership agreements and shareholder agreements. These documents are collectively known as “corporate documents”.

90.02 In some situations, it may also be necessary to distinguish between legal and beneficial ownership. The valuer may be required to distinguish between legal and beneficial ownership of a business interest.

90.03 Corporate documents may contain restrictions on the transfer of an interest and/or other provisions relevant to value. For example, corporate documents may stipulate that the interest should be
valued as a pro rata fraction of the entire issued share capital regardless of whether it is a controlling or non-controlling interest. In each case, the rights of the interest being valued and the rights attaching attendant to any other classes of interest should need to be considered at the outset.

90.3 90.04 Care should be taken to The valuer should distinguish between rights and obligations inherent to the subject interest and those that may be applicable only to a particular shareholder (i.e., those contained in an agreement between current shareholders which may not apply to a potential buyer of the ownership interest). For example, an agreement between current shareholders may not apply to a potential buyer of the ownership interest. Depending on the basis(es) of value used, the valuer may be required to consider only the rights and obligations inherent to the subject interest or both those rights and considerations inherent to the subject interest and those that apply to a particular specific owner.

90.4 90.05 All the rights and preferences associated with a subject business or business interest should be considered in a valuation, including but not limited to:

(a) if there are Where multiple classes of stock equity and/or hybrid securities exist, the valuation should consider the rights of each different class, including, but not limited to:
   
   (i) liquidation preferences,
   
   (ii) voting rights,
   
   (iii) redemption, conversion and participation provisions, and
   
   (iv) put and/or call rights.

(b) Where When a controlling interest in a business may have a higher value than a non-controlling interest. Control premiums or discounts for lack of control may be appropriate depending on the valuation method(s) applied (see IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, para 30.17.(b)) (see IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, Appendix A10.17.(b)) and/or the intended use of the valuation. In respect of actual When evaluating premiums paid in completed transactions, the valuer should consider whether the synergies and other factors that caused the acquirer to pay those premiums are applicable to the subject asset to a comparable degree.

100. Business Information

100.01 The valuation of a business entity or interest frequently requires reliance upon information received from management, representatives of the management or other experts.

As required by IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, para 10.7, IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, appendix A20.13 the valuer must assess the reasonableness of information received from management, representatives of management or other experts and evaluate whether it is appropriate to rely on that information for the valuation.
For example, prospective financial information provided by management may reflect specific synergies that may not be appropriate when using a basis of value that requires a participant perspective consistent with the requirements of the valuation.

100.02 Although the value on a given valuation date reflects the anticipated benefits of future ownership, the history of a business is useful in that it may give useful guidance as to set expectations for the future. The valuer should therefore consider the business’ historical financial statements as part of a valuation engagement an input to a valuation.

To the extent the future performance of the business is expected to deviate significantly from historical experience, the valuer must understand why historical performance is not representative of the future expectations of the business.

110. Economic and Industry Considerations

110.01 Awareness of relevant economic developments and specific industry trends is essential for all valuations. Matters such as including but not limited to political outlook, government policy, exchange rates, inflation, interest rates and market activity may affect assets and/or liabilities in different locations and/or sectors of the economy quite differently.

These factors can be particularly important in the valuation of businesses and business interests, as since businesses may have complex structures involving multiple locations and types of operations.

For example, a business may be impacted by economic and industry-specific factors specific related to:

(a) the registered location of the business headquarters and legal form of the business,
(b) the nature of the business operations and where each aspect of the business is conducted (ie, manufacturing may be done in a different location to where research and development is conducted),
(c) where the business sells its goods and/or services,
(d) the currency(ies) the business uses,
(e) where the suppliers of the business are located, and
(f) what the tax and legal jurisdictions the business is subject to operates in.

120. Operating and Non-Operating Assets

120.01 The valuation of an ownership interest in a business is only relevant in the context of the financial position of the business at a point in time. It is important to understand the nature of assets and liabilities of the business and to The valuer should determine which items are required for use in the income-producing operations of the business
and which ones are redundant or “excess” to the business at the
valuation date.

120.02 Most valuation methods do not capture the value of assets and/or
liabilities that are not required for the operation of the business.

For example, the valuation of a business valued using a multiple of
EBITDA would only capture the value the assets utilised in generating
that level of EBITDA. If the business had non-operating assets or
liabilities, such as an idle manufacturing plant, the value of
that non-operating plant would not be captured in the value.
Depending on the level of value appropriate for scope of the
valuation engagement (see para 120.03 of this standard), the value
of non-operating assets and/or liabilities may need to be separately
determined and added to the value of the operating value of the
business assets to determine the value.

120.3 Businesses may have unrecorded assets and/or liabilities that are not
reflected on the balance sheet. Such assets and/or liabilities could
include intangible assets, machinery and equipment that is fully
depreciated and legal liabilities/lawsuits.

120.4 120.03 When separately considering non-operating assets and liabilities,
the valuer should ensure that the income and expenses associated
with non-operating assets and/or liabilities are excluded from the
cash flow measurements and projections used in the valuation of the
operating business.

For example, if a business has a significant liability associated with
an underfunded pension and that liability is valued separately, the
cash flows used in the valuation of the business should exclude any
“catch-up” payments related to that liability.

120.3 120.04 Businesses may have unrecorded assets and/or liabilities that are not
reflected on the balance sheet. Such assets and/or liabilities could
include intangible assets, machinery and equipment that is fully
depreciated, and legal liabilities/lawsuits. The valuer should consider
whether these assets and/or liabilities form part of the operating
business or are non-operating assets and/or liabilities.

120.5 If the valuation includes considering information from publicly traded
businesses, the publicly-traded stock prices usually implicitly include
the value of non-operating assets and/or liabilities, if any where they
exist. As such, Valuers The valuer must should consider adjusting
information from publicly traded businesses to exclude the value,
income and expenses associated with non-operating assets and/or
liabilities.

130. Capital Structure Considerations

130.1 Businesses are often financed through a combination of debt and
equity. However, in many cases, valuers a The valuer could be asked
to value only equity, or a particular specific class of equity, or some
other form of ownership interest.
While Equity, or a particular specific class of equity can occasionally be valued directly, more often the enterprise value of the business is to be determined and then that value is allocated before allocating value between the various classes of debt and equity.

130.02 While there are many ownership interests in an asset which the valuer could be asked mandated to value, a non-exhaustive list of such interests includes but is not limited to:

(a) bonds,
(b) convertible debt,
(c) partnership interest,
(d) minority interest, non-controlling interest,
(e) common equity,
(f) preferred equity,
(g) options,
(h) warrants.

130.03 When the valuer is asked mandated to value only equity, or to determine how the business value as a whole is distributed among the various debt and equity classes, the valuer must determine and consider the different rights and preferences associated with each class of debt and equity.

130.04 Rights and preferences can broadly be categorised as economic or control rights.

A non-exhaustive list of such rights and preferences may include but are not limited to:

(a) dividend or preferred dividend rights,
(b) liquidation preferences,
(c) voting rights,
(d) redemption rights,
(e) conversion rights,
(f) participation rights,
(g) anti-dilution rights,
(h) registration rights, and
(i) put and/or call rights.

130.05 For simple capital structures that include only common stock and simple debt structures (such as bonds, loans and overdrafts), it may be possible to estimate the value of all of the common stock within the enterprise by directly estimating the value of debt, subtracting that value from the enterprise value, then allocating the residual equity value pro rata to all of the common stock.
This method is not appropriate for all companies with simple capital structures. For example, it may not be appropriate for distressed or highly leveraged companies.

For complex capital structures, being those that include a form of equity other than just common stock, the valuer may use any reasonable method to determine the value of equity or a particular class of equity.

In such cases, typically the enterprise value of the business is usually determined first and then that value is allocated between the various classes of debt and equity.

Three methods that the valuer may utilise in such instances are discussed in this section, including:

(a) current value method (CVM),
(b) option pricing method (OPM), and
(c) probability-weighted expected return method (PWERM).

While the CVM is not forward looking, both the OPM and PWERM estimate values assuming various future outcomes. The PWERM relies on discrete assumptions for future events and the OPM estimates the future distribution of outcomes using a lognormal distribution around the current value.

The valuer should consider any potential differences between a "pre-money" and "post-money" valuation, particularly for early stage companies with complex capital structures. For example, an infusion of cash (ie, “post-money valuation”) for such companies may impact the overall risk profile of the enterprise business as well as the relative value allocation between share classes.

The valuer should consider recent transactions in the subject equity or a particular specific class of equity, and ensure the assumptions used in the subject valuation are updated as necessary to reflect changes in the investment structure and changes in market conditions.

**Current Value Method (CVM)**

The current value method (CVM) allocates the enterprise value to the various debt and equity securities assuming an immediate sale of the enterprise. Under the CVM, the obligations to debt holders, or debt equivalent securities, is first deducted from the enterprise value to calculate residual equity value. The valuer should consider if the enterprise value includes or excludes cash, and the resulting use of gross or net debt for allocation purposes. Next, value is allocated to the various series of preferred stock based on the series' liquidation preferences or conversion values, whichever would be greater. Finally, any residual value is allocated to any common equity, options, and warrants.

A limitation of the CVM is that it is not forward looking and fails to consider the option-like payoffs of many share classes.
130.12 The CVM should only be used when:

(a) a liquidity event of the enterprise is imminent, or
(b) when an enterprise is at such an early stage of its development that no significant common equity value above the liquidation preference on any preferred equity has been created, or
(c) no material progress has been made on the company's business plan, or
(d) no reasonable basis exists for estimating the amount and timing of any such value above the liquidation preference that might be created in the future.

130.13 The valuer should not assume that the value of debt, or debt-like securities, and its book value are equal without a rationale for the determination.

**Option Pricing Method (OPM)**

130.14 The OPM values the different share classes by treating each share class as an option on the cash flows from the enterprise. The OPM is often applied to capital structures in which the payout to different share classes changes at different levels of total equity value, for instance, where there are convertible preferred shares, management incentive units, options, or other classes of shares that have certain liquidation preferences. These share classes include but are not limited to convertible preferred shares, management incentive units, options, or other classes of shares that have certain liquidation preferences.

The OPM may be performed on the enterprise value, thereby including any debt in the OPM, or on an equity basis after separate consideration of the debt.

130.15 The OPM considers the various terms of the stockholder agreements that would affect the distributions to each class of equity upon a liquidity event, including the level of seniority among the securities, dividend policy, conversion ratios and cash allocations.

130.16 The starting point for the OPM is the value of total equity for the asset business. The OPM is then applied to allocate the total equity value among equity securities.

130.17 The OPM (or a related hybrid method) is suited to circumstances where specific future liquidity events are difficult to forecast or the company business is in an early stage of development.

130.18 The OPM most frequently relies on the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the value associated with distributions above certain value thresholds. However, in more complex capital structures, alternative techniques, such as the Monte Carlo simulation, may be justified.

130.19 When applying the OPM, a non-exhaustive list of steps the valuer should perform includes but is not limited to:
(a) determine the total equity value of the \textit{asset-business},

(b) identify the liquidation preferences, preferred dividend accruals, conversion prices, and other features attached to the relevant securities that influence the cash distribution,

(c) determine the different total equity value points (breakpoints) in which the liquidation preferences and conversion prices become effective,

(d) determine the \textit{inputs} to the Black-Scholes or other option models:

(i) determine a reasonable time horizon for the OPM,

(ii) select a risk-free rate corresponding to the time horizon,

(iii) determine the appropriate volatility factor for the equity, and

(iv) determine the expected dividend yield.

(e) calculate a \textit{value} for the various call options and determine the \textit{value} allocated to each interval between the breakpoints,

(f) determine the relative allocation to each class of shares in each interval between the calculated breakpoints,

(g) allocate the \textit{value} between the breakpoints (calculated as the call options) among the share classes based on the allocation determined in step (f) and the \textit{value} determined in step (e),

(h) consider additional adjustments to the share classes as necessary, consistent with the \textit{basis of value}. For example, it may be appropriate to apply discounts or premiums.

130.19 130.20 When determining the appropriate volatility assumption the \textit{valuer should} consider:

(a) the development stage of the \textit{asset} and the relative impact to the volatility when compared to that observed by the comparable companies, and

(b) the relative financial leverage of the \textit{asset}.

130.20 130.21 In addition to the method discussed above, the OPM can be used to back solve for the \textit{value} of total equity value when there is a known \textit{price} for an individual security. The \textit{inputs} to a back solve analysis are the same as above. The \textit{valuer} will then solve for the \textit{price} of the known security by changing the \textit{value} of total equity. The back solve method will also provide \textit{a value} for all other equity securities.

\textit{Probability-Weighted Expected Return Method (PWERM)}

130.24 130.22 Under a PWERM, the \textit{value} of the various equity securities are estimated based upon an analysis of future \textit{values} for the \textit{asset business}, assuming various future outcomes. Share value is based upon the probability-weighted present value of expected future investment returns, considering each of the possible future outcomes available to the \textit{asset}, as well as the rights and preferences of the share classes.
130.22 Typically, the PWERM is used when the company business is close to an exit event and does not plan to raise additional capital.

130.23 When applying the PWERM, a non-exhaustive list of steps the valuer should perform includes but is not limited to:

(a) determine the possible future outcomes available to the asset,
(b) estimate the future value of the asset under each outcome,
(c) allocate the estimated future value of the asset to each class of debt and equity under each possible outcome,
(d) discount the expected value allocated to each class of debt and equity to present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate,
(e) weight each possible outcome by its respective probability to estimate the expected future probability-weighted cash flows to each class of debt and equity, and
(f) consider additional adjustments to the share classes as necessary, consistent with the basis of value. For example, it may be appropriate to apply discounts or premiums.

130.24 The valuer should reconcile the probability-weighted present values of the future exit values to ensure that the overall valuation of the enterprise business is reasonable.

130.25 The valuer can combine elements of the OPM with the PWERM to create a hybrid methodology by using the OPM to estimate the allocation of value within one or more of the probability-weighted scenarios.
10. Overview

10.01 The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of intangible assets and valuations with an intangible assets component. This standard contains additional requirements that apply to valuations of intangible assets.

20. Introduction

20.01 An intangible asset is a non-monetary asset that manifests itself by its economic properties. It does not have physical substance but grants rights and/or economic benefits to its owner.

20.02 Specific intangible assets are defined and described by characteristics such as their ownership, function, market position, and image, and legal protection. These characteristics differentiate intangible assets from one another.
20.03 There are many types of intangible assets, but they are often considered to fall into one or more of the following categories, or into goodwill:

(a) Marketing-related: marketing-related intangible assets are used primarily in the marketing or promotion of products or services. Examples include trademarks, trade names, unique trade design and internet domain names,

(b) Customer-related: customer-related intangible assets include customer lists, backlog, customer contracts, and contractual and non-contractual customer relationships,

(c) Artistic-related: artistic-related intangible assets arise from the right to benefits from artistic works such as plays, books, films and music, and from non-contractual copyright protection,

(d) Contract-related: contract-related intangible assets represent the value of rights that arise from contractual agreements. Examples include licensing and royalty agreements, service or supply contracts, lease agreements, permits, broadcast rights, servicing contracts, employment contracts and non-competition agreements and natural resource rights,

(e) Technology-based: technology-related intangible assets arise from contractual or non-contractual rights to use patented technology, unpatented technology, databases, formulae, designs, software, processes or recipes.

20.04 Although similar intangible assets within the same class will share some characteristics with one another, they will also have differentiating characteristics that will vary according to the type of intangible asset.

20.05 In addition, certain intangible assets, such as brands, may represent a combination of several categories listed in para 20.03.

20.06 Particularly in When valuing an intangible asset, the valuer must understand specifically what needs to be valued and the intended use of the valuation. For example, customer data (names, addresses, etc) typically have very different values from customer contracts (those contracts in place on the valuation date) and from customer relationships (the value of the ongoing customer relationship including existing and future contracts). What Which intangible assets need to be valued and the definition of those intangible assets may differ depending on the purpose intended use of the valuation. Differences in how intangible assets are defined can lead to significant differences in value.

20.07 Generally, goodwill is any future economic benefit arising from a business, an interest in a business or from the use of a group of assets which has not been separately recognised in another asset. The value of goodwill is typically measured as the residual amount remaining after the values of all identifiable tangible, intangible and monetary assets, adjusted for actual or potential contingent liabilities, have been deducted from the value of a business.
It is often represented as the excess of the price paid in a real or hypothetical acquisition of a company over the value of the company’s other identified assets and liabilities.

For some purposes intended uses, goodwill may need to be further divided into transferable goodwill (which can be transferred to third parties) and non-transferable or “personal” goodwill.

**20.07 20.08** As Since the amount of goodwill is dependent on which other tangible and intangible assets are recognised, its value can be different when calculated for different purposes intended uses.

For example, in a business combination accounted for under IFRS or US GAAP, an intangible asset is only recognised to the extent that if it:

(a) is separable, ie, capable of being separated or divided from the entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or together with a related contract, identifiable asset or liability, regardless of whether the entity intends to do so; or

(b) arises from contractual or other legal rights, regardless of whether those rights are transferable or separable from the entity or from other rights and obligations.

**20.08 20.09** While the aspects of goodwill can vary depending on the purpose intended use of the valuation, goodwill frequently includes elements such as:

(a) company-specific synergies arising from a combination of two or more businesses (eg, reductions in operating costs, economies of scale or product mix dynamics),

(b) opportunities to expand the business into new and different markets,

(c) the benefit of an assembled workforce (but generally not any intellectual property developed by members of that workforce),

(d) the benefit to be derived from future assets, such as new customers and future technologies, and

(e) assemblage and parts of going concern value.

**20.09 20.10** Valuers may perform direct valuations of intangible assets where the value of the intangible assets is the purpose of the analysis or one part of the analysis. Determining the value of one or several individual intangible asset(s) can be the intended use of a valuation.

However, when valuing businesses, business interests, real property, and machinery and equipment, the valuer should consider whether there are intangible assets associated with those assets and whether those directly or indirectly impact the asset being valued. For example, when valuing a hotel based on an income approach, when using an income approach to value a hotel, the contribution to value of the hotel’s brand may already be reflected in the profit generated by the hotel.
**Intangible asset valuations** are performed for a variety of **purposes intended uses**. It is the **valuer’s responsibility** to understand the **purpose-intended use** of a **valuation**. It is also the **valuer’s responsibility** to understand whether **intangible assets should** be valued separately or grouped with other **assets**.

A non-exhaustive list of examples of circumstances that commonly include an intangible asset valuation component is provided below. Circumstances requiring an **intangible asset valuation** include but are not limited to:

(a) **For financial reporting purposes**, valuations of intangible assets are often required in connection with such as **accounting for business combinations**, **asset acquisitions and sales**, and **impairment analysis**,

(b) **For tax reporting purposes**, intangible asset valuations are frequently needed for such as **transfer pricing analyses**, **estate and gift tax planning and reporting**, and **ad valorem taxation analyses**,

(c) **Intangible assets** may be the subject of litigation, requiring **valuation analysis** in circumstances litigation in instances such as **shareholder disputes**, **damage calculations** and **marital dissolutions (divorce)**,

(d) **other statutory or legal events** may require the **valuation of intangible assets** such as **compulsory purchases/eminent domain proceedings**,

(e) **Valuers are often asked to value intangible assets** as part of **general consulting**, **collateral lending**, **transactional support engagements** and **insolvency**.

**30. Bases of Value**

30.01 In accordance with IVS 104 IVS 102 **Bases of Value**, the **valuer must** select the appropriate **basis(es) of value** when valuing **intangible assets**.

30.02 Often, **intangible asset valuations** are performed using **bases of value** defined by entities/organisations other than the IVSC (some examples of which are mentioned in IVS 104 102 **Bases of Value**) and the.

The **valuer must** understand and follow the legislation, regulation, case law and other interpretive guidance related to those **bases of value as of effective at** the **valuation date**.

**40. Valuation Approaches and Methods**

40.01 The three **valuation approaches** described in IVS 105 103 **Valuation Approaches** can—al** may be applied to the **valuation of intangible assets**.

40.02 When selecting an approach and method, in addition to the requirements of this standard, the **valuer must** follow the requirements of 105 **Valuation Approaches and Methods**, including **para 10.3 IVS 103 Valuation Approaches**, including para 10.4.
50. **Market Approach**

50.01 Under the market approach, the value of an *intangible asset* is determined by reference to market activity (for example, transactions involving identical or similar assets).

50.02 Transactions involving *intangible assets* frequently also include other *assets*, such as a business combination that includes *intangible assets*.

50.03 The *valuer must* comply with paras 20.2 and 20.3 of IVS 105 when determining whether to apply the market approach to the valuation of *intangible assets*.

50.04 The heterogeneous nature of *intangible assets* and the fact that *intangible assets* are seldom transacted separately from other *assets* means that it is rarely possible to find market evidence of transactions involving identical assets.

50.05 Where evidence of either *prices* or valuation multiples is available, the *valuer should* make adjustments to adjust these to reflect differences between the subject *asset* and those involved in the transactions. These adjustments reflect the differentiating characteristics of the subject *intangible asset* and the *assets* involved in the transactions. Such adjustments may only be determinable at a qualitative, rather than quantitative, level. However, the need for *significant* qualitative adjustments may indicate that another approach would be more appropriate for the *valuation*.

50.06 **Consistent with the above,** Examples of *intangible assets* for which the market approach is sometimes used include:

(a) broadcast spectrum,

(b) internet domain names, and

(c) taxi *medallions licenses* ("medallions").

50.07 The guideline transactions method is generally the only market approach method that can be applied to *intangible assets*. 
50.08 In rare circumstances, a security sufficiently similar to a subject intangible asset may be publicly traded, allowing the use of the guideline public company method.

One example of such securities is For example, contingent value rights (CVRs) are tied to the performance of a particular product or technology.

60. Income Approach

60.01 Under the income approach, the value of an intangible asset is determined by reference to the present value of income, cash flows or cost savings attributable to the intangible asset over its economic life.

60.02 The valuer must comply with paras 40.2 and 40.3 of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods paras 30.2 and 30.3 of IVS 103 Valuation Approaches when determining whether to apply the income approach to the valuation of intangible assets.

60.03 Income related to intangible assets is frequently included in the price paid for goods or a service. It may be challenging to separate income related to the intangible asset from income related to other tangible and intangible assets. Many of the income approach methods under the income approach are designed to separate the economic benefits associated with a subject intangible asset.

60.04 The income approach is the most common method applied to the valuation of intangible assets and is frequently used to value intangible assets including the following:

(a) technology,
(b) customer-related intangibles (eg, backlog, contracts, relationships),
(c) tradenames/trademarks/brands,
(d) operating licenses (eg, franchise agreements, gaming licenses, broadcast spectrum), and
(e) non-competition agreements.

Income Approach Methods

60.05 There are many The income approach includes several methods. The following methods are discussed in this standard in more detail:

(a) excess earnings method,
(b) relief-from-royalty method,
(c) premium profit method or with-and-without method,
(d) greenfield method,
(e) distributor method, and
(f) cost savings method.
**Excess Earnings Method**

60.06 The excess earnings method estimates the value of an intangible asset as the present value of the cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset after excluding the proportion of the cash flows that are attributable to other assets required to generate the cash flows ("contributory assets").

It is often used for valuations where there is a requirement for the acquirer to allocate the overall price paid for a business between tangible assets, identifiable intangible assets, and goodwill.

60.07 Contributory assets are assets that are used in conjunction with the subject intangible asset in the realisation of prospective cash flows associated with the subject intangible asset. Assets that do not contribute to the prospective cash flows associated with the subject intangible asset are not contributory assets.

60.08 The excess earnings method can be applied by using:

(a) several periods of forecasted cash flows ("multi-period excess earnings method" or “MPEEM”),
(b) a single period of forecasted cash flows ("single-period excess earnings method"), or
(c) by capitalising a single period of forecasted cash flows ("capitalised excess earnings method" or the “formula method”).

60.09 The capitalised excess earnings method or formula method is generally only appropriate if the intangible asset is operating in a steady state with stable growth/decay rates, constant profit margins and consistent contributory asset levels/charges.

60.10 As most intangible assets have economic lives exceeding one period, frequently follow non-linear growth/decay patterns and may require different levels of contributory assets over time. Therefore, the MPEEM is the most commonly used excess earnings method as it offers the most flexibility and allows the valuer to explicitly forecast changes in such inputs.

60.11 Whether applied in a single-period, multi-period or capitalised manner, the key steps in applying an excess earnings method are the list of steps the valuer should perform in applying an excess earnings method includes but is not limited to:

(a) forecast the amount and timing of future revenues driven by the subject intangible asset and related contributory assets,
(b) forecast the amount and timing of expenses that are required to generate the revenue from the subject intangible asset and related contributory assets,
(c) adjust the expenses to exclude those related to creation of new intangible assets that are not required to generate the forecasted revenue and expenses. Profit margins in the excess earnings method may be higher than profit margins for the
overall business because the excess earnings method excludes investment in certain new intangible assets. For example:

(i) research and development expenditures related to development of new technology would not be required when valuing only existing technology, and

(ii) marketing expenses related to obtaining new customers would not be required when valuing existing customer-related intangible assets.

(d) identify and value the contributory assets that are needed to achieve the forecasted revenue and expenses. Contributory assets often include working capital, fixed assets, assembled workforce and identified intangible assets other than the subject intangible asset.

(e) determine the appropriate rate of return on each contributory asset based on an assessment of the risk associated with that asset. For example, low risk assets like working capital will typically have a relatively lower required return. Contributory intangible assets and highly specialised machinery and equipment often require relatively higher rates of return.

(f) in each forecast period, deduct the required returns on contributory assets from the forecast profit to arrive at the excess earnings attributable to only the subject intangible asset.

(g) determine the appropriate discount rate for the subject intangible asset and present value or capitalise the excess earnings, and

(h) if appropriate for the purpose intended use of the valuation (see paras 110.01-110.04), calculate and add the tax amortisation benefit (TAB) for the subject intangible asset.

60.12 Contributory asset charges (CACs) should be made included for all current and future tangible assets, intangible assets and financial assets that contribute to the generation of the cash flow and if. If an asset for which a CAC is required is involved in more than one line of business, its CAC should be allocated to the different lines of business involved.

60.13 The determination of whether a CAC for elements of goodwill is appropriate should be based on an assessment of the relevant facts and circumstances of the situation. The valuer should not mechanically apply CACs or alternative adjustments for elements of goodwill if the circumstances do not warrant such a charge. Assembled workforce, as it is quantifiable, is typically usually the only element of goodwill for which a CAC should be taken. Accordingly, the valuer must ensure that there is a strong basis for applying CACs for any elements of goodwill other than assembled workforce.

60.14 CACs are generally computed on an after-tax basis as a fair return on the value of the contributory asset, and in some cases a return of the contributory asset is also deducted. The appropriate return on a contributory asset is the investment return a typical participant
would require on the asset. The return of a contributory asset is a recovery of the initial investment in the asset. There should be no difference in value regardless of whether CACs are computed on a pre-tax or after-tax basis.

60.15 If the contributory asset is not wasting in nature, like as in the case of working capital, only a fair return on the asset is required.

60.16 For contributory intangible assets that were valued under a relief-from-royalty method, the CAC should be equal to the royalty (generally adjusted to an after-tax royalty rate), either on a pre-tax or after-tax basis.

60.17 The excess earnings method should be applied only to a single intangible asset for a given stream of revenue and income, generally the primary or most important intangible asset. The excess earnings method is generally applied to the primary or most important intangible asset. For example, in valuing the intangible assets of a company business utilising both technology and a tradename in delivering a product or service (i.e., the revenue associated with the technology and the tradename is the same), the excess earnings method should only be used to value one of the intangible assets and an alternative method should be used for the other asset. However, if the company business has multiple product lines, each using a different technology and each generating distinct revenue and profit, the excess earnings method may be applied in the valuation of the multiple different technologies.

Relief-from-Royalty Method

60.18 Under the relief-from-royalty method, the value of an intangible asset is determined by reference to the value of the hypothetical royalty payments that would be saved through by owning the asset as compared with licensing the intangible asset from a third party. Conceptually, the method may also be viewed as a discounted cash flow method applied to the cash flow that the owner of the intangible asset could receive through licensing the intangible asset to third parties.

60.19 The key steps in applying a relief from royalty method are to: The list of steps the valuer should perform in applying a relief from royalty method includes but is not limited to:

(a) develop projections associated with the intangible asset being valued for the life of the subject intangible asset. The most common metric projected is revenue, as most royalties are paid as a percentage of revenue. However, other metrics such as a per-unit royalty may be appropriate in certain valuations,

(b) develop a royalty rate for the subject intangible asset. Two methods can be used to derive a hypothetical royalty rate:

(i) The first is based on market royalty rates for comparable or similar transactions. A prerequisite for this method is the existence of comparable intangible assets that are licensed at arm’s-length on a regular basis,
(ii) The second method is based on a split of profits that would hypothetically be paid in an arm’s-length transaction by a willing licensee to a willing licensor for the rights to use the subject intangible asset,

(c) apply the selected royalty rate to the projections to calculate the royalty payments avoided by owning the intangible asset,

(d) estimate any additional expenses for which a licensee of the subject asset would be responsible. This can include upfront payments required by some licensors. A royalty rate should be analysed to determine whether it assumes expenses (such as maintenance, marketing and advertising) are the responsibility of the licensor or the licensee. A royalty rate that is “gross” would consider all responsibilities and expenses associated with ownership of a licensed asset to reside with the licensor, while a royalty that is “net” would consider some or all responsibilities and expenses associated with the licensed asset to reside with the licensee. Depending on whether the royalty is “gross” or “net”, the valuation should exclude or include, respectively, a deduction for expenses such as maintenance, marketing or advertising expenses related to the hypothetically licensed asset,

(e) if the hypothetical costs and royalty payments would be tax deductible, it may be appropriate to apply the appropriate tax rate to determine the after-tax savings associated with ownership of the intangible asset. However, for certain purposes intended uses (such as transfer pricing), the effects of taxes are generally not considered in the valuation and this step should be skipped,

(f) determine the appropriate discount rate for the subject intangible asset and present value or capitalise the savings associated with ownership of the intangible asset, and

(g) if appropriate for the intended use of the valuation (see section 110 of this standard), calculate and add the TAB for the subject intangible asset.

60.20 Whether a royalty rate is based on market transactions or a profit split method (or both), its selection should consider the characteristics of the subject intangible asset and the environment in which it is utilised. The consideration of those characteristics forms the basis for the selection of a royalty rate within a range of observed transactions and/or the range of profit available to the subject intangible asset in a profit split.

Factors that should be considered include but are not limited to the following:

(a) competitive environment: the size of the market for the intangible asset, the availability of realistic alternatives, the number of competitors, barriers to entry and presence (or absence) of switching costs,
(b) importance of the subject intangible asset to the owner: whether the subject asset is a key factor of differentiation from competitors, the importance it plays in the owner’s marketing strategy, its relative importance compared with other tangible and intangible assets, and the amount the owner spends on creation, upkeep and improvement of the subject asset,

(c) life cycle of the subject intangible: the expected economic life of the subject asset and any risks of the subject intangible becoming obsolete.

60.21 When selecting a royalty rate, the valuer should also consider the following:

(a) when entering a licence arrangement, the royalty rate participants would be willing to pay depends on their profit levels and the relative contribution of the licensed intangible asset to that profit. For example, a manufacturer of consumer products would not license a tradename at a royalty rate that leads to the manufacturer realising a lower profit selling branded products compared with selling generic products,

(b) when considering observed royalty transactions, the valuer should understand the specific rights transferred to the licensee and any limitations. For example, royalty agreements may include significant restrictions such as a restriction to a particular geographic area or for a product on the use of a licensed intangible asset. These restrictions may include but are not limited to specific geographic areas or for certain products.

In addition, the The valuer should also understand how payments under the licensing agreement are structured including. These characteristics include but are not limited to upfront payments, milestone payments, puts/calls and options to acquire or to dispose of the licensed property.

With-and-Without Method

60.22 The with-and-without method indicates the value of an intangible asset by comparing two scenarios: one in which the business uses the subject intangible asset is deployed and one in which the business does not use the subject intangible asset is not deployed, but where all other factors are kept constant.

60.23 The comparison of the two scenarios can be done in two ways:

(a) calculating the value of the business under each scenario with the difference in the business values being the value of the subject intangible asset, and

(b) calculating, for each future period, the difference between the profits in the two scenarios. The present value of those amounts is then used to reach the value of the subject intangible asset.

60.24 In theory, either method should reach a similar value for the intangible asset, provided the valuer considers not only the impact on the entity’s profit, but also additional factors such as differences
between the two scenarios in working capital needs and capital expenditures.

60.25 The with-and-without method is frequently used in the valuation of non-competition agreements but may be appropriate in the valuation of other intangible assets in certain circumstances.

60.26 The key steps in applying the with-and-without method are to:

The list of steps the valuer should perform in applying the with and without method includes but is not limited to:

(a) prepare projections of revenue, expenses, capital expenditures and working capital needs for the business assuming the use of all of the assets of the business including the subject intangible asset. These are the cash flows in the “with” scenario,

(b) use an appropriate discount rate to calculate the present value of the future cash flows in the “with” scenario, and/or calculate the value of the business in the “with” scenario,

(c) prepare projections of revenue, expenses, capital expenditures and working capital needs for the business assuming the use of all of the assets of the business except the subject intangible asset. These are the cash flows in the “without” scenario,

(d) use an appropriate discount rate for the business to calculate the present value of the future cash flows in the “without” scenario and/or calculate the value of the business in the “without” scenario,

(e) deduct the present value of cash flows or the value of the business in the “without” scenario from the present value of cash flows or value of the business in the “with” scenario, and

(f) if appropriate for the purpose intended use of the valuation (see paras 110.01–110.04), calculate and add the Tax Amortisation Benefit (TAB) for the subject intangible asset.

60.27 As an additional step, the difference between the two scenarios may need to be probability-weighted.

For example, when valuing a non-competition agreement, the individual or business subject to the agreement may choose not to compete, even if the agreement were not in place.

60.28 The differences in value between the two scenarios should be reflected solely in the cash flow projections rather than by using different discount rates in the two scenarios.

Greenfield Method

60.29 Under the greenfield method, the value of the subject intangible is determined using cash flow projections that assume the only asset of the business at the valuation date is the subject intangible asset. All other tangible and intangible assets must be bought, built or rented.
The greenfield method is conceptually similar to the excess earnings method. However, instead of subtracting contributory asset charges from the cash flow to reflect the contribution of contributory assets, the greenfield method assumes that the owner of the subject asset would have to build, buy or rent the contributory assets. When building or buying the contributory assets, the cost of a replacement asset of equivalent utility is used rather than a reproduction cost.

The greenfield method is often used to estimate the value of "enabling" intangible assets such as franchise agreements and broadcast spectrum.

The key steps in applying the greenfield method are to: The list of steps the valuer should perform in applying the greenfield method includes but is not limited to:

(a) prepare projections of revenue, expenses, capital expenditures and working capital needs for the business, assuming the subject intangible asset is the only asset owned by the subject business at the valuation date, and including the time period needed to "ramp up" to stabilised levels,

(b) estimate the timing and amount of expenditures related to the acquisition, creation or rental of all other assets needed to operate the subject business,

(c) using an appropriate discount rate for the business, calculate the present value of the future cash flows to determine the value of the subject business with only the subject intangible asset in place, and

(d) if appropriate for the purpose intended use of the valuation (see paras 110.1-110.4) see section 110 of this standard), calculate and add the TAB for the subject intangible asset.

Distributor Method

The distributor method, sometimes referred to as the disaggregated method, is a variation of the multi-period excess earnings method sometimes used to value customer-related intangible assets. The underlying theory of the distributor method is that businesses that are comprised of various functions are expected to generate profits associated with each function. Since distributors generally only perform functions related to distribution of products to customers rather than the development of intellectual property or manufacturing, information on profit margins earned by distributors is used to estimate the excess earnings attributable to customer-related intangible assets.

The distributor method is appropriate to value customer-related intangible assets when another intangible asset (for example, technology or a brand) is deemed to be the primary or most significant intangible asset and is valued under a multi-period excess earnings method.
60.35 The key steps in applying the distributor method are to: The list of steps the valuer should perform in applying the distributor method includes but is not limited to:

(a) prepare projections of revenue associated with existing customer relationships. This should reflect expected growth in revenue from existing customers as well as the effects of customer attrition,

(b) identify comparable distributors that have customer relationships similar to the subject business and calculate the profit margins achieved by those distributors,

(c) apply the distributor profit margin to the projected revenue,

(d) identify the contributory assets related to performing a distribution function that are required to achieve the forecast revenue and expenses. Generally, distributor contributory assets include working capital, fixed assets and workforce. However, distributors seldom require other assets such as trademarks or technology. The level of required contributory assets should also be consistent with participants performing only a distribution function,

(e) determine the appropriate rate of return on each contributory asset based on an assessment of the risk associated with that asset,

(f) in each forecast period, deduct the required returns on contributory assets from the forecast distributor profit to arrive at the excess earnings attributable to only the subject intangible asset;

(g) determine the appropriate discount rate for the subject intangible asset and present value the excess earnings, and

(h) if appropriate for the purpose intended use of the valuation (see paras 110.1-110.4) (see section 110 of this standard), calculate and add the TAB for the subject intangible asset.

70. Cost Approach

70.01 Under the cost approach, the value of an intangible asset is determined based on the replacement cost of a similar asset or an asset providing similar service potential or utility.

70.02 The valuer must comply with paras with paras 60.2 and 60.3 of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods 40.02 and 40.03 of IVS 103 Valuation Approaches when determining whether to apply the cost approach to the valuation of intangible assets.

70.03 Consistent with these criteria, the cost approach is commonly used for intangible assets such as the following:

(a) acquired third-party software,

(b) internally-developed and internally-used, non-marketable software, and

(c) assembled workforce.
70.04 The cost approach *may should* be used when no other approach *is able to be applied* can be applied satisfactorily. However, the *valuer should* attempt to identify an alternative method before applying the cost approach in situations where the subject *asset* does not meet the criteria in paras 60.2 and 60.3 of IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, 40.02 and 40.03 of IVS 103 *Valuation Approaches*.

70.05 There are broadly Two main methods that fall under the cost approach: replacement cost and reproduction cost. However, many *intangible assets* do not have physical form that can be reproduced and *assets* such as software, which can be reproduced, generally derive *value* from their function/utility rather than their exact lines of code. As such, the replacement cost is most commonly applied to the valuation of *intangible assets*.

70.06 The replacement cost method assumes that a participant would pay no more for the *asset* than the *cost* that would be incurred to replace the *asset* with a substitute of comparable utility or functionality.

70.07 The *valuer should* consider the following when applying the replacement cost method:

(a) the direct and indirect costs of replacing the utility of the *asset*, including labour, materials and overheads,

(b) whether the subject *intangible asset* is subject to obsolescence. While *intangible assets* do not become functionally or physically obsolete, they can be subject to economic obsolescence,

(c) whether it is appropriate to include a profit mark-up on the included *costs*. The consideration paid for an *asset* acquired from a third party would presumably reflect their *costs* associated with creating the *asset* as well as some form of profit to provide a return on investment. As such, under *bases of value* (see IVS 104 102 *Bases of Value*) that assume a hypothetical transaction, it may be appropriate to include an assumed profit mark-up on *costs*. As noted in IVS 105 103 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, *costs* developed based on estimates from third parties would be presumed to already reflect a profit mark-up, and

(d) opportunity costs may also be included. *These reflect costs* associated with not having the subject *intangible asset* in place for some *period of time* during its creation.

80. Special Considerations for Intangible Assets

80.01 The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of *intangible assets*.

(a) *Discount rates/Rates of Return for Intangible Assets* (section 90),

(b) *Intangible Asset* Economic Lives (section 100),

(c) Tax Amortisation Benefit (section 110).

90. Discount Rates/Rates of Return for Intangible Assets

90.01 Selecting *discount rates for intangible assets* can be challenging, as
observable market evidence of discount rates for intangible assets is rare. The selection of a discount rate for an intangible asset generally requires significant professional judgement.

90.02 In selecting a discount rate for an intangible asset, the valuer should perform an assessment of the risks associated with the subject intangible asset and consider observable discount rate benchmarks.

90.03 When assessing the risks associated with an intangible asset, the valuer should consider factors including the following:

(a) intangible assets often have higher risk than tangible assets,
(b) if an intangible asset is highly specialised to its current use, it may have higher risk than assets with multiple potential uses,
(c) single intangible assets may have more risk than groups of assets (or businesses),
(d) intangible assets used in risky (sometimes referred to as non-routine) functions may have higher risk than intangible assets used in more low-risk or routine activities. For example, intangible assets used in research and development activities may be higher risk than those used in delivering existing products or services,
(e) the life of the asset. Similar to other investments, intangible assets with longer lives are often considered to have higher risk, all else being equal,
(f) intangible assets with more readily estimable cash flow streams, such as backlog, may have lower risk than similar intangible assets with less estimable cash flows, such as customer relationships.

90.04 Discount rate benchmarks are rates that are observable based on market evidence or observed transactions. The following are some of the benchmark rates that the valuer should consider:

(a) risk-free rates with similar maturities to the life of the subject intangible asset,
(b) cost of debt or borrowing rates with maturities similar to the life of the subject intangible asset,
(c) cost of equity or equity rates or return for participants for the subject intangible asset, or of the entity owning/using the subject intangible asset,
(d) weighted-average-cost-of-capital (WACC) of participants for the subject intangible asset or of the company owning/using the subject intangible asset,
(e) in contexts involving a recent business acquisition including the subject intangible asset, the internal rate-of-return for the transaction should be considered, and
(f) in contexts involving a valuation of all assets of a business, the valuer should perform a weighted-average-return-on-assets (WARA) analysis to confirm the reasonableness of selected discount rates.
100. Intangible Asset Economic Lives

100.01 An important consideration in the valuation of an intangible asset, particularly under the income approach, is the economic life of the asset. This may be a finite period limited by legal, technological, functional, or economic factors. Other assets may have an indefinite life. The economic life of an intangible asset in the context of a valuation is a different concept than the remaining useful life for accounting or tax purposes.

100.02 Legal, technological, functional and economic factors must be considered individually and together in making an assessment of the economic life.

For example, a pharmaceutical technology protected by a patent may have a remaining legal life of five years before expiry of the patent, but a competitor drug with improved efficacy may be expected to reach the market in three years. This might cause the economic life of the patent to be assessed as only three years. In contrast, the expected economic life of the technology could extend beyond the life of the patent if the knowhow associated with the technology would have value in production of a generic drug beyond the expiration of the patent.

100.03 In estimating the economic life of an intangible asset, the valuer should also consider the pattern of use or its likely replacement. Certain intangible assets may be abruptly replaced when a new, better or cheaper alternative becomes available, while others may only be replaced slowly over time, such as when a software developer releases a new version of software every year but only replaces a portion of the existing code with each new release.

100.04 For customer-related intangible assets, attrition is a key factor in estimating both an economic life as well as the and attributable cash flows used to value the customer-related intangibles.

Attrition applied in the valuation of intangible assets is a quantification of expectations regarding future losses of customers. While it is a forward-looking estimate, attrition is often based on historical observations of attrition.

100.05 There are a number of several ways to measure and apply historical attrition:

(a) a constant rate of loss (as a percentage of prior year balance) over the life of the customer relationships may be assumed if customer loss does not appear to be dependent on age of the customer relationship,

(b) a variable rate of loss may be used over the life of the customer relationships if customer loss is dependent on the age of the customer relationship, In such circumstances, generally younger/new customers are lost at a higher rate than older, more established customer relationships;

(c) attrition may be measured based on either revenue or number
of customers/customer count as appropriate, based on the characteristics of the customer group,

(d) customers may need to be segregated into different groups. For example, a company that sells products to distributors and retailers may experience different attrition rates for each group. Customers may also be segregated based on other factors such as including but not limited to geography, size of customer and type of product or service purchased, and

(e) the period used to measure attrition may vary depending on circumstances. For example, for a business with monthly subscribers, one month without revenue from a particular customer would indicate a loss of that customer. In contrast, for larger industrial products, a customer might not be considered “lost” unless there have been no sales to that customer for a year or more. The choice of period should reflect the characteristics of the usage of the intangible asset.

100.06 The application of any attrition factor should be consistent with the way attrition is measured. Correct application of an attrition factor in the first projection year (and therefore all subsequent years) must be consistent with form of measurement. The computation of revenue including attrition should reflect the expected profile of the attrition throughout the period being measured.

(f) customers were lost throughout the year). For example, if attrition is measured by looking at the number of customers at the beginning of the year (100) versus the number remaining at the end of the year (90), on average the company had 95 customers during that year, assuming they were lost evenly throughout the year. Although the attrition rate could be described as 10%, only half of that should be applied in the first year;

(g) if attrition is measured by analysing year-on-year revenue or customer count, the resulting attrition factor should generally be applied without a mid-period adjustment. For example, if attrition is measured by looking at the number of customers that generated revenue in Year 1 (100) versus the number of those same customers that had revenue in Year 2 (90), the application would be different even though the attrition rate could again be described as 10%.

100.07 Revenue-based attrition may include growth in revenue from existing customers unless adjustments are made. It is helpful, where possible, generally a best practice to make adjustments to separate growth and attrition in measurement and application.

100.08 It is a best practice helpful, where possible, for the valuer to input historical revenue into the model being used and check how closely it predicts actual revenue from existing customers in subsequent years. If attrition has been measured and applied appropriately, the model should be reasonably accurate. For example, if estimates
of future attrition were developed based on historical attrition observed from 20X0 through 20X5, the valuer should input the 20X0 customer revenue into the model and check whether it accurately predicts the revenue achieved from existing customers in 20X1, 20X2, etc.

110. **Tax Amortisation Benefit (TAB)**

110.01 In many tax jurisdictions, intangible assets and in some cases, goodwill can be amortised for tax purposes—reducing a taxpayer's tax burden and effectively increasing cash flows. Depending on the purpose-intended use of a valuation and the valuation method used, it may be appropriate to include the value of the TAB in the value of the intangible asset and/or goodwill.

110.02 If the market or cost approach is used to value an intangible asset, the price paid to create or purchase the asset would already reflect the ability to amortise the asset. However, in the income approach, a TAB needs to be explicitly calculated and included, if appropriate.

110.03 For some valuation purposes-intended uses, such as financial reporting, the appropriate basis of value assumes a hypothetical sale of the subject intangible asset. Generally, for those purposes-intended uses, a TAB should be included when the income approach is used because a typical participant would be able to amortise an intangible asset acquired in such a hypothetical transaction regardless of whether the hypothetical transaction is taxable or non-taxable). For other valuation intended uses, the assumed transaction might be of a business or group of assets. For those bases of value, it may be appropriate to include a TAB only if the transaction would result in a step-up in basis for the intangible assets and/or goodwill.

110.04 There is some diversity in practice related to the appropriate discount rate to be used. In calculating a TAB the valuer may use either of the following discount rates:

(a) a discount rate appropriate for a business utilising the subject asset, such as a weighted-average-cost-of-capital (WACC). Proponents of In this view believe that, since amortisation can be used to offset the taxes on any income produced by the business, a discount rate appropriate for the business as a whole should be used, or

(b) a discount rate appropriate for the subject asset (ie, the one used in the valuation of the asset). Proponents of In this view believe that the valuation valuer should not assume that the owner of the subject asset has operations and income separate from the subject asset and that the discount rate used in the TAB calculation should be the same as that used in the valuation of the subject asset.
10. **Overview**

10.01 The principles contained in the General Standards apply to *valuations* of non-financial *liabilities* and *valuations* with a non-financial *liability* component. This standard contains additional requirements that apply to *valuations* of non-financial *liabilities*.

10.02 With regard to the determination of *discount rates* and risk margins, in circumstances in which IVS 105 paras 50.29–50.31–103 *Valuation Approaches* (Appendix A20.29–A20.40) conflicts with IVS 220 *Non-Financial Liabilities*, the *valuer must* apply the principles in sections 90 and 100 of this standard in valuations of non-financial *liabilities*.

20. **Introduction**

20.01 For purposes of IVS 220 *Non-Financial Liabilities*, non-financial *liabilities* are defined as those *liabilities* requiring a non-cash
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performance obligation to provide goods or services.

20.02 A non-exhaustive list of Liabilities that may in part or in full require a non-cash fulfilment and be subject to IVS 220 Non-Financial Liabilities include but are not limited to:

(a) deferred revenue or contract liabilities,
(b) warranties,
(c) environmental liabilities,
(d) asset retirement obligations,
(e) certain contingent consideration obligations,
(f) loyalty programmes,
(g) power purchase agreements,
(h) certain litigation reserves and contingencies, and
(i) certain indemnifications and guarantees.

20.03 Although certain contingent consideration liabilities may require a non-cash performance obligation, such liabilities are not included in the scope of IVS 220 Non-Financial Liabilities.

20.04 The party assuming a non-financial liability typically requires a profit margin on the fulfilment effort to compensate for the effort incurred and risk borne for the delivery of goods or services.

20.05 For financial liabilities, cash fulfilment is typically the only performance obligation and no additional compensation is needed for the fulfilment effort. Given that since cash fulfilment is the only performance obligation for financial liabilities, asset-liability symmetry most often enables the valuer to assess the subject liability using an asset framework.

20.06 Asset-liability symmetry typically does not exist for non-financial liabilities due to the performance obligation to provide goods and services to satisfy the liability and additional compensation for such effort. As such, non-financial liabilities will most often be valued using a liability framework that does not require a corresponding asset to be recognised or valued by another party.

20.07 In instances in which a corresponding asset is recognised by the counterparty, the valuer must assess if the values would reflect asset-liability symmetry under circumstances consistent with the basis of value. Certain bases of value issued by entities/organisations other than the IVSC require specific consideration and reconciliation to a corresponding asset under certain circumstances. The valuer must understand and follow the legislation, regulation, case law, and other interpretive guidance related to those bases of value as effective at the valuation date (see IVS 200 Businesses and Business Interests, para 30.02).

Instances in which the valuer should reconcile to a corresponding asset value will be rare, reasons and include but are not limited to:
(a) non-financial liabilities often do not have a recorded corresponding asset recognised by the counterparty (e.g., environmental liability), or can only be transferred in conjunction with another asset (e.g., an automobile and related warranty are only transferred together),

(b) the corresponding asset of a non-financial liability may be held by numerous parties for which it is impractical to identify and reconcile the asset values,

(c) the market for the non-financial asset and liability is often highly illiquid, thus resulting in asymmetric information, high bid-ask spreads, and asset-liability asymmetry.

20.08 Participants that most often transact in the subject non-financial liability may not be the comparable companies and competitors of the entity holding the subject non-financial liability. Examples of such participants include insurance companies, third party warranty issuers, and more. The valuer should consider if a market, or market participants, exist outside the immediate industry in which the entity holding the subject non-financial liability operates.

20.09 Non-financial liability valuations are performed for a variety of purposes intended uses. It is the valuer’s responsibility to understand the purpose intended use of a valuation. It is the valuer’s responsibility to understand whether the non-financial liabilities should be valued separately or grouped with other assets.

A non-exhaustive list of examples of circumstances that commonly include a non-financial liability valuation component is provided below include but are not limited to:

(a) for financial reporting purposes, valuations of non-financial liabilities are often required in connection with accounting for business combinations, asset acquisitions and sales, and impairment analysis,

(b) for tax reporting purposes, non-financial liability valuations are often needed for transfer pricing analyses, estate and gift tax planning and reporting, and ad valorem taxation analyses,

(c) non-financial liabilities may be the subject of litigation, requiring valuation analysis in certain circumstances,

(d) Valuers are sometimes asked to value valuation of non-financial liabilities as part of general consulting, collateral lending and transactional support engagements.

30. Bases of Value

30.01 In accordance with IVS 404 102 Bases of Value, the valuer must select the appropriate basis(es) of value when valuing non-financial liabilities.

30.02 Often, non-financial liability valuations are performed using bases of value defined by entities/organisations other than the IVSC (some examples of which are mentioned in IVS 404 102 Bases of Value). The valuer must understand and follow the legislation, regulation,
case law and other interpretive guidance related to those bases of value as of effective at the valuation date (see IVS 200 Businesses and Business Interests, para 30.2).

40. Valuation Approaches and Methods

40.01 Elements of the three valuation approaches described in IVS 405 103 Valuation Approaches (market, income and cost approach) can all be applied to the valuation of non-financial liabilities. The methods described in sections 50-70 of this standard may exhibit elements of more than one approach. If it is necessary for the valuer to classify a method under one of the three approaches, the valuer should use judgement in making the determination and not necessarily rely on the classification below.

40.02 When selecting an approach and method, in addition to the requirements of this standard, the valuer must follow the requirements of IVS 405 103 Valuation Approaches, including para 10.04.

50. Market Approach

50.01 Under the market approach, the value of a non-financial liability is determined by reference to market activity (for example, transactions involving identical or similar non-financial liabilities).

50.02 Transactions involving non-financial liabilities frequently also include other assets, such as a business combinations that include tangible and intangible assets.

50.3 Transactions involving stand-alone non-financial liabilities are infrequent as compared with transactions for businesses and assets.

50.4 50.03 While stand-alone transactions of non-financial liabilities are infrequent, the valuer should consider relevant market-based indications of value. Although such market-based indications may not provide sufficient information with which to apply the market approach, the use of market-based inputs should be maximised in the application of other approaches.

50.5 50.04 A non-exhaustive list of such market indications of value includes: Market indications of value include but are not limited to:

(a) pricing from third parties to provide identical or similar products as the subject non-financial liability (eg, deferred revenue),

(b) pricing for warranty policies issued by third parties for identical or similar obligations,

(c) the prescribed monetary conversion amount as published by participants for certain loyalty reward obligations,

(d) the traded price for contingent value rights (CVRs) with similarities to the subject non-financial liability (eg, contingent consideration),

(e) observed rates of return for investment funds that invest in non-financial liabilities (eg, litigation finance).
50.05 The valuer must comply with paras 20.02 and 20.03 of IVS 105 when determining whether to apply the market approach to the valuation of non-financial liabilities.

50.06 The diverse nature of many non-financial liabilities and the fact that non-financial liabilities seldom transact separately from other assets means that it is rarely possible to find market evidence of transactions involving similar non-financial liabilities.

50.07 Where evidence of market prices is available, the valuer should consider adjustments to these to reflect differences between the subject non-financial liability and those involved in the transactions. These adjustments are necessary to reflect the differentiating characteristics of the subject non-financial liability and those involved in the transactions.

Such adjustments may only be determinable at a qualitative, rather than quantitative, level. However, the need for significant qualitative adjustments could indicate that another approach would be more appropriate for the valuation.

50.08 In certain instances, the valuer may rely on market prices or evidence for an asset corresponding to the subject non-financial liability. In such instances, the valuer should consider an entity's ability to transfer the subject non-financial liability, whether the asset and related price of the asset reflect those same restrictions, and whether adjustments to reflect the restrictions should be included. The valuer should take care to determine if the transfer restrictions are characteristics of the subject non-financial liability (for example, an illiquid market) or restrictions that are characteristics of the entity.

50.09 The comparable transaction method, also known as the guideline transactions method, is generally the only market approach method that can be applied to value non-financial liabilities.

50.10 In rare circumstances, a security sufficiently similar to a subject non-financial liability could be publicly traded, allowing the use of the guideline public company method. One example of such securities is contingent value rights that are tied to the performance of a particular product or technology.

Market Approach Methods

50.11 A method to value non-financial liabilities under the Market Approach is often referred to as the Top-Down Method.

Top-Down Method

50.12 Under the Top-Down Method, valuing non-financial liabilities is based on the premise that reliable market-based indications of pricing are available for the performance obligation.

50.13 A participant fulfilling the obligation to deliver the product or services associated with the non-financial liability could theoretically price the liability by deducting costs already incurred toward the
fulfilment obligation, plus a markup on those costs, from the market price of services.

50.14 When market information is used to determine the value of the subject non-financial liability, discounting is typically not necessary because the effects of discounting are incorporated into observed market prices.

50.15 The key steps in applying a Top-Down Method are to: The list of steps the valuer should perform in applying the Top-Down Method includes but is not limited to:

(a) determine the market price of the non-cash fulfilment,
(b) determine the costs already incurred and assets utilised by the transferor. The nature of such costs will differ depending on the subject non-financial liability. For example, for deferred revenue the costs will primarily consist of sales and marketing costs that have already been incurred in generating the non-financial liability,
(c) determine a reasonable profit margin on the costs already incurred,
(d) subtract costs incurred and profit from the market price.

60. Income Approach

60.01 Under the income approach, the value of a non-financial liability is often determined by reference to the present value of the costs to fulfil the obligation plus a profit margin that would be required to assume the liability.

60.02 The valuer must comply with paras 40.2 and 40.3 paras 30.02 and 30.03 of IVS 105-103 Valuation Approaches and Methods when determining whether to apply the income approach to the valuation of non-financial liabilities.

Income Approach Methods

60.03 The primary method to value non-financial liabilities under the Income Approach is often referred to as the Bottom-Up Method.

Bottom-Up Method

60.04 Under the Bottom-Up Method, the non-financial liability is measured as the costs required to fulfil the performance obligation, plus a reasonable mark-up on those costs, discounted to present value. These costs may or may not include certain overhead items.

60.05 The key steps in applying a Bottom-Up Method are to: The list of steps the valuer should perform in applying the Bottom-Up method includes but is not limited to:

(a) determine the costs required to fulfil the performance obligation. Such costs will include the direct costs to fulfil the performance obligation but may also include indirect costs such as charges for the use of contributory assets. Fulfilment costs represent those costs that are related to fulfilling the performance obligation
that generates the non-financial liability. Costs incurred as part of the selling activities before the acquisition date should be excluded from the fulfilment effort;

(i) contributory asset charges should be included in the fulfilment costs when such assets would be required to fulfil the obligation and the related cost is not otherwise captured in the income statement,

(ii) in limited instances, in addition to direct and indirect costs, it may be appropriate to include opportunity costs. For example, in the licensing of symbolic intellectual property, the direct and indirect costs of fulfilment may be nominal. However, if the obligation reduces the ability to monetise the underlying asset (in an exclusive licensing arrangement for example), then the valuer should consider how participants would account for the potential opportunity costs associated with the non-financial liability,

(b) determine a reasonable mark-up on the fulfilment effort. In most cases it may be appropriate to include an assumed profit margin on certain costs which can be expressed as a target profit, derived either as a lump sum or as a percentage return on cost or value.

(i) an initial starting point may be to utilise the operating profit of the entity holding the subject non-financial liability,

(ii) however, this methodology assumes the profit margin would be proportional to the costs incurred,

(iii) in many circumstances there is rationale to assume that profit margins are not proportional to costs. In such cases the risks assumed, the value added, or intangibles contributed to the fulfilment effort are not the same as those contributed pre-measurement date,

(iv) when costs are derived from actual, quoted or estimated prices by third party suppliers or contractors, these costs will already include a third party’s desired level of profit;

(v) In conducting this step, the valuer should not double count profits or mark-ups that have already been included in the computation of costs or contributory asset charges.

(c) determine timing of fulfilment and discount to present value. The discount rate should account for the time value of money and for non-performance risk. Typically, it is usually preferable to reflect the impact of uncertainty, such as changes in anticipated fulfilment costs and fulfilment margin, through the cash flows rather than in the discount rate,

(d) when fulfilment costs are derived through a percent of revenue, the valuer should consider whether the fulfilment costs already implicitly include the impact of discounting.

For example, prepayment for services may result in include a discount as one would expect to pay less for the same service
as when compared with paying throughout the duration of the contract term. As a result, the derived costs may also contain an implicit discount that have already been discounted and further discounting may not be necessary.

70. Cost Approach

70.01 The cost approach has limited application for non-financial liabilities as participants typically expect a return on the fulfilment effort.

70.02 The valuer must comply with paras 60.2 and 60.3 of IVS-105 Valuation Approaches and Methods when determining whether to apply the cost approach to the valuation of non-financial liabilities.

80. Special Considerations for Non-Financial Liabilities

80.01 The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of non-financial liabilities.

(a) Discount Rates for Non-Financial Liabilities (section 90),
(b) Estimating Cash Flows and Risk Margins (section 100),
(c) Restrictions on Transfer (section 110),
(d) Taxes (section 120).

90. Discount Rates for Non-Financial Liabilities

90.01 A fundamental basis for the income approach is that investors expect to receive a return on their investments and that such a return should reflect the perceived level of risk in the investment.

90.02 The discount rate should account for the time value of money and non-performance risk. Non-performance risk is typically a function of counterparty risk (i.e., credit risk of the entity obligated to fulfil the liability) (see para 60.05 (c) of this standard).

90.03 Certain bases of value issued by entities/organisations other than the IVSC may require the discount rate to specifically account for liability-specific risks. The valuer must understand and follow the legislation, regulation, case law, and other interpretive guidance related to those bases of value as of the effective at the valuation date (see IVS 200 Businesses and Business Interests, para 30.02).

90.04 The valuer should consider the terms of the subject non-financial liability when determining the appropriate inputs for the time value of money and non-performance risk.

90.05 In certain circumstances, the valuer may explicitly adjust the cash flows for non-performance risk.

90.06 What a participant would have to pay to borrow the funds necessary to satisfy the obligation. The terms imposed on a party undertaking to satisfy the obligation may provide insights to help quantify the non-performance risk.

90.07 Given the long-term nature of certain non-financial liabilities, the
valuer should consider if inflation has been incorporated into the estimated cash flows, and must ensure that the discount rate and cash flow estimates are prepared on a consistent basis.

100. Estimating Cash Flows and Risk Margins

100.01 The principles contained in IVS 405 103 Valuation Approaches and Methods may not apply to valuations of non-financial liabilities and valuations with a non-financial liability component (see IVS 105 103 Valuation Approaches and Methods, paras 50.12-50.19, Appendix A20.12-A20.19). The valuer must apply the principles in sections 90 and 100 of this standard in valuations of non-financial liabilities.

100.02 Non-financial liability cash flow forecasts often involve the explicit modelling of multiple scenarios of possible future cash flows to derive a probability-weighted expected cash flow forecast. This method is often referred to as the Scenario Based Method (SBM). The SBM also includes certain simulation techniques such as the Monte Carlo simulation. The SBM is commonly used when future payments are not contractually defined but rather vary depending upon future events. When the non-financial liability cash flows are a function of systematic risk factors, the valuer should consider the appropriateness of the SBM, and may need to utilise other methods such as based on option pricing formulas (OPM).

100.03 Considerations in estimating cash flows include developing and incorporating explicit assumptions, to the extent possible. A non-exhaustive list of such assumptions includes but is not limited to:

(a) the costs that a third party would incur in performing the tasks necessary to fulfil the obligation,
(b) other amounts that a third party would include in determining the price of the transfer, including, for example, inflation, overhead, equipment charges, profit margin, and advances in technology,
(c) the extent to which the amount of a third party's costs or the timing of its costs would vary under different future scenarios and the relative probabilities of those scenarios, and
(d) the price that a third party would demand and could expect to receive for bearing the uncertainties and unforeseeable circumstances inherent in the obligation.

100.04 While expected cash flows (ie, the probability-weighted average of possible future cash flows) incorporate the variable expected outcomes of the asset's cash flows, they do not account for the compensation that participants demand for bearing the uncertainty of the cash flows. For non-financial liabilities, forecast risk may include uncertainty such as changes in anticipated fulfilment costs and fulfilment margin. The compensation for bearing such risk should be incorporated into the expected payoff through a cash flow risk margin or the discount rate.
100.05 Given the inverse relationship between the *discount rate* and *value*, the *discount rate should* be decreased to reflect the impact of forecast risk (ie, the compensation for bearing risk due to uncertainty about the amount and timing of cash flows).

The compensation for bearing risk *should* be commensurate with the uncertainty about the amount and the timing of cash flows.

100.06 While *It is* possible to account for forecast risk by *reducing varying* the *discount rate*. However, given the limited practical application of *doing so*, *the valuer must* either:

(a) explain the rationale for reducing the *discount rate* rather than incorporating a risk margin, or

(b) specifically note the legislation, regulation, case law, or other interpretive guidance that requires the accounting for forecast risk of non-financial *liabilities* through the *discount rate* rather than a risk margin (see IVS 200 *Businesses and Business Interests*, para 30.2).

100.07 In developing a risk margin, *the valuer must*:

(a) document the method used for developing the risk margin, including support for its use, and

(b) provide evidence for the derivation computation of the risk margin, including the identification of the *significant inputs* and support for their derivation or source.

100.08 In developing a cash flow risk margin, *the valuer must* consider:

(a) the life/term and/or maturity of the *asset non-financial liability* and the consistency of *inputs*,

(b) the geographic location of the *asset non-financial liability* and/or the location of the markets in which it would trade,

(c) the currency denomination of the projected cash flows, and

(d) the type of cash flow contained in the forecast. For example, a cash flow forecast may represent expected cash flows (eg, probability-*weighted* scenarios) or the most likely cash flows or contractual cash flows, etc.

100.09 In developing a cash flow risk margin, *the valuer should* consider:

(a) the less certainty there is in the anticipated fulfilment costs and fulfilment margin, the higher the risk margin *should* be,

(b) given the finite term of most non-financial *liabilities*, as opposed to indefinite for many business and asset *valuations*, to the extent that emerging experience reduces uncertainty, risk margins *should* decrease, and vice versa,

(c) the expected distribution of outcomes, and the potential for certain non-financial *liabilities* to have high ‘tail risk’ or severity. Non-financial *liabilities* with wide distributions and high severity *should* have higher risk margins,
(d) the respective rights and preferences of the non-financial liability, and/or of any related asset in the event of a liquidation and its relative position within the liquidation waterfall.

100.10 The cash flow risk margin should be the compensation that would be required for a party to be indifferent between fulfilling a liability that has a range of possible outcomes, and one that will generate fixed cash outflows.

100.11 A valuer need not conduct an exhaustive quantitative process, but should take into account In estimating cash flows and risk margins, the valuer should consider all the information that is reasonably available.

110. Restrictions on Transfer

110.01 Non-financial liabilities often have include restrictions on the ability to transfer. Such restrictions can be either contractual in nature, or a function of an illiquid market for the subject non-financial liability.

110.02 When relying on market evidence, the valuer should consider an entity’s ability to transfer such non-financial liabilities and whether adjustments to reflect the restrictions should be included. The valuer may need to determine if the transfer restrictions are characteristics of the non-financial liability or restrictions that are characteristics of an entity, as certain basis of value may specify one or the other be considered (see IVS 220 Non-Financial Liabilities, para 50.09).

110.03 When relying on an income approach in which the non-financial liability value is estimated through a fulfilment approach, the valuer should determine if an investor a party willing to take on undertake the liability would require an additional risk margin to account for the limitations on transfer.

120. Taxes

120.01 The valuer should use pre-tax cash flows and a pre-tax discount rate for the valuation of non-financial liabilities.

120.02 In certain circumstances, it may be appropriate to perform the analysis with after tax cash flows and after tax discount rates. In such instances, the valuer must explain the rationale for use of after-tax inputs, or specifically note the legislation, regulation, case law, or other interpretive guidance that requires the use of after-tax inputs (see IVS 200 Businesses and Business Interests, para 30.02).

120.03 If after-tax inputs are used, it may be appropriate to include the tax benefit created by the projected cash outflow associated with the non-financial liability.
10. Overview

10.01 The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of inventory and valuations with an inventory component. This standard contains additional requirements for valuations of inventory.

20. Introduction

20.01 Inventory broadly includes goods which will be used in future production processes (ie, raw materials, parts, supplies), goods used in the production process (ie, work-in-process), and goods awaiting sale (ie, finished goods).

20.02 This standard focuses on valuation of inventory of physical goods that are not real property. As the numerous and varied aspects of real property inventory were not considered or contemplated in the preparation of this standard, the valuation of real property is covered in IVS 400 Real Property Interests and IVS 410 Development Property.
20.03 While the book value of inventory only includes historical costs, the profits earned in the production process, which reflect returns on the assets utilised in manufacturing (including working capital, property, plant, and equipment, and intangible assets), are not capitalised into book value. As a result, the market value of inventory typically differs from, and is usually higher than, the book value of inventory.

20.04 As inventory is seldom transacted at an interim stage (eg, work-in-process) or may not be frequently sold to a third party to conduct the selling effort (eg, finished goods sold via distributor networks), the valuation techniques and considerations for inventory frequently vary from those of other.

20.05 Valuations of inventory are performed for a variety of intended uses. It is the valuer’s responsibility to understand the intended use of a valuation. It is also the valuer’s responsibility to understand whether the inventory should be valued separately or grouped with other assets.

20.06 A non-exhaustive list of examples of circumstances requiring the valuation of inventory includes but is not limited to:

(a) financial reporting purposes, valuations of inventory are often required in connection with such as accounting for business combinations, asset acquisitions and sales, and impairment analysis,
(b) tax reporting purposes, inventory valuations are frequently needed for such as transfer pricing analyses, estate and gift tax planning and reporting, and ad valorem taxation analyses,
(c) inventory valuation may be the subject of litigation, requiring valuation analysis in certain circumstances litigation, in instances such as shareholder disputes, damage calculations and marital dissolutions (divorce),
(d) Valuers are sometimes asked to value inventory as part of general consulting, collateral lending, transactional support engagements and insolvency.

30. Bases of Value

30.01 In accordance with IVS 104 102 Bases of Value, the valuer must select the appropriate basis(es) of value when valuing inventory.

30.02 Often, valuations of inventory are performed using bases of value defined by entities/organisations other than the IVSC (some examples of which are mentioned in IVS 104 102 Bases of Value) and the valuer must understand and follow the legislation, regulation, case law, and other interpretive guidance related to those bases of value as of effective at the valuation date.

40. Valuation Approaches and Methods

40.01 The three valuation approaches described in IVS 105 103 Valuation Approaches and Methods can all be applied to the valuation of inventory.

The methods described in this standard below simultaneously include elements of the cost approach, market approach, and income approach. If necessary for the valuer is required to classify a method under one of the three approaches, the valuer should use judgement in making the determination and not necessarily rely on the classification in the..
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following sections 50–70.

40.02 When selecting an approach and method, in addition to the requirements of this standard, the valuer must follow the requirements of IVS 495 103 Valuation Approaches and Methods, including para 10.3 10.04.

50. Market Approach

50.01 The market approach, ie, reference to market activity involving identical or similar goods, has only narrow direct application for the valuation of inventory. Such applications typically include:

(a) inventory of commoditised products, or
(b) inventory in which a market exists for the inventory at an interim stage in the production process. For non-commodity traded products or products that a market exists at an interim production stage, such selling prices must be adjusted downward to account for the disposal effort and related profit.

50.02 While the market approach is not directly applicable in most instances, the valuer should consider market-based indications to determine the selling price as an input for other methods.

50.03 Other observable markets may provide insights on the returns attributable to the manufacturing and disposition of assets that can also be leveraged for inputs into other methods. Such returns are typically considered to exclude returns attributable to intellectual property. For example:

(a) distributor profit margins represent a meaningful market proxy for returns on the disposition process, if an appropriate base of comparable companies is identified,
(b) contract manufacturers, to the extent available, may provide a proxy for margins earned through the manufacturing process.

50.04 The valuer must comply with paras 20.02 and 20.03 of IVS 495 103 Valuation Approaches and Methods when determining whether to apply the market approach to the valuation of inventory. In addition, the valuer should only apply the market approach to value inventory if both of the following criteria are met:

(a) information is available on arms-length transactions involving identical or similar inventory on or near the valuation date, and
(b) sufficient information is available to allow the valuer to adjust for all significant differences between the subject inventory and those involved in the transactions.

50.05 Where evidence of market prices is available, the valuer should make adjustments to reflect adjust for differences between the subject inventory and those involved in the transactions. These adjustments are necessary to reflect the differentiating characteristics of the subject inventory and those involved in the transactions.

Such adjustments may only be determinable at a qualitative, rather than quantitative, level. However, the need for significant qualitative adjustments may indicate that another approach would be more appropriate for
60. Income Approach

60.01 The **valuation** of inventory using the income approach requires the allocation of profit (value) contributed **pre-before the valuation date** versus the profit (value) expected to be contributed **after the valuation date**.

60.02 The **valuer must** comply with 40.2 and 40.3 paras 30.02 and 30.03 of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods when determining whether to apply the income approach to the **valuation of inventory**.

**Top-Down Method**

60.03 The top-down method is a residual method that begins with the estimated selling price and deducts remaining **costs** and estimated profit.

60.04 The top-down method attempts to bifurcate the efforts, and related value, that were completed before the measurement date versus those efforts that are to be completed after the measurement date.

60.05 The **key steps in applying the top-down method are to**: The list of steps the **valuer should** perform in applying the top-down method for the valuation of inventory includes but is not limited to:

(a) estimate the **selling price**;
   
   (i) The **valuer should** rely on direct observations of selling prices when the information is available.
   (ii) However, such data is often not available and the selling price is often estimated by applying an appropriate gross profit margin to the net book value of finished goods at the product level or the aggregate level.
   (iii) Typically, the projected gross profit margin in the period the inventory will be sold is used;

(b) estimate the **costs** to complete (for work-in-process only);
   
   (i) Completion costs should include all of the expenditures directly or indirectly remaining to be incurred **after the valuation date** in bringing the work in progress inventory to its finished condition.
   (ii) **Costs to complete** should be adjusted to remove expenses benefitting future periods;

(c) subtract the **costs** of disposal;
   
   (i) **Costs of disposal** represent costs that would be incurred **after the valuation date** in order to deliver the finished goods to the end customer.
   (ii) **Costs of disposal** should be adjusted to remove expenses benefitting future periods.
   (iii) Costs of disposal generally include selling and marketing expenses, while—whereas procurement and manufacturing
expenses have typically already been incurred for finished goods inventory.

(iv) **In order to properly** To accurately determine costs of disposal, each expense in the inventory cycle (including indirect overheads) should be categorised either as having been incurred and, therefore, have contributed to the value of the finished goods inventory, or as remaining to be incurred during the disposal process.

(d) subtract the profit allowance on the completion effort (for work-in-process only) and the disposal process:

   (i) An initial starting point may be to utilise the operating profit of the company business.

   (ii) However, this methodology assumes the profit margin on the inventory would be is proportional to the costs incurred

   (iii) In most circumstances, there is rationale to assume profit margins which are not proportional to costs (see section 90);

(e) consider any necessary holding costs.

   (i) Holding costs may need to be estimated in order to account for the opportunity cost associated with the time required to sell the inventory.

   (ii) Additionally, the valuer should consider the risk borne during the holding period when determining the required rate of return.

   (iii) Risks may be a function of the length of inventory life cycle and the contractual arrangements with end customers (eg, the manufacturer bears the risk of fluctuation in costs of completion and disposal).

   (iv) Holding costs may be immaterial if the inventory turnover is high and/or the borrowing rate is low.

60.06 When determining the cost to complete, costs of disposal and profit allowance, the valuer should identify and exclude any expenses that are intended to provide future economic benefit and are not necessary to generate the current period revenue.

Examples of future-benefit expenses may include research and development (R&D) related to new product development; marketing for a new product; recruiting to increase the size of the workforce; expansion into a new territory; depreciation of an R&D facility dedicated to future research; or restructuring costs.

60.07 Internally developed intangible assets should either be modelled either as:

   (a) a cost as if they were hypothetically licensed, and therefore included in either the cost of production or disposal, or

   (b) considered as part of a functional apportionment when determining the appropriate profit allowance.

60.08 When utilising the top-down method, the valuer should consider whether
sufficient data are available to appropriately apply the key necessary steps. If sufficient data are not available, it may be appropriate to apply other methods or techniques.

60.09 The application of the top-down and of the bottom-up method should yield the same result for the valuation of inventory.

The valuer may use the bottom-up method (see para 60.10 of this standard) to corroborate the value derived from the top-down method.

**Bottom-Up Method**

60.10 The key steps in applying the bottom-up method are to: The list of steps the valuer should perform in applying the Bottom-up method for the valuation of inventory includes but is not limited to:

(a) determine the book value of the subject inventory. The book value may need to be adjusted for multiple considerations (see para 70.04 and section 110 of this standard),
(b) add any cost of buying and holding already incurred,
(c) add any cost toward completion already incurred. Such costs typically include procurement and manufacturing expenses,
(d) add profit on total costs already incurred.

(i) An initial starting point may be to use the operating profit of the business as an input. However, this methodology assumes the profit margin on the inventory would be is proportional to the costs incurred.
(ii) In most circumstances, there is rationale to assume profit margins which are not proportional to costs (see section 90).

60.11 When determining the costs already incurred, the valuer should consider internally developed intangible assets that have contributed toward the completion effort.

70. **Cost Approach**

70.01 The primary method to value inventory is the replacement cost method. Raw materials inventory is typically valued using the current replacement cost method. The replacement cost method is the primary method for the valuation of raw materials inventory.

70.02 The valuer must comply with paras 60.2 and 60.3, 40.02 and 40.03 of IVS 105 103 Valuation Approaches and Methods when determining whether to apply the cost approach to the valuation of inventory.

**Current Replacement Cost Method (CRCM)**

70.03 The current replacement cost method (CRCM) may provide a good indication of market value if inventory is readily replaceable in a wholesale or retail business (e.g., raw materials inventory).

70.04 The market value of raw materials and other inventory may be similar to the net book value as of at the valuation date but certain. The adjustments that should be considered include but are not limited to:
(a) the book value may need to be adjusted to FIFO basis,
(b) if raw material prices fluctuate and/or the inventory turnover is slow, the book value may need to be adjusted for changes in market prices,
(c) the book value of raw materials may also be decreased to account for obsolete and defective goods,
(d) the book value may also need to be decreased for shrinkage, which is the difference between inventory listed in the accounting records and the actual inventory due to theft, damage, miscounting, incorrect units of measure, evaporation, etc,
(e) the book value may need to be increased for any costs incurred in connection with raw material preparation (eg, purchasing, storage and handling).

80. Special Considerations for Inventory

80.01 The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of inventory.

(a) identification of value-added processes and returns on intangible assets (section 90),
(b) relationship to other acquired assets (section 100),
(c) obsolete inventory reserves (section 110),
(d) unit of account (section 120).

90. Identification of Value-Added Processes and Returns on Intangible Assets

90.01 The valuation of inventory involves an allocation of profit between the profit earned pre-measurement date and the profit earned post-measurement date. In practice, profit earned may not be proportional to expenses. In most cases the risks assumed, value added, or intangibles contributed to the inventory pre-measurement date are not the same as those contributed post-measurement date.

90.02 The valuer typically should not simply allocate profit in proportion to disposition and manufacturing costs. This assumption can misallocate profit, as it presupposes that a company’s business’ production process earns profit on a pro-rata basis based on costs incurred.

(a) For manufacturers, this method is inappropriate if the costs of materials represent an initial outflow without significant efforts.
(b) Such an assumption also fails to recognise the contribution of internally-generated intangible assets with minimal associated costs.

90.03 The valuer should distinguish between value-added costs and those that are not value-added. The materials portion of Cost-of-Goods-Sold (COGS) may not be a value-added cost because it does not contribute any of the profit to the inventory.

90.04 For a company business that owns internally developed intangible assets that contribute to an increase in the level of profitability, both the return on and the return of those intangible assets would be included in the total profit margin of the business. However, whether intangible assets
are owned or licensed, the market value of the inventory should be the same.

90.05 The valuer should determine the extent to which the technology, trademarks and customer relationships support the manufacturing and distribution processes and whether the returns are applicable to the entire base of revenue. If the intangible asset has been utilised to create the inventory (e.g., a manufacturing process intangible), then the value of the inventory would be increased. Conversely, if the intangible asset is expected to be utilised in the future, at the time of disposal, the value of the inventory would be decreased.

90.06 For marketing-related intangible assets, the determination of whether the intangible asset is an attribute of the inventory may be difficult.

To assist with that determination, the valuer may consider how the inventory would be marketed by a market participant to its customers in a push vs a pull model.

(a) A push model requires significant disposal efforts for inventory and is less reliant on marketing intangibles, while

(b) A pull model depends on strong brand development and recognition to pull customers to the product.

90.07 A non-exhaustive list of other considerations for evaluating when intangible assets are contributed may include the amount of marketing spend, whether products are sold through a distributor, the level of attrition for customer relationships and any legal rights associated with the intangible assets.

90.08 In some cases, the intangible asset may consist of several elements that contribute to various aspects of the value creation, such as a pharmaceutical product intangible asset that is comprised of technology and tradename. This requires an assessment of how the overall profit related to each element of the intangible asset should be apportioned to manufacturing the inventory versus in the disposal effort.

90.09 Similarly, although a single intangible asset may only contribute to either the manufacturing or disposal effort, it is also possible for a portion of the intangible asset to be contributed before the measurement date and a portion to be contributed after the measurement date. For example, when assessing the contribution of symbolic Intellectual Property (IP) for finished goods, and although the product bears the respective branding associated with the symbolic IP, the related right to sell the branded product may not be conveyed with the transfer of inventory. As such, it may be appropriate to consider such rights in the costs of disposal.

100. Relationship to Other Acquired Assets

100.01 The valuer should maintain consistency, as appropriate, between assumptions used in the inventory valuation relative to valuation of other assets or liabilities. The valuer should maintain appropriate consistency between the assumptions used in the valuation of inventory and the assumptions used in the valuation of other assets and/or liabilities.
110. **Obsolete Inventory Reserves**

110.01 The *valuer should* account for obsolete inventory reserve balances. The inventory reserve balances *should* be applied to the inventory in which the reserve applies, rather than netted against the entire inventory balance.

110.02 Typically, the obsolete inventory adjusted for the inventory reserve would not be valued *as since* it has been adjusted to *its* net realisable value. However, the *valuer* may need to consider further write-downs if the *market value of the inventory* is lower than net realisable value.

120. **Unit of Account**

120.01 For the purposes of inventory valuation, it is often appropriate to assume that inventory is one homogenous set of *assets*. However, it is possible for the profit margins, risk, and *intangible asset* contributions to vary by product or product group.

120.02 If the profit margins, risk and *intangible asset* contributions vary by product or product group, and the relative mix of inventory being valued does not match the assumed sales mix used to develop the assumptions for the *valuation*, the *valuer should* assess the different groups of inventory separately.
10. Overview

10.01 The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of plant, and equipment and infrastructure (PEI). This standard includes modifications, additional principles—requirements or specific examples of how the General Standards apply to valuations.
to which this standard applies. Valuations of PEI must also follow the applicable standards for that type of asset and/or liability (see IVS 400 Real Property Interests and IVS 410 Development Property, where applicable).

20. Introduction

20.01 Items of plant and equipment PEI (which may sometimes be categorised as a type of personal property) are tangible assets that are usually held by an entity for use in the manufacturing/production or supply of goods or services, for rental by others or for administrative purposes and that are expected to be used over a period of time. PEI may also include infrastructure assets, which are typically part of a specialised system, network or group of complementary assets. Where applicable, valuations relating to infrastructure should also have consideration to IVS 400 Real Property Interests and IVS 410 Development Property.

20.02 For lease of machinery and equipment, The right to use an item of machinery and equipment (such as a right arising from a lease) would also follow the guidance of this standard. It must also be noted that the “right to use” an asset could have a different life span than the service life (that takes into consideration both preventive and predictive maintenance) of the underlying machinery and equipment asset itself and, in such circumstances, the service life span difference must be stated.

20.03 Consistent with the highest and best use premise, Assets for which the highest and best use is “in use” as part of a group of assets may have greater value individually than when considered as part of group of assets, or vice versa. PEI for which the highest and best use is “in use” as part of a group of assets must be valued using consistent assumptions. Unless the assets belonging to the sub systems may reasonably be separated independently from its main system, then the sub systems may be valued separately, having consistent assumptions within the sub systems. This will also cascade down to sub sub systems and so on.

20.04 Intangible assets typically fall outside the classification of plant and equipment PEI assets. However, an intangible asset may have an impact on the value of plant and equipment PEI assets. For example, the value of patterns and dies is often inextricably linked to associated intellectual property rights. Operating software, technical data, production records and patents are further examples of intangible assets that can have an impact on the value of plant and equipment PEI assets depending on whether or not they are included in the valuation. If the valuation of discrete or embedded intangible assets is necessary to value PEI assets, they should be included in the valuation. In such cases, the valuation process will involve consideration of the inclusion of intangible assets and their impact on the valuation of the plant and equipment assets. When there is an intangible asset component, the valuer should also follow IVS 210 Intangible Assets.
A valuation of plant and equipment PEI will normally require consideration of a range of factors relating to the asset itself, its environment and physical, functional and economic potential.

Therefore, all plant and equipment valuers should normally inspect the subject assets to ascertain the condition of the plant and also to determine if the information provided to them is usable and related to the subject assets being valued. Examples of factors that may need to be considered under each of these headings include the following:

(a) asset-related factors:

(i) the asset’s technical specification,
(ii) the remaining useful, economic or effective life, considering both preventive and predictive maintenance,
(iii) the asset’s condition, including maintenance history and historical capital expenditure,
(iv) any functional, physical and technological obsolescence,
(v) if the asset is not valued in its current location, the costs of decommissioning and removal, and any costs associated with the asset’s existing in-place location, such as installation and re-commissioning of assets to its optimum status,
(vi) for machinery and equipment an asset that are is used for rental purposes in a leasing context, the lease renewal options and other end-of-lease possibilities (often referred to as terminal value),
(vii) any potential loss of a complementary asset, eg, the operational life of a machine an asset may be curtailed by the length of lease on the building in which it is located,
(viii) additional costs associated with additional equipment, transport, installation and commissioning, etc, and
(ix) in cases where the historical costs are not available for the machinery and equipment asset that may reside within a plant during a construction, the valuer may take references from the Engineering, Procurement, and/or Construction (“EPC”) contract(s) (if available).

(b) Environmental or external related factors:

(i) the location in relation to the source of raw material and market for the products produced by the asset or group of assets. The suitability of a location may also have a limited life, eg, where raw materials are finite or where demand is transitory,
(ii) the impact of any environmental or other legislation or external related factors that either restricts utilisation or imposes additional operating or decommissioning costs on the PEI or reduces demand for a product produced by the asset or group of assets,
3. radioactive substances that may be in certain machinery and equipment have a severe impact if not used or disposed of appropriately. This will have a major impact on expense consideration and the environment.

4. (iii) toxic wastes which may be chemical in the form of a solid, liquid or gaseous state must be professionally stored or disposed of. This is critical for all industrial manufacturing, and

5. (iv) licences to operate certain machines assets in certain countries jurisdictions may be restricted, or may have a limited life,

(c) economic-related factors:

(i) the actual or potential profitability of the asset, which might be based on comparison of operating costs with earnings or potential earnings of the business within which the asset operates (see IVS 200 Businesses and Business Interests),

(ii) the demand for the product manufactured by the plant asset with regard to both macro- and micro-economic factors could impact on demand, and

(iii) the potential for the asset to be put to a more valuable use than the current use (ie, highest and best use).

20.06 Valuations of plant and equipment should reflect the impact of all forms of obsolescence on value.

30. Valuation Framework

30.01 In accordance with IVS 100 Valuation Framework, the valuer must comply with the valuer principles (see IVS 100 Valuation Framework, section 10).

40. Scope of Work

20.7 40.01 To comply with the requirement to identify the asset and/or liability to be valued in IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.3 (d) section 20, to the extent it impacts on value, consideration must be given to the degree to which the asset is attached to, or integrated with, other assets. For example:

(a) assets may be permanently attached to the land and could not be removed without substantial demolition of either the asset or any surrounding structure or building,

(b) an individual machine may be part of an integrated production line where its functionality is dependent upon other assets,

(c) an asset may be considered to be classified as a component of the real property (eg, a Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning System (HVAC)).

In such cases, it will be necessary to clearly define what is to be included or excluded from the valuation. Any special assumptions relating to the availability of any complementary assets must also be
stated (see also para 20.8).

20.8 40.02 Plant and equipment PEI connected with the supply or provision of services to a building are often integrated within the building and, once installed, are often difficult to separate from it. These items will normally form part of the real property interest and therefore the requirements contained within IVS 400 Real Property Interests and IVS 410 Development Property must also be considered, where appropriate. Examples include plant and equipment assets with the primary function of supplying electricity, gas, heating, cooling or ventilation to a building and equipment such as elevators. If the purpose of the valuation requires these items to be valued separately, the scope of work must include a statement to the effect that the value of these items would normally be included in the real property interest and may not be separately realisable. When different valuation assignments are undertaken to carry out valuations of the real property interest and plant and equipment assets at the same location, care is necessary to avoid either omissions or double counting.

20.9 40.03 Because of the diverse nature and transportability of many items of plant and equipment PEI, additional assumptions will normally be required to describe the situation and circumstances in which the assets are valued. In order to comply with IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.301 (k) these must be considered and included in the scope of work. Examples of assumptions that may be appropriate in different circumstances include:

(a) that the plant and equipment assets are valued as a whole group, in place and as part of an operating business,
(b) that the plant and equipment assets are valued as a whole group, in place but on the assumption that the business is not yet in production,
(c) that the plant and equipment assets are valued as a whole group, in place but on the assumption that the business is closed,
(d) that the plant and equipment assets are valued as a whole group, in place but on the assumption that it is a forced sale (see IVS 102 Bases of Value, Appendix A120 See IVS 104 Bases of Value),
(e) that the plant and equipment assets are valued as individual items for removal from their current location.

20.10 40.04 In some circumstances, it may be appropriate to report on more than one set of assumptions, eg, in order to illustrate the effect of business closure or cessation of operations on the value of plant and equipment assets.

20.11 In addition to the minimum requirements in IVS 103 Reporting, a valuation report on plant and equipment must include appropriate references to matters addressed in the scope of work. The report must also include comment on the effect on the reported value of any associated tangible or intangible assets excluded from the
actual or assumed transaction scenario, eg operating software for a machine or a continued right to occupy the land on which the item is situated.

20.12 Valuations of plant and equipment are often required for different purposes including financial reporting, leasing, secured lending, disposal, taxation, litigation and insolvency proceedings.

40.05 In addition to the requirements contained within IVS 101 Scope of Work, sections 20 and 30, investigations made during the course of a valuation engagement must be appropriate for the intended use of the valuation engagement and the basis(es) of value.

This section includes sections moved from the previous edition of IVS 102 Investigations and Compliance

IVS-102 20.2 40.06 Sufficient investigations and evidence must be assembled by means such as inspection, inquiry, research, computation and or analysis to ensure that the valuation is properly supported. When determining the extent of investigations and evidence necessary, professional judgement is required to ensure the information to be obtained is adequate it is fit for the purpose of the valuation.

IVS-102 20.4 40.07 When a valuation assignment engagement involves reliance on information supplied by a party other than the valuer, consideration should be given as to whether the information is credible or that the information may otherwise be relied upon without adversely affecting the credibility of the valuation opinion. Significant inputs provided to the valuer (eg, by management/owners) should be considered, investigated and/or corroborated. In cases where credibility or reliability of information supplied cannot be supported, consideration should be given as to whether or how such information is used (see IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.01 (j)).

IVS-102 20.5 40.08 In considering the credibility and reliability of information provided, the valuer should consider matters such as:

(a) the purpose intended use of the valuation,
(b) the significance of the information to the valuation conclusion,
(c) the expertise of the source in relation to the subject matter, and
(d) whether the source is independent of either the subject asset and/or the intended user of the valuation (see IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.01 (a)).

IVS-102 20.6 40.09 The purpose intended use of the valuation, the basis of value, the extent and limits on the investigations and any sources of information that may be relied upon are part of the valuation
engagement’s scope of work that must be communicated to all parties to the valuation engagement (see IVS 101 Scope of Work).

IVS 102 20.7 40.10 If, during the course of a valuation assignment, it becomes clear that the investigations or limitations included in the scope of work will not result in a credible valuation, or information to be provided by third parties is either unavailable or inadequate, or limitations on investigations such as inspection are so substantial that it will not result in a valuation outcome that is adequate for the purpose of the valuation, the valuation must explicitly state that the valuation is not in compliance with IVS (see IVS 100 Valuation Framework, section 40 and IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.03).

30. 50. Bases of Value

30.1 50.01 In accordance with IVS 104 102 Bases of Value, the valuer must select the appropriate basis(es) of value when valuing plant and equipment PEI.

30.2 50.02 Using the appropriate basis(es) of value and associated premise of value (see IVS 104 102 Bases of Value, sections 140-170 Appendix A90-A120) is particularly crucial in the valuation of plant and equipment PEI because differences in value can be pronounced significant, depending on whether an item of plant and equipment is valued under an “in use” premise, orderly liquidation or forced liquidation (see IVS 104 102 Bases of Value, para 80.1 Appendix A60). The value of most plant and equipment PEI is particularly sensitive to different premises of value.

Liquidation value

30.3 An example of forced liquidation conditions is where the assets have to be removed from a property in a time frame that precludes proper marketing because a lease of the property is being terminated. The impact of such circumstances on value needs careful consideration. In order to advise on the value likely to be realised, it will be necessary to consider any alternatives to a sale from the current location, such as the practicality and cost of removing the items to another location for disposal within the available time limit and any diminution in value due to moving the item from its working location.

50.03 In determining any premise of liquidation value, it should be made clear as to whether the premise is required to be on an in-place (in-situ) or removed (ex-situ) basis. The characteristics associated with the asset’s or group of assets’ location, and underlying land tenure or lease term, will often impact on the in-place or removed consideration.

50.04 Regardless of whether the asset or group of assets is being considered on an in-place (in-situ) or removed (ex-situ) basis, typically the premise should consider a scenario that would maximise the gross
amount that would be realised having consideration to the premise of value under consideration. This may be achieved by selling the assets on a piecemeal basis, or alternatively may be achieved by selling the assets as a group, depending upon the market.

50.05 It should be noted that for plant and equipment, selling an asset on a removed (ex-situ) or piecemeal basis may be quite common. For infrastructure, selling an asset on a removed (ex-situ) or piecemeal basis may or may not be possible and will vary depending upon the characteristics of the asset.

50.06 The proposition of a removed (ex-situ) basis raises the possibility that there will be certain asset components (or originally incurred indirect costs) that are not recoverable once the asset is removed (either physically or economically). Such items might include (but not be limited to) foundations, electrical and process piping, transportation costs, installation and commissioning costs, fixed buildings, safety and protection equipment, etc.

50.07 In the event that a scope of work specifically requires the determination of a net amount (as opposed to gross amount) that would be realised from a liquidation sale, the nature and quantum of the costs that will likely be incurred by the seller to get from the gross to the net amount should be made clear.

40. 60. Valuation Approaches and Methods

40.1 60.01 The three principal valuation approaches described in IVS 103 Valuation Approaches may all be applied to the valuation of plant and equipment PEI assets and/or liabilities depending on the nature of the assets, the information available, and the facts and circumstances surrounding the valuation.

50. 70. Market Approach

50.1 70.01 For classes of plant and equipment that are homogenous, eg, cranes, construction equipment, motor vehicles (light and heavy) and earthmoving equipment, certain types of office equipment or industrial machinery, the market approach is commonly used as there may be sufficient data of recent sales of similar assets. However, many types of plant and equipment are specialised and where direct sales evidence for such items will not be available, in these instances care must be exercised in offering an opinion of value valuation using a market approach when available market data is poor or non-existent. In such circumstances it may be appropriate to adopt either the income approach or the cost approach to the valuation (see IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, para 20.03).

70.02 When using the market approach, types of evidence will include (see section 100, para 100.02 of this standard):

(a) actual sales of identical assets,
(b) actual sales of similar assets,
(c) asking prices for identical assets,
(d) asking prices for similar assets.

70.03 Depending upon the asset(s) being valued, market evidence may be considered in a variety of ways including:

(a) piecemeal (ie, individual asset basis),
(b) production line (ie, a group of assets together forming an operating unit),
(c) whole of plant/facility (ie, a production facility producing X units per day),
(d) portfolio (ie, a group of assets operating across a region).

70.04 Highest and best use considerations should always be a primary consideration for the valuer when considering the above types of evidence. Specifically, a portfolio of assets may have greater value if considered individually as opposed to as part of a portfolio, and vice versa. Where this is the case, the valuer must explicitly state that this is the case and provide reasoning as to the difference in forming their conclusion.

70.05 Actual sales must take preference over asking prices and evidence available just prior to the valuation date should be preferred to that further from the valuation date.

70.06 The reliability of the evidence should be weighted according to its source. Depending upon the asset class considered as part of the valuation, evidence may be considered at a local, national or international level.

70.07 The market approach for actual sales of identical assets includes all forms of depreciation and obsolescence relating to an asset and no adjustment will be required (although such evidence is rare).

70.08 When considering actual sales or asking prices of similar assets (and asking prices for identical assets), various adjustments may need to be considered to bring the evidence in line with the subject asset, and may include but not limited to adjustments for:

(a) technical factors (size, capacity, rating, units of production, specification, etc),
(b) deterioration and obsolescence factors (condition, intensity of use, age, maintenance, overhaul status, operating costs),
(c) market-related factors (location, currency, quantities, asking price versus actual sales, environmental/licensing/compliance status, etc),
(d) time or basis of value factors (date of sale versus valuation date, market sale versus liquidation sale, installed as-is/where-is versus removed, etc).
70.09 In making adjustments to bring the evidence in line with the subject asset, the valuer may use various methods including:

(a) direct adjustment (ie, a currency or amount adjustment),
(b) indirect adjustment (ie, to adjust the evidence by a percentage).

70.10 Evidence in an active and transparent market should always be preferred to an inactive and opaque market. Similarly, evidence will be more comparable when fewer adjustments are required to bring it in line with the subject asset. In all instances, professional judgement must be used to ensure that the evidence being considered is appropriate having consideration to the nature of the valuation being performed.

60. 80. Income Approach

60.01 The income approach to the valuation of plant and equipment PEI can be used where specific cash flows can be identified for the asset or a group of complementary assets, eg, where a group of assets forming a process plant is operating to produce a marketable product/service or generating income from a lease. However, some of the cash flows may be attributable to intangible assets and difficult to separate from the cash flow contribution of the plant and equipment. Use of the income approach is not normally practical for many individual items of plant and equipment; however, it can be utilised in assessing the existence and quantum of economic obsolescence for an asset or asset group.

60.02 When an income approach is used to value plant and equipment, the valuation must consider the cash flows expected to be generated over the life of the asset(s) as well as the value of the asset at the end of its life. Care must be exercised when plant and equipment is valued on an income approach to ensure that elements of value relating to intangible assets, goodwill and other contributory assets is excluded (see IVS 210 Intangible Assets).

80.02 When PEI is valued on an income approach, elements of value that may be attributable to intangible assets and other contributory assets should typically be excluded (see section 20.04 of this standard, IVS 101 Scope of Work and IVS 210 Intangible Assets).

80.03 The income approach can also be utilised, in conjunction with other approaches, in assessing the existence and quantum of economic obsolescence and/or goodwill for an asset or group of complementary assets. Care should be taken when using the income approach because it may be challenging to apportion aggregated cash flows relating to a group of complementary assets down into individual assets (where necessary).

80.04 When an income approach is used to value PEI, the valuation must consider the cash flows expected to be generated over the explicit forecast period of the asset(s) as well as the value of the asset(s) at the end of the explicit forecast period, often referred to as terminal value (see IVS 103 Valuation Approaches, Appendix A20.02–A20.22).
80.05 In accordance with IVS 103 *Valuation Approaches*, the income approach for an *asset* or group of complementary *assets* may be used where the main driver of *value* is largely driven by its income producing ability and afforded *significant weight* under the following circumstances such as:

(a) the *asset* or group of complementary *assets* have a high barrier to entry for market participants,
(b) there is *significant* time involved to create an *asset* or group of complementary *assets* of equal utility, whether by purchase or construction,
(c) there are potential legal or regulatory hurdles to create an *asset* or group of complementary *assets* of equal utility,
(d) a purchaser would be willing to pay a *significant* premium for the ability to use the *asset* or group of complementary *assets* immediately, due to favourable market economics and/or more immediate cashflow certainty,
(e) there is undue inconvenience, risk or other factors involved in obtaining an *asset* or group of complementary *assets* of equal utility, whether by purchase or construction.

80.06 In addition, the income approach *should* also be afforded *significant weight* for an *asset* or group of complementary *assets* under the following circumstances:

(a) the use of the market approach is either not practicable or inconclusive to value the *asset* or group of complementary *assets*,
(b) the *valuation* only needs to consider the *asset* or group of complementary *assets* as a whole, and not the *value* of individual component *assets*,
(c) the income-producing ability of the *asset* or group of complementary *assets* is set by market rates, or via contracts that are frequently marked-to-market,
(d) the cash flow generated for an *asset* or group of complementary *assets* is discrete and clearly distinguishable from other parts of the business,
(e) the *value* of other contributory *assets* that are inherently included within the income generated can be readily valued in isolation from the *asset* or group of complementary *assets* using other valuation methodologies.

70.  90. Cost Approach

70.1  90.01 The cost approach is commonly adopted for plant and equipment PEI, particularly in the case of individual *assets* that are specialised or special-use facilities. The first step is to estimate the *cost* to a market participant of replacing the subject *asset* by reference to the lower of either reproduction or replacement cost. The replacement cost is the *cost* of obtaining an alternative *asset* of equivalent utility; this can either be a modern equivalent providing the same functionality
or the cost of reproducing an exact replica of the subject asset. After concluding on a replacement cost, the value should be adjusted to reflect the impact on value of physical, functional, technological and economic obsolescence on value. In any event, adjustments made to any particular replacement cost should be designed to produce the same cost as the modern equivalent asset from an output and utility point of view.

70.2 90.02 An entity’s actual costs incurred in the acquisition or construction of an asset may be appropriate for use as the replacement cost of an asset under certain circumstances. However, prior to using such historical cost information, the valuer should consider the following:

(a) timing of the historical expenditures: an entity’s actual costs may not be relevant, or may need to be adjusted for inflation/indexation to an equivalent as of the valuation date, if they were not incurred recently due to changes in market prices, inflation/deflation or other factors,

(b) the basis of value: care must be taken when adopting a particular market participant’s own costings or profit margins, as they may not represent what typical market participants might have paid. The valuer must also consider the possibility that the entity’s costs incurred may not be historical in nature due to prior purchase accounting or the purchase of used plant and equipment PEI assets. In any case, historical costs must be trended using appropriate indices,

(c) specific costs included: the valuer must consider all significant costs that have been included and whether those costs contribute to the value of the asset and for some bases of value, some amount of profit margin on costs incurred may be appropriate,

(d) non-market components: any costs, discounts or rebates that would not be incurred by, or available to, typical market participants should be excluded.

70.3 90.03 Having established the replacement cost, deductions must be made to reflect the physical, functional, technological and economic obsolescence as applicable (see IVS 103 Valuation Approaches and Methods, section 80 Appendix A30.15–A30.22).

Cost-to-Capacity Method

70.4 90.04 Under the cost-to-capacity method, the replacement cost of an asset with an actual or required capacity can be determined by reference to the cost of a similar asset with a different capacity.

70.5 90.05 The cost-to-capacity method is generally used in one of two ways:

(a) to estimate the replacement cost for an asset or assets with one capacity where the replacement costs of an asset or assets with a different capacity are known (such as when the capacity of two subject assets could be replaced by a single asset with a known cost, or

(b) to estimate the replacement cost for a modern equivalent asset
with capacity that matches foreseeable demand where the subject asset has excess capacity (as a means of measuring the penalty for the lack of utility to be applied as part of an economic obsolescence adjustment).

70.6 90.06 This method may only could be used as a primary method for determining replacement cost on a top-down basis, or could may only be used as a check method to the replacement cost determined on a bottom-up basis. However, the unless there is an existence of an exact comparison plant of with the same designed capacity that resides within the same geographical area would always take preference over a cost-to-capacity method.

70.7 90.07 It is noted that the relationship between cost and capacity is often not linear, so some form of exponential adjustment may also be required. However, the valuer should exercise caution in performing this adjustment when large differences in capacity are being used as evidence relative to the subject asset as this may not lead to credible outcomes.

Trending Method

90.08 Trending is a method of estimating an asset’s reproduction cost by applying an index (trend factor) to the asset’s historical cost which reflects the price inflation/deflation of the asset over time.

90.09 Historical cost comprises the expenditure that was involved in acquiring the asset when it was first placed into service by its first owner. This is to be distinguished from original cost, which is the actual cost of a property when acquired by its present owner, who may not be the first owner and who may have purchased the asset at a price greater or less than the historical cost.

90.10 Indices may be obtained from statistical offices or similar government agencies, institutions or research organisations. Selection of the most appropriate indices is crucial when using the trending method.

90.11 Whilst the application of a trending method (often termed an indirect method which involves the application of indexing) can be an appropriate way to determine replacement cost when using the cost approach, care should be taken in relation to the following:

(a) trending should not be applied to anything other than a previously determined direct replacement cost or the historical cost (the cost of an asset when it was first placed into service by its first owner),

(b) historical costs represent a range of direct and indirect costs (ie, equipment, labour, delivery, electrical, foundations, buildings, IT, etc) that might not correlate to a certain index,

(c) trending long-dated historical costs can create erroneous and anomalous outcomes because of the various factors that impact indices over time,

(d) using an index/trend that is derived in different jurisdictions to the subject asset can create erroneous and anomalous outcomes
because of the various factors that impact indices in differing jurisdictions,

(e) trending historical costs using a local index/trend for assets that were sourced in a foreign jurisdiction where there have been exchange rate movements over time.

90.12 In all instances, professional judgement is required to ensure the trending method to determine replacement cost as part of a cost approach is appropriate having consideration to the nature of the valuation being performed. If it is likely to lead to erroneous or anomalous valuation outcomes, the application of alternate approaches to determine replacement cost must be utilised (ie, a direct approach to estimating replacement cost).

100. Data and Inputs

100.01 In accordance with IVS 104 Data and Inputs, the valuer must maximise the characteristics of relevant and observable data to the degree that it is possible.

100.02 In addition to the requirements contained within IVS 104 Data and Inputs there is the following hierarchy of comparable evidence, which should be followed for PEI valuations:

(a) direct comparable evidence,
(b) indirect comparable evidence,
(c) general market data,
(d) other sources.

100.03 When applying the hierarchy of comparable evidence, the valuer must ensure that the characteristics of suitable data and inputs contained within IVS 104 Data and Inputs are fully applied.

100.04 The inputs selected must be consistent with the models being used to value the asset (see IVS 104 Data and Inputs, para 40.01).

100.05 The selection, source and use of the inputs must be explained, justified, and documented.

100.06 Significant ESG factors associated with the value of an asset should be considered as part of the data and input selection process.

110. Valuation Models

110.01 In accordance with IVS 105 Valuation Models, the valuer must maximise as many of the characteristics of suitable valuation models, as possible.

110.02 Valuation models must be suitable for the intended use of the valuation and consistent with suitable inputs.

120. Documentation and Reporting

120.01 In addition to the minimum requirements in IVS 103–106 Documentation and Reporting, a valuation report on plant and equipment must be issued for a valuation and must include
appropriate references to all matters addressed in the agreed scope of work (see IVS 101 Scope of Work). The report must also include comment on the effect on the reported value of any associated tangible or intangible assets excluded from the actual or assumed transaction scenario, e.g. operating software for a machine or a continued right to occupy the land on which the item is situated.

20.12 Moreover, in addition to the requirements contained within IVS 103 106 Documentation and Reporting, paras 40.01-40.03 a valuation review report must be issued for a valuation review and the valuation review report must state whether the review is a valuation process review or a value review. Valuations of plant and equipment are often required for different purposes including financial reporting, leasing, secured lending, disposal taxation, litigation and insolvency proceedings.

80. Special Considerations for Plant and Equipment

80.01 The following section Financing Arrangements addresses a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of plant and equipment PEI.

Allocation of value

130.02 Further to IVS 102 Bases of Value, section 70 and this standard, where a group of assets have been valued as part of a portfolio, but allocated on an individual basis, the valuer must explicitly state that this is the case and provide rationale as to their allocation methodology.

90. Financing Arrangements

90.01 Generally, the value of an asset is independent of how it is financed. However, in some circumstances the way items of plant and equipment are financed and the stability of that financing may need to be considered in valuation.

90.02 An item of plant and equipment may be subject to a leasing or financing arrangement. Accordingly, the asset cannot be sold without the lender or lessor being paid any balance outstanding under the financing arrangement. This payment may or may not exceed the unencumbered value of the item to the extent unusual/excessive for the industry. Depending upon the purpose of the valuation, it may be appropriate to identify any unencumbered assets and to report their values separately from the unencumbered assets.

90.03 Items of plant and equipment that are subject to operating leases are the property of third parties and are therefore not included in a valuation of the assets of the lessee, subject to the lease meeting certain conditions. However, such assets may need to be recorded as their presence may impact on the value of owned assets used in association. In any event, prior to undertaking a valuation, the valuer should establish (in conjunction with Client and/or advisors) whether assets are subject to operating lease, finance lease or loan, or other secured lending. The conclusion on this regard and wider purpose of the valuation will then dictate the appropriate basis and valuation methodology.
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10. **Overview**

10.01 The principles contained in the General Standards apply to *valuations* of real property interests. This standard *includes modifications, contains additional requirements or specific examples of how the General Standards apply to valuations* to which this standard applies. *Valuations* of real property interests *must* also follow the applicable standard for that type of *asset and/or liability* (see IVS 300 *Plant, Equipment and Infrastructure* and IVS 410 *Development Property*, where applicable).

20. **Introduction**

20.01 Property interests are normally defined by state or the law of individual *jurisdictions* and are often regulated by national or local legislation. In some instances, legitimate individual, communal/community and or collective or tribal land and urban/rural informal settlements and/or transition economies, which can take the form of possession, occupation and rights to use.

20.02 A real property interest is a right of ownership, control, use or occupation of land and buildings. A real property interest includes informal tenure rights for communal/community and or collective or tribal land and urban/rural informal settlements and/or transition economies, which can take the form of possession, occupation and rights to use.

20.03 There are three main types of interest:

(a) the superior interest in any defined area of land. The owner of this interest has an absolute right of possession and control of the land and any buildings upon it in perpetuity, subject only to any subordinate interests and any statutory or other legally enforceable constraints,

(b) a subordinate interest that normally gives the holder rights of exclusive possession and control of a defined area of land or buildings for a defined period, eg, under the terms of a lease contract, and/or

(c) a right to use land or buildings but without a right of exclusive possession or control, eg, a right to pass over land or to use it only for a specified activity.

20.04 *Intangible assets* fall outside the classification of real property *assets and/or liabilities*. However, an *intangible asset* may be associated with, and have a material impact on, the cash flows associated with real property *assets*. It is therefore essential to be clear in the scope of work precisely what the *intended use of the valuation* valuation assignment is to include or exclude. For example, the valuation of a hotel can be inextricably linked to the hotel brand. In such cases, the valuation process will involve consideration of the inclusion of intangible assets and their impact on the valuation of the real property and plant and equipment assets. When there is
an intangible asset component, the valuer should also follow IVS 210 Intangible Assets.

20.4 20.05 Although different words and terms are used to describe these types of real property interest in different jurisdictions, the concepts of an unlimited absolute right of ownership, an exclusive interest for a limited period or a non-exclusive right for a specified intended use are common to most. The immovability of land and buildings means that it is the right that a party holds that is transferred in an exchange, not the physical land and buildings. The value, therefore, attaches to the legal interest rather than to the physical land and buildings.

20.06 Valuations of real property interests are often required for different intended uses including secured lending, sales and purchases, taxation, litigation, compensation, insolvency proceedings and financial reporting.

30. Valuation Framework

30.01 In accordance with IVS 100 Valuation Framework, the valuer must comply with the valuer principles (see IVS 100 Valuation Framework, section 10).

40. Scope of Work

20.5 40.01 To comply with the requirement to identify the asset and/or liability to be valued in IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.03 (a) the following matters must be included:

(a) a description of the real property interest to be valued, and
(b) identification of any superior or subordinate interests or right to use that affect the interest to be valued.

40.02 In accordance with requirements contained within IVS 101 Scope of Work, sections 20 and 30, investigations made during the course of a valuation engagement must be appropriate for the intended use of the valuation engagement and the basis(es) of value. In the case of a valuation review the scope of work must state whether the review is a valuation process review or a value review.

This section includes sections moved from the previous edition of IVS 102 Investigations and Compliance

IVS-102 20.2 40.03 Sufficient investigations and evidence must be assembled by means such as inspection, inquiry, research, computation and or analysis to ensure that the valuation is properly supported. When determining the extent of investigations and evidence necessary, professional judgement is required to ensure the information to be obtained is adequate it is fit for the purpose of the valuation.

IVS-102 20.4 40.04 When a valuation assignment engagement involves reliance
on information supplied by a party other than the valuer, consideration should be given as to whether the information is credible or that the information may otherwise be relied upon without adversely affecting the credibility of the valuation opinion. Significant inputs provided to the valuer (eg, by management/owners) should be considered, investigated and/or corroborated. In cases where credibility or reliability of information supplied cannot be supported, consideration should be given as to whether or how such information is used (see IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.1(j)).

IVS 102 20.5 40.05 In considering the credibility and reliability of information provided, the valuer should consider matters such as:

(a) the purpose intended use of the valuation,

(b) the significance of the information to the valuation conclusion,

(c) the expertise of the source in relation to the subject matter, and

(d) whether the source is independent of either the subject asset and/or the recipient of the valuation (see IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.31 (a)).

IVS 102 20.6 40.06 The purpose intended use of the valuation, the basis of value, the extent and limits on the investigations and any sources of information that may be relied upon, are part of the valuation assignment’s engagement’s scope of work that must be communicated to all parties to the valuation assignment (see IVS 101 Scope of Work).

IVS 102 20.7 40.07 If, during the course of an assignment engagement, it becomes clear that the investigations or limitations included in the scope of work will not result in a credible valuation, or information to be provided by third parties is either unavailable or inadequate, or limitations on investigations such as inspections are so substantial that the valuer cannot sufficiently evaluate the inputs and assumptions, the valuation assignment will not comply with it will not result in a valuation outcome that is adequate for the purpose of the valuation, the valuation must explicitly state that the valuation is not in compliance with IVS (see IVS 100 Valuation Framework, section 40 and IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.03).

20.6 40.08 In addition to To comply with the requirements to state the extent of the investigation and the nature and source of the information to be relied upon in IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.3 (j) and IVS 102 Investigations and Compliance, the following matters should be considered:

(a) the evidence, if available, required to verify the real property interest and any relevant related interests,

(b) the extent of any inspection,
(c) responsibility for information on the site area, site characteristics (eg, ground condition), building characteristics or and building floor areas,

(d) responsibility for information on the area, characteristics (eg, soil conditions) and productivity generating attributes of land (eg, fertility of the soil, plantation area),

(e) responsibility for confirming the specification and condition of any building,

(f) responsibility for confirming the specification and condition of the plantation, vegetation, forest or crop,

(g) responsibility for confirming the quantity and quality of reserves and any extraction and remedial measures post extraction,

(h) the extent of investigation into the nature, specification and adequacy of services and facilities,

(i) the existence of any information on ground conditions and soil conditions,

(j) responsibility for the identification of actual or potential environmental factors, and

(k) legal permissions or restrictions on the use of the property and any buildings, as well as any expected or potential changes to legal permissions and restrictions.

20.7 40.09 Typical examples of special assumptions that may need to be agreed and confirmed in order to comply with IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.03 (k) and IVS 102 Bases of Value, para 50.04 include but are not limited to:

(a) that a defined physical change had occurred, eg, a proposed building is valued as if complete at the valuation date,

(b) that there had been a change in the status of the property, eg, a vacant building had been leased or a leased building had become vacant at the valuation date,

(c) that the interest is being valued without taking into account other existing interests,

(d) that the property is free from contamination or other environmental risks,

(e) that the economic activity will continue into perpetuity, and

(f) that planning permission will be granted for the proposed change of use.

20.8 Valuations of real property interests are often required for different purposes including secured lending, sales and purchases, taxation, litigation, compensation, insolvency proceedings and financial reporting.

30. 50. Bases of Value

30.1 50.01 In accordance with IVS 104 102 Bases of Value, the valuer must select the appropriate basis(es) of value for the intended use when valuing real property interests.
30.2 50.02 Under most bases of value, the valuer must consider the highest and best use of the real property, which may differ from its current use (see IVS 404 102 Bases of Value, para 39.3 Appendix A90–A120). This assessment is particularly important to real property interests which can be changed from one use to another or that have development potential.

50.03 In addition to the requirements contained within IVS 102 Bases of Value, section 70, on allocation of value, if the sum-of-the-value of the individual allocated components differs from the value of the assets and/or liabilities on an aggregate basis, then the valuer should expressly state the primary reason(s) for the difference.

40. 60. Valuation Approaches and Methods

40.4 60.01 The three valuation approaches described in IVS 405–103 Valuation Approaches and Methods can all be applicable for the valuation of a real property interest.

40.2 60.02 When selecting an approach and method, in addition to the requirements of this standard, the valuer must follow the requirements of IVS 405 103 Valuation Approaches and Methods, including paras 10.03 and 10.04.

50. 70. Market Approach

50.01 70.01 Property interests are generally heterogeneous (i.e., with different characteristics). Even if the land and buildings have identical physical characteristics to others being exchanged in the market, the location will be different. Notwithstanding these dissimilarities, the market approach is commonly applied for the valuation of real property interests.

50.2 70.02 In order to compare the subject of the valuation with the price of other real property interests, the valuer should adopt generally accepted and appropriate units of comparison that are considered by participants, dependent upon the type of asset and/or liability being valued. Units of comparison that are commonly used might include:

(a) price per square metre (or per square foot) of a building or per hectare (or per acre) for land,

(b) price per room, and

(c) price per unit of output (e.g., megawatt, crop yields).

50.3 70.03 A unit of comparison is only useful when it is consistently selected and applied to the subject property and the comparable properties in each analysis. To the extent possible, any unit of comparison used should be one commonly used by participants in the appropriate relevant market.

50.4 70.04 The reliance that can be applied to any comparable price data in the valuation process is determined by comparing various characteristics of the property and transaction from which the data was derived with the property being valued. Differences between the following should
be considered in accordance with IVS 405-103 Valuation Approaches and Methods, para 30.8 Appendices A10.01-10.08. Specific differences that should be considered in valuing real property interests include, but are not limited to:

(a) the type of interest providing the price evidence and the type of interest being valued,
(b) the respective locations,
(c) the respective quality of the land, or
(d) the age and specification of the buildings improvements,
(e) the permitted use or zoning at each property,
(f) the circumstances under which the price was determined and the basis of value required,
(g) the effective date of the price evidence and the valuation date, and
(h) market conditions at the time of the relevant transactions and how they differ from conditions at the valuation date.

80. Income Approach

80.01 Various methods are used to indicate value under the general heading of the income approach, all of which share the common characteristic that the value is based upon an actual or estimated income that either is, or could be, generated by an owner of the interest. In the case of an investment property, that income could be in the form of rent (see paras 90.1-90.3 IVS 104 Data and Inputs and IVS 105 Valuation Models); in an owner-occupied building, it could be an assumed rent (or rent saved) based on what it would cost the owner to lease equivalent space.

80.02 For some real property interests, the income-generating ability of the property is closely tied to a particular use or business/trading activity (for example, cinemas, retirement or care homes, clinics, hotels, golf courses, etc). Where a building is suitable for only a particular type of trading activity, the income is often related to the actual or potential cash flows that would accrue to the owner of that building from the trading activity. The use of a property's trading potential to indicate its value is often referred to as the “profits method” (see following para 80.03).

80.03 When the potential income used in the income approach represents cash flow from a business/trading activity (rather than cash flow related to rent, maintenance and other real property-specific costs), and includes intangible assets then this is no longer solely a real property interest valuation and the valuer should also comply as appropriate with the requirements of IVS 200 Businesses and Business Interests and, where applicable, IVS 210 Intangible Assets.

80.04 For real property interests, various forms of discounted cash flow models may be used. These vary in detail but share the basic characteristic that the cash flow for a defined future period is
adjusted to a present value using a *discount rate*. The sum of the present day values for the individual periods represents an estimate of the capital value. The *discount rate* in a discounted cash flow model will be based on the time cost of money and the risks and rewards of the income stream in question.

60.5 80.05 Further information on the derivation of *discount rates* is included in IVS 105 paras 50.29-50.31-103 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, Appendix A20.29-A20.40. The development of a yield or *discount rate* should be influenced by the objective of the *valuation*. For example:

(a) if the objective of the *valuation* is to establish the *market value*, the *discount rate* may be derived from observation of the returns implicit in the *price* paid for real property interests traded in the market between participants or from hypothetical participants’ required rate of return. When a *discount rate* is based on an analysis of market transactions, the *valuer* should also follow the guidance contained in IVS 103 *Valuation Approaches*, Appendix A10.07 and A10.08, and

(b) if the objective of the *valuation* is to establish the *market value* to a particular owner or potential owner based on their own investment criteria, the rate used may reflect their required rate of return or their weighted-average-cost-of-capital.

60.6 80.06 An appropriate *discount rate* may also be built up from a typical “risk-free” return adjusted for the additional risks and opportunities specific to the particular real property interest.

70. 90. **Cost Approach**

70.1 90.01 In applying the cost approach, the *valuer must* follow the guidance contained in IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, paras 70.1-70.14 Appendix A30.

70.2 90.02 This approach is generally applied to the *valuation* of real property interests through the depreciated replacement cost method (see IVS 103 *Valuation Approaches*, Appendix A30).

70.3 90.03 It may be used as the primary approach when there is either no evidence of transaction *prices* for similar property or no identifiable actual or notional income stream that would accrue to the owner of the relevant interest.

70.4 90.04 In some cases, even when evidence of market transaction *prices* or an identifiable income stream is available, the cost approach may be used as a secondary or corroborating approach.
70.5 90.05 The first step requires a replacement cost to be calculated. This is normally the cost of replacing the property with a modern equivalent at the relevant valuation date. An exception is where an equivalent property would need to be a replica of the subject property in order to provide a participant with the same utility, in which case the replacement cost would be that of reproducing or replicating the subject building rather than replacing it with a modern equivalent. The replacement cost must reflect all incidental costs, as appropriate, such as the value of the land, infrastructure, design fees, finance costs and developer profit that would be incurred by a participant in creating an equivalent asset.

70.6 90.06 The cost of the modern equivalent must then, as appropriate, be subject to adjustment for physical, functional, technological and economic obsolescence (see IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, section 80 Appendix A30). The objective of an adjustment for obsolescence is to estimate how much less valuable the subject property might, or would be, to a potential buyer than the modern equivalent. Obsolescence considers the physical condition, functionality and economic utility of the subject property compared with the modern equivalent.

100. Data and Inputs

100.01 In accordance with IVS 104 Data and Inputs, the valuer must maximise the use of relevant and observable data to the degree that it is possible.

100.02 In addition to the requirements contained within IVS 104 Data and Inputs there is the following hierarchy of comparable evidence, which should be followed for real property interest valuations:

(a) direct comparable evidence,
(b) indirect comparable evidence,
(c) general market data,
(d) other sources.

100.03 When applying the hierarchy of comparable evidence, the valuer must ensure that the characteristics of suitable data and inputs contained within IVS 104 Data and Inputs are fully applied.

100.04 The inputs selected must be consistent with the models being used to value the asset and/or liability (see IVS 104 Data and Inputs, section 40).

100.05 The selection, source and use of the inputs must be explained, justified, and documented.

100.06 Significant ESG factors associated with the value of an asset should be considered as part of the data and input selection process.

110. Valuation Models

110.01 In accordance with IVS 105 Valuation Models, the valuer must maximise as many of the characteristics of suitable valuation models,
as possible.

110.02 Valuation models must be suitable for the intended use of the valuation and consistent with suitable inputs.

120. Documentation and Reporting

120.01 In addition to the requirements contained within IVS 106 Documentation and Reporting, section 30, a valuation report must be issued for a valuation and must include appropriate references to all matters addressed in the agreed scope of work (see IVS 101 Scope of Work). The report must also include comment on the effect on the reported value of any associated tangible or intangible assets excluded from the actual or assumed transaction scenario.

120.02 Moreover, in addition to the requirements contained within IVS 106 Documentation and Reporting, section 40, a valuation review report must be issued for a valuation review and the valuation review report must state whether the review is a valuation process review or a value review.

80. 130. Special Considerations for Real Property Interests

80. 130.01 The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of real property interests.

(a) Hierarchy of Interests (section 90 140),
(b) Rent (section 150).

90. 140. Hierarchy of Interests

90. 140.01 The different types of real property interests are not mutually exclusive. For example, a superior interest may be subject to one or more subordinate interests. The owner of the absolute interest may grant a lease interest in respect of part or all of his interest. Lease interests granted directly by the owner of the absolute interest are “head lease” interests. Unless prohibited by the terms of the lease contract, the holder of a head lease interest can grant a lease of part or all of that interest to a third party, which is known as a sub-lease interest. A sub-lease interest will always be shorter than, or coterminous with, the head lease out of which it is created.

90. 140.02 These property interests will have their own characteristics, as illustrated in the following examples:

(a) Although an absolute interest provides outright ownership in perpetuity, it may be subject to the effect of subordinate interests. These subordinate interests could include leases, restrictions imposed by a previous owner or restrictions imposed by statute.

(b) A lease interest will be for a defined period, at the end of which the property reverts to the holder of the superior interest out of which it was created. The lease contract will normally impose obligations on the lessee, eg, the payment of rent and other expenses. It may also impose conditions or restrictions, such as
in the way the property may be used or on any transfer of the interest to a third party.

(c) A right of use may be held in perpetuity or may be for a defined period. The right may be dependent on the holder making payments or complying with certain other conditions.

90.3 140.03 When valuing a real property interest it is therefore necessary to identify the nature of the rights accruing to the holder of that interest and reflect any constraints or encumbrances imposed by the existence of other interests in the same property. The sum of the individual values of various different interests in the same property will frequently differ from the value of the unencumbered superior interest.

150. Rent

150.01 Market rent is addressed as a basis of value in IVS 104 Bases of Value.

150.02 When valuing either a superior interest that is subject to a lease or an interest created by a lease, the valuer must consider the contract rent and, in cases where it is different, the market rent.

150.03 The contract rent is the rent payable under the terms of an actual lease. It may be fixed for the duration of the lease or variable. The frequency and basis of calculating variations in the rent will be set out in the lease and must be identified and understood in order to establish the total benefits accruing to the lessor and the liability of the lessee.
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10. Overview

10.01 The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of development property. This standard includes modifications, additional requirements or specific examples of how the General Standards apply to valuations to which this standard applies. Valuations of development property must also follow the applicable standard for that type of asset and/or liability (see IVS 400 Real Property Interests and IVS 300 Plant, Equipment, and Infrastructure, where applicable.)

20. Introduction

20.01 In the context of this standard, development properties are defined as interests where redevelopment is required to achieve the highest and best use, or where improvements are either being contemplated or are in progress at the valuation date and include:

(a) the construction of buildings,
(b) previously undeveloped land which is being provided with infrastructure (see IVS 300 Plant, Equipment and Infrastructure),
(c) the redevelopment of previously developed land,
(d) the improvement or alteration of existing buildings or structures,
(e) land allocated for development in a statutory plan or by the permission of the relevant authorities, and
(f) land allocated for higher value uses or higher density in a statutory plan or by the permission of the relevant authorities.

20.02 Valuations of development property may be required for different purposes-intended uses. It is the valuer's responsibility to understand the purpose of a valuation intended use. A non-exhaustive list of examples of circumstances that may-should require a development valuation includes but is not limited to:

(a) when establishing whether proposed projects are financially feasible,
(b) as part of general consulting and transactional support engagements for acquisition and loan security,
(c) for tax reporting purposes, development valuations are frequently needed for ad valorem taxation analyses,
(d) for litigation requiring valuation analysis in circumstances such as shareholder disputes and damage calculations,
(e) for financial reporting purposes, valuation of a development property is often required in connection with accounting for business combinations, asset acquisitions and sales, and impairment analysis, and
(f) for other statutory or legal events that may require the valuation of development property such as compulsory purchases.

20.03 When valuing development property, the valuer must follow the applicable standard for that type of asset and/or liability (for example,
20.04 The residual value or land value of a development property can be very sensitive to changes in assumptions or projections concerning the income or revenue to be derived from the completed project or any of the development costs that will be incurred. This remains the case regardless of the method or methods used or however diligently the various inputs are researched in relation to the valuation date (see IVS 104 Data and Inputs).

20.05 This sensitivity also applies to the impact of significant changes in either the costs of the project or the value on completion. If the valuation is required for an purpose intended use where significant changes in value over the duration of a construction project may be of concern to the user (e.g., where the valuation is for loan security or to establish a project’s viability), the valuer must highlight the potentially disproportionate effect of possible changes in either the construction costs or end value on the profitability of the project and the value of the partially completed property. A sensitivity analysis may be useful for this purpose intended use provided it is accompanied by a suitable explanation.

30. Valuation Framework
30.01 In accordance with IVS 100 Valuation Framework, the valuer must comply with the valuer principle.

40. Scope of Work
40.01 In addition to the requirements contained within IVS 101 Scope of Work, sections 20 and 30, investigations made during the course of a valuation must be appropriate for the intended use of the valuation and the basis(es) of value. In the case of a valuation review the scope of work must state whether the review is a valuation process review or a value review.

This section includes sections moved from the previous edition of IVS 102 Investigations and Compliance

IVS-102 20.2 40.02 Sufficient investigations and evidence must be assembled by means such as inspection, inquiry, research, computation and or analysis to ensure that the valuation is properly supported. When determining the extent of investigations and evidence necessary, professional judgement is required to ensure the information to be obtained is adequate it is fit for the purpose of the valuation.

IVS-102 20.4 40.03 When a valuation assignment engagement involves reliance on information supplied by a party other than the valuer, consideration should be given as to whether the information is credible or that the information may otherwise be relied
upon without adversely affecting the credibility of the valuation opinion. Significant inputs provided to the valuer (eg, by management/owners) should be considered, investigated and/or corroborated. In cases where credibility or reliability of information supplied cannot be supported, consideration should be given as to whether or how such information is used (see IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.01 (j)).

In cases where credibility or reliability of information supplied cannot be supported, consideration should be given as to whether or how such information is used. In considering the credibility and reliability of information provided, the valuer should consider matters such as:

(a) the purpose intended use of the valuation,
(b) the significance of the information to the valuation conclusion,
(c) the expertise of the source in relation to the subject matter, and
(d) whether the source is independent of either the subject asset and/or subject liability and/or the recipient of the valuation (see IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.3 20.01 (a)).

The purpose intended use of the valuation, the basis of value, the extent and limits on the investigations and any sources of information that may be relied upon are part of the valuation’s scope of work that must be communicated to all parties to the valuation assignment (see IVS 101 Scope of Work).

If, during the course of an assignment valuation, it becomes clear that the investigations included in the scope of work will not result in a credible valuation, or information to be provided by third parties is either unavailable or inadequate, or limitations on investigations are so substantial that the valuer cannot sufficiently evaluate the inputs and assumptions, the valuation will not comply with IVS (see IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.01).

Bases of Value

In accordance with IVS 104-102 Bases of Value, the valuer must select the appropriate basis(es) of value for the intended use when valuing development property.

However, in considering the value of a development property, regard should be given to the probability that any contracts in place, eg, for construction or for the sale or leasing of the completed project, may become void or voidable in the event of one of the parties being the subject of formal insolvency proceedings. Further regard should be given to any contractual obligations that may have a material impact on market value. Therefore, it may be appropriate to highlight the risk to a lender caused by a prospective buyer of the property not having the benefit of existing building contracts and/or pre-leases,
and pre-sales and any associated warrantees and guarantees in the event of a default by the borrower.

30.2 50.03 The valuation of development property often includes a significant number of assumptions and special assumptions regarding the condition or status of the project when complete. For example, special assumptions may be made that the development has been completed or that the property is fully leased. As required by IVS 101 Scope of Work, significant assumptions and special assumptions used in a valuation must be communicated to all parties to the valuation engagement and must be agreed and confirmed in the scope of work. Particular care may also be required where reliance may be placed by third parties on the valuation outcome.

30.3 50.04 Frequently it will be either impracticable or impossible to verify every feature of a development property which could have an impact on potential future development, such as where ground conditions have yet to be investigated. When this is the case, it may be appropriate to make assumptions (e.g., that there are no abnormal ground conditions that would result in significantly increased costs). If this was an assumption that a participant would not make, it would need to be presented as a special assumption.

30.4 50.05 In situations where there has been a change in the market since a project was originally conceived, a project under construction may no longer represent the highest and best use of the land. In such cases, the costs to complete the project originally proposed may be irrelevant as a buyer in the market would either demolish any partially completed structures or adapt them for an alternative project. The value of the development property under construction would need to reflect the current value of the alternative project and the costs and risks associated with completing that project.

30.5 50.06 For some development properties, the property is closely tied to a particular use or business/trading activity or a special assumption is made that the completed property will trade at specified and sustainable levels. In such cases, the valuer must, as appropriate, also comply with the requirements of IVS 200 Business and Business Interests and, where applicable, IVS 210 Intangible Assets.

50.07 Special assumptions used for valuation of a development property must follow IVS 102 Bases of Value, section 60.

40. 60. Valuation Approaches and Methods

40.1 60.01 There are three principal valuation main valuation approaches and one main valuation method in relation to the valuation of development property. described in IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods may all be applicable for the valuation of a real property interest. There are two main approaches in relation to the valuation of development property. These are:

(a) the market approach (see section 50 70),
(b) the income approach (see section 80),
(c) the cost approach (see section 90), and

(d) the residual method, which is a hybrid of the market approach, the income approach and the cost approach (see sections 40-79 section 100). (This is based on the completed “gross development value” and the deduction of development costs and the developer’s return to arrive at the residual value of the development property (see section 90).

40.2 60.02 When selecting a valuation approach and valuation method, in addition to the requirements of this standard, the valuer must follow the requirements of IVS (see 105-103 Valuation Approaches and Methods, including para 10.3 10.04).

40.3 60.03 The valuation approach to be used will depend on the required basis of value as well as specific facts and circumstances, eg, the level of recent transactions, the stage of development of the project and movements in property markets since the project started, and should always be that which is most appropriate to those circumstances. Therefore, the exercise of judgement in the selection of the most suitable approach is critical.

50. 70. Market Approach

50.1 70.01 Some types of development property can be sufficiently homogenous and frequently exchanged in a market for there to be sufficient data from recent sales to use as a direct comparison where a valuation is required (see para 100.09-100.16 of this standard).

50.2 70.02 In most markets, the market approach may have limitations for larger or more complex development property, or smaller properties where the proposed improvements are heterogeneous. This is because the number and extent of the variables between different properties make direct comparisons of all variables inapplicable, although correctly adjusted market evidence (See IVS 105 103 Valuation Approaches and Methods, section 20.5) may be used as the basis for a number of variables within the valuation.

50.3 70.03 For development property where work on the improvements has commenced but is incomplete, the application of the market approach is even more problematic. Such properties are rarely transferred between participants in their partially-completed state, except as either part of a transfer of the owning entity or where the seller is either insolvent or facing insolvency and therefore unable to complete the project. Even in the unlikely event of there being evidence of a transfer of another partially-completed development property close to the valuation date, the degree to which work has been completed would almost certainly differ, even if the properties were otherwise similar.

50.4 70.04 The market approach may also be appropriate for establishing the value of a completed property as one of the inputs required under the residual method, which is explained more fully in the section on the residual method (section 99 100 of this standard).
60.  **Income Approach**

60.1  80.01 Establishing the residual value of a development property may involve the use of a cash flow model in some markets (see paras 100.09-100.16 of this standard).

60.2  80.02 The income approach may also be appropriate for establishing the value of a completed property as one of the inputs required under residual method, which is explained more fully in the section on the residual method (see section 90 100 of this standard).

70.  **Cost Approach**

70.1  90.01 Establishing the development costs is a key component of the residual approach (see para 90.5 section 100 of this standard).

70.2  90.02 The cost approach may also exclusively be used as a means of indicating the value of development property such as a proposed development of a building or other structure and infrastructure for which there is no active market on completion.

70.3  90.03 The cost approach is based on the economic principle that a buyer will pay no more for an asset than the amount to create an asset of equal utility. To apply this principle to development property, the valuer must consider the cost that a prospective buyer would incur in acquiring a similar asset with the potential to earn a similar profit from development as could be obtained from development of the subject property. However, unless there are unusual circumstances affecting the subject development property, the process of analysing a proposed development and determining the anticipated costs for a hypothetical alternative would effectively replicate either the market approach or the residual method as described above, which can be applied directly to the subject property.

70.4  90.04 Another difficulty in applying the cost approach to development property is in determining the profit level, which is its “utility” to a prospective buyer. Although a developer may have a target profit at the commencement of a project, the actual profit is normally determined by the value of the property at completion. Moreover, as the property approaches completion, some of the risks associated with development are likely to reduce, which may impact on the required return of a buyer. Unless a fixed price has been agreed, profit is not determined by the costs incurred in acquiring the land and undertaking the improvements.

80.  **Special Considerations for a Development Property**

80.1: The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of development property:

(a) Residual Method (section 90);
(b) Existing Asset (section 100);
(c) Special Considerations for Financial Reporting (section 110);
(d) Special Considerations for Secured Lending (section 120).
100. Residual Method

100.01 The residual method is normally a combination of market approach, income approach and cost approach.

100.02 The market approach and/or the income approach may be appropriate for estimating the gross development value of a property as one of the inputs required under the residual method.

100.03 The residual method is so called because it indicates the residual amount after deducting all known or anticipated costs required to complete the development from the anticipated value of the project when completed after consideration of the risks associated with completion of the project. This is known as the residual value.

100.04 The residual value can be highly sensitive to relatively small changes in the forecast cash flows and the practitioner should provide separate sensitivity analyses for each significant factor.

100.05 Caution is required in the use of this method because of the sensitivity of the result to changes in many of the inputs, which may not be precisely known on the valuation date, and therefore have to be estimated with the use of assumptions.

100.06 The models used to apply the residual method vary considerably in complexity and sophistication, with the more complex models allowing for greater granularity of inputs, multiple development phases and sophisticated analytical tools. The most suitable model will depend on the size, duration and complexity of the proposed development.

100.07 In applying the residual method, the valuer should consider and evaluate the reasonableness and reliability of the following:

(a) the source of information on any proposed building or structure, eg, any plans and specification that are to be relied on in the valuation,
(b) any source of information on the construction and other costs that will be incurred in completing the project and which will be used in the valuation, and
(c) any source of information on the estimation of yield/discount rate that will be used in the valuation.

100.08 The following basic elements should be considered in the application of the residual method (see IVS 104 Data and Inputs) to estimate the market value of development property and if another basis is required, alternative inputs may be required.

(a) completed property value,
(b) construction costs,
(c) consultants’ fees,
(d) statutory fees,
(d) marketing costs,
(e) timetable,
(f) finance costs,
(g) development profit (on both land and building),
(i) contingency,
(j) discount rate.

**Value of Completed Property**

90.7 100.09 The first step requires an estimate of the value of the relevant interest in the real property following notional completion of the development project, which should be developed in accordance with IVS 405 103 Valuation Approaches and Methods.

90.8 100.10 Regardless of the methods adopted under either the market or income approach, the valuer must adopt one of the two basic underlying assumptions:

(a) the estimated market value on completion is based on values that are current on the valuation date on the special assumption the project had already been completed in accordance with the defined plans and specification, or

(b) the estimated value on completion is based on the special assumption that the project is will be completed in accordance with the defined plans as of the valuation date and specification on the anticipated date of completion.

90.9 100.11 Market practice and availability of relevant data and inputs should determine which of these assumptions is more appropriate. However, it is important that there is clarity as to whether current or projected values are being used.

90.10 100.12 If estimated gross development value is used, it should be made clear that these are based on special assumptions that a participant would make based on information available on the valuation date.

90.11 100.13 It is also important that care is taken to ensure that consistent assumptions are used throughout the residual value calculation, ie, if current values are used then the costs should also be current and discount rates derived from analysis of current prices.

90.12 100.14 If there is a pre-sale or pre-lease agreement in place that is conditional on the project, or a relevant part, being completed, this will be reflected in the valuation of the completed property. Care should be taken to establish whether the price in a pre-sale agreement or the rent and other terms in a pre-lease agreement reflect those that would be agreed between participants on the valuation date.
100.15 If the terms are not reflective of the market, adjustments may need to be made to the valuation.

100.16 It would also be appropriate to establish if these agreements would be assignable to a purchaser of the relevant interest in the development property prior to the completion of the project.

**Construction Costs**

100.17 The costs of all work required at the valuation date to complete the project to the defined specification need to be identified. Where no work has started, this will include any preparatory work required prior to the main building contract, such as the costs of obtaining statutory permissions, demolition or off-site enabling work.

100.18 Where work has commenced, or is about to commence, there will normally be a contract or contracts in place that can provide the independent confirmation of cost. However, if there are no contracts in place, or if the actual contract costs are not typical of those that would be agreed in the market on the valuation date, then it may be necessary to estimate these costs reflecting the reasonable expectation of participants on the valuation date of the probable costs.

100.19 The benefit of any work carried out prior to the valuation date will be reflected in the value but will not determine that value. Similarly, previous payments under the actual building contract for work completed prior to the valuation date are not relevant to current value.

100.20 In contrast, if payments under a building contract are geared to the work completed, the sums remaining to be paid for work not yet undertaken at the valuation date may be the best evidence of the construction costs required to complete the work.

100.21 However, contractual costs may include special requirements of a specific end user and therefore may not reflect the general requirements of participants.

100.22 Moreover, if there is a material risk that the contract may not be fulfilled (eg, due to a dispute or insolvency of one of the parties), it may be more appropriate to reflect the cost of engaging a new contractor to complete the outstanding work.

100.23 When valuing a partly completed development property, it is not appropriate to rely solely on projected costs and income contained in any project plan or feasibility study produced at the commencement of the project.

100.24 Once the project has commenced, this is not a reliable tool for measuring value as the inputs will be historic. Likewise, an approach based on estimating the percentage of the project that has been completed prior to the valuation date is unlikely to be relevant in determining the current market value.
Consultants’ Fees

90.23 100.25 These include legal and professional costs that would be reasonably incurred by a participant at various stages through the completion of the project.

Statutory fees

100.26 These are the fees associated with getting necessary permissions and approvals, which include but are not limited to building approvals, environmental clearance and fire safety.

Marketing Costs

90.24 100.27 If there is no identified buyer or lessee for the completed project, it will normally be appropriate to allow for the costs associated with appropriate marketing, and for any leasing commissions and consultants’ fees incurred for marketing not included under para 90.23 100.25 of this standard.

Timetable

90.25 100.28 The duration of the project from the valuation date to the expected date of physical completion of the project needs to be considered, together with the phasing of all cash outflows for construction costs, consultants’ fees, etc.

90.26 100.29 If there is no sale agreement in place for the relevant interest in the development property following practical completion, an estimate should be made of the marketing period that might typically be required following completion of construction until a sale is achieved.

90.27 100.30 If the property is to be held for investment after completion and if there are no pre-leasing agreements, the time required to reach stabilised occupancy needs to be considered (ie, the period required to reach a realistic long-term occupancy level). For a project where there will be individual letting units, the stabilised occupancy levels may be less than 100 percent if market experience indicates that a number of units may be expected to always be vacant, and allowance should be considered for costs incurred by the owner during this period such as additional marketing costs, incentives, maintenance and/or unrecoverable service charges.

Finance Costs

90.28 100.31 These represent the cost of finance for the project from the valuation date through to the completion of the project, including any period required after physical completion to either sell the interest or achieve stabilised occupancy. As a lender may perceive the risks during construction to differ substantially from the risks following completion of construction, the finance cost during each period may also need to be considered separately. Even if an entity is intending to self-fund the project, an allowance should be made for interest at a rate which would be obtainable by a participant for borrowing to fund the completion of the project on the valuation date.
Development Profit

Allowance should be made for development profit, or the return that would be required by a buyer of the development property in the market place for taking on the risks associated with completion of the project on the valuation date. This will include the risks involved in achieving the anticipated income or capital value following physical completion of the project. Development profit should be considered for both land as well as building(s).

This target profit can be expressed as a lump sum, a percentage return on the costs incurred on purchase of land as well as construction of the building/structure or a percentage of the anticipated value of the project on completion or a rate of return. Market practice for the type of property in question will normally indicate the most appropriate option. The amount of profit that would be required will reflect the level of risk that would be perceived by a prospective buyer on the valuation date and will vary according to factors such as:

(a) the stage which the project has reached on the valuation date. A project which is nearing completion will normally be viewed as being less risky than one at an early stage, with the exception of situations where a party to the development is insolvent,

(b) whether a buyer or lessee has been secured for the completed project, and

(c) the size and anticipated remaining duration of the project. The longer the project, the greater the risk caused by exposure to fluctuations in future costs and receipts and changing economic conditions generally.

The following are examples of factors that typically need to be considered in an assessment of the relative risks associated with the completion of a development project:

(a) unforeseen complications that increase construction costs,

(b) potential for contract delays caused by adverse weather or other matters outside of the developer’s control,

(c) delays in obtaining statutory consents approvals,

(d) supplier failures,

(e) entitlement risk and changes in entitlements over the development period,

(f) changes in environmental, social and governance requirements in relation to the proposed development,

(g) regulatory changes,

(h) delays in finding a buyer or lessee for the completed project,

(i) delays in obtaining funding for the project, and

(j) discovery of irregularities in documentation such as deed or land titling during or post project commencement.
90.32 100.35 Whilst all of the above factors will impact the perceived risk of a project and the profit that a buyer or the development property would require, care must be taken to avoid double counting, either where contingencies are already reflected in the residual \textit{valuation model} or risks in the \textit{discount rate} used to bring future cash flows to present value.

90.33 100.36 The risk of the estimated value of the completed development project changing due to changed market conditions over the duration of the project will normally be reflected in the \textit{discount rate} or capitalisation rate used to value the completed project.

90.32 100.37 The profit anticipated by the owner of an interest in development property at the commencement of a development project will vary according to the \textit{valuation} of its interest in the project once construction has commenced. The \textit{valuation should} reflect those risks remaining at the \textit{valuation date} and the discount or return that a buyer of the partially completed project would require for bringing it to a successful conclusion.

\textit{Discount Rate}

90.35 100.38 In order to arrive at an indication of the \textit{value} of the development property on the \textit{valuation date}, the residual method requires the application of a \textit{discount rate} to all future cash flows in order to arrive at a net present value. This \textit{discount rate} may be derived using a variety of methods (see IVS 405–103 \textit{Valuation Approaches and Methods}, paras 50.30–50.39 Appendix A20.29–A20.40).

90.36 100.39 If the cash flows are based on \textit{values} and \textit{costs} that are current on the \textit{valuation date}, the risk of these changing between the \textit{valuation date} and the anticipated completion date should be considered and reflected in the \textit{discount rate} used to determine the present value. If the cash flows are based on prospective values and \textit{costs}, the risk of those projections proving to be inaccurate should be considered and reflected in the \textit{discount rate}.

100. 110. \textit{Existing Asset}

100.4 110.01 In the \textit{valuation} of development property, it is necessary to establish the suitability of the real property in question for the proposed development. Some matters may be within the \textit{valuer’s} knowledge and experience but some may require information or reports from other \textit{specialists}. Matters that typically need to be considered for specific investigation when undertaking a \textit{valuation} of a development property before a project commences include:

(a) whether or not there is a market for the proposed development,
(b) \textbf{is} whether the proposed development of the highest and best use of the property in the current market,
(c) whether there are other non-financial obligations that need to be considered (political, \textit{environmental} or social criteria),
(d) legal permissions or zoning, including any conditions or constraints on permitted development,
(e) limitations, encumbrances or conditions imposed on the relevant interest by private contract,
(f) rights of access to public highways or other public areas,
(g) geotechnical conditions, including potential for contamination or other environmental risks,
(h) the availability of, and requirements to, provide or improve necessary services, eg, water, drainage, sewerage and power,
(i) the need for any off-site infrastructure improvements and the rights required to undertake this work,
(j) any archaeological constraints or the need for archaeological investigations,
(k) sustainability and any client requirements in relation to green buildings,
(l) economic conditions and trends and their potential impact on costs and receipts during the development period,
(m) current and projected supply and demand for the proposed future uses,
(n) the availability and cost of funding,
(o) the expected time required to deal with preparatory matters prior to starting work, for the completion of the work and, if appropriate, to rent or sell the completed property, and
(p) any other risks associated with the proposed development.

110.2 Where a project is in progress, additional enquires or investigations will typically be needed into the contracts in place for the design of the project, for its construction and for supervision of the construction.

120. Data and Inputs

120.01 In accordance with IVS 104 Data and Inputs, the valuer must maximise the characteristics of relevant and observable data to the degree that it is possible.

120.02 In addition to the requirements contained within IVS 104 Data and Inputs, the following hierarchy of comparable evidence should be followed for development property valuations:

(a) direct comparable evidence,
(b) indirect comparable evidence,
(c) general market data,
(d) other sources.

120.03 When applying the hierarchy of comparable evidence the valuer must ensure that the characteristics of suitable data and inputs contained within IVS 104 Data and Inputs are fully applied.

120.04 The inputs selected must be consistent with the valuation models being used to value the asset and/or liability (see IVS 104 Data and Inputs).
120.05 The selection, source and use of the inputs must be explained, justified, and documented.

120.06 Significant ESG factors associated with the value of an asset should be considered as part of the data and input selection process.

130. Valuation Models

130.01 In accordance with IVS 105 Valuation Models, the valuer must maximise as many of the characteristics of suitable valuation models, as possible.

130.02 Valuation models must be suitable for the intended use of the valuation and consistent with suitable inputs.

140. Documentation and Reporting

140.01 In addition to the minimum requirements in IVS 106 Documentation and Reporting, section 30, a valuation report on development property must include appropriate references to all matters addressed in the agreed scope of work (see IVS 101 Scope of Work). The report must also include comment on the effect on the reported value of any associated tangible or intangible assets excluded from the actual or assumed transaction scenario.

140.02 Moreover, in addition to the requirements contained within IVS 106 Documentation and Reporting, section 40, a valuation review report must be issued for a valuation review and the valuation review report must state whether the review is a valuation process review or a value review.

110. Special Considerations for Financial Reporting

110.1. The accounting treatment of development property can vary depending on how it is classified by the reporting entity (eg, whether it is being held for sale, for owner occupation or as investment property). This may affect the valuation requirements and therefore the classification and the relevant accounting requirements need to be determined before selecting an appropriate valuation method.

110.2. Financial statements are normally produced on the assumption that the entity is a going concern. It is therefore normally appropriate to assume that any contracts (eg, for the construction of a development property or for its sale or leasing on completion), would pass to the buyer in the hypothetical exchange, even if those contracts may not be assignable in an actual exchange. An exception would be if there was evidence of an abnormal risk of default by a contracted party on the valuation date.

150. Special Considerations for Secured Lending

150.01 The appropriate basis of value for secured lending is normally market value. However, in considering the value of a development property, regard should be given to the probability that any contracts in place, eg, for construction or for the sale or leasing of the completed project may become void or voidable in the event of one of the parties being the subject of formal insolvency proceedings. Further
regard should be given to any contractual obligations that may have a material impact on market value. Therefore, it may be appropriate to highlight the risk to a lender caused by a prospective buyer of the property not having the benefit of existing building contracts and/or pre-leases, and pre-sales and any associated warrantees and guarantees in the event of a default by the borrower.

420.2 150.02 To demonstrate an appreciation of the risks involved in valuing development property for secured lending or other intended uses, the valuer should apply a minimum of two appropriate and recognised methods to valuing development property for each valuation project, as this is an area where there is often “insufficient factual or observable inputs for a single method to produce a reliable conclusion” (see IVS 405–103 Valuation Approaches and Methods, para 404 10.05).

420.3 150.03 The valuer must be able to justify the selection of the valuation approach(es) reported and should provide an “as is” (existing stage of development) and an “as proposed” (completed development) value (see IVS 400 Real Property Interests) for the development property and record the process undertaken and a rationale for the reported value (see IVS 403 106 Documentation and Reporting, paras 30.1–30.2 section 30).
10. **Objective**

10.01 The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of financial instruments. This standard contains additional requirements or specific examples of how the General Standards may apply for valuations of financial instruments in the areas of data and inputs, valuation methods and valuation models, and quality control.
20. **Scope**

20.01 This asset standard **must** be applied in all **valuations** of financial instruments used for, but not limited to, financial, tax, or regulatory reporting.

30. **Valuation of Financial Instruments**

30.01 There are a number of approaches to valuing financial instruments. In certain cases, **values** for financial instruments are observable and readily available based on published trades in the exact security. In other cases, **values** are developed using industry-standard models based on **inputs** and adjustments with varying degrees of observability. For more complex or less liquid products, **values** may require bespoke models or be developed using internally-developed **inputs** or assumptions. In determining **values**, **professional judgements** may be required in the areas of **data** and **inputs**, **valuation models**, and quality controls. Depending on the nature of the financial instrument being valued, as well as the frequency and the complexity of the **valuation**, the **valuer** may implement a range of processes which are highly automated using systematic mappings and **data** feeds, to others that are highly manual and subjective.

30.02 The **valuer must** use **professional judgement** to determine the nature and extent of effort that is performed to develop a **value** that is consistent with the scope of work and **intended use**. The **valuer must** design, implement, and execute processes in the **valuation**, including quality controls, that appropriately address features of the financial instrument being valued, **data**, **valuation models** and other infrastructure required to value the financial instrument. In applying this, the **valuer must** understand the contractual, structural, and performance features of the financial instrument to be valued, as well as its liquidity and other information in the market and economic environment as of the **valuation date**, such as legal or regulatory factors, potentially impacting the **value**.

30.03 **Valuation risk** exists in the **valuation** of financial instruments. As such, throughout the **valuation**, procedures and controls **must** be put in place that enable **valuation risk** to be assessed and managed to help ensure that the **value** is appropriate for its **intended use**. Any **significant valuation risk** identified during the design, implementation, or execution of the **valuation must** have quality controls to address that risk and **should** have an appropriate level of review and challenge.

30.04 If the **valuer** does not possess the necessary technical skills, experience, **data**, models, or knowledge to perform all aspects of a **valuation**, the **valuer should** seek the assistance of a **specialist** or a **service organisation** providing this is agreed by the client and disclosed.

30.05 The **valuer may consider delegating aspects of a valuation to specialists or service organisations** either within or outside of the valuer’s organisation. To perform a **valuation** in these circumstances, the **valuer must** inform these parties of the nature of the work to be performed. In order to assert compliance with IVS on the **value**, the **valuer must** determine that these parties have performed their specific procedures in a manner that is consistent with IVS or perform incremental procedures to comply with IVS.
30.06 As part of a valuation, quality controls must be in place. Quality controls should include a degree of review and challenge. Review and challenge should assess the process implemented and judgements made during the valuation and in determining the value, including review of work performed by specialist or service organisations. In those circumstances in which review and challenge is performed, the processes should be performed by an individual or function that has appropriate skills and experience in valuing financial instruments.

40. Data and Inputs

40.01 This section supplements IVS 104 Data and Inputs, adding greater detail as it relates to financial instruments.

40.02 A broad range of data, assumptions, and adjustments are used in developing inputs used in valuations for financial instruments. Inputs are derived from data, along with assumptions and adjustments, to develop a value.

40.03 Data, assumptions, and adjustments should be based on factual information, when available. Valuations should use observable data, such as published prices and yields, but may also require the use of assumptions and adjustments.

40.04 The characteristics of the data, assumptions, and adjustments used in developing inputs must be understood by the valuer.

40.05 The valuer is responsible for assessing and selecting relevant data, assumptions, and adjustments to be used as inputs in the valuation based upon professional judgement and professional scepticism. The valuer must determine the data that is relevant, which for the purposes of IVS 500 Financial Instruments means “fit for use” in terms of the asset and/or liability being valued, the scope of work, the valuation method, and the intended use.

40.06 In circumstances where directly relevant data is not available and therefore proxy data is used, the valuer must assess that the various instruments to be used as proxies are sufficiently comparable to the asset and/or liability being valued based on professional judgement.

40.07 A specialist or a service organisation may be used to obtain either data, assumptions, or adjustments to develop inputs. The valuer, however, remains ultimately responsible for selecting inputs appropriate for the valuation.

40.08 Processes and controls must be implemented to ensure that the selection of data, assumptions, and adjustments in the valuation, along with the inputs ultimately used, is relevant to value the assets and/or liabilities in accordance with the scope of work, the valuation method and the intended use. Such processes and controls should be documented.

40.09 Individuals with the appropriate experience must be responsible for identifying and ensuring that appropriate data, assumptions and
adjustments are incorporated in the design, implementation and execution of the valuation.

40.10 For a valuation to produce a value consistent with the intended use, a valuation must use inputs that are relevant for the valuation approach for the financial instrument.

40.11 The use of data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs inherently presents valuation risk. Valuation risk may arise due to:

(a) the use of inappropriate data, assumption, adjustments or inputs, or
(b) the misapplication of data, assumptions, and adjustments or inputs.

There are two types of valuation risk for data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs. Those that are transparent and operational, and those that are generally related to assumptions made by the valuer. In developing inputs, any significant valuation risk should be mitigated.

50. Characteristics of Data and Inputs for Financial Instruments

50.01 The identification and selection of relevant data and inputs and applying them appropriately is an important part of the valuation to produce values consistent with the scope of work and intended use.

50.02 The valuer must apply professional judgement to balance the characteristics of relevant data listed below in order to choose the inputs used in the valuation. The characteristics of relevant data are shown below.

(a) accurate: data are free from error and bias and reflect the characteristics that they are designed to measure,
(b) complete: the set of data is sufficient to address the attributes of the assets and/or liabilities,
(c) timely: data reflect the market conditions as of the valuation date,
(d) transparent: the source of the data can be traced from their origin.

50.03 In certain cases, the data may not incorporate all of these characteristics. Therefore, the valuer must assess data and conclude, based on professional judgement, that the data, including any assumptions or adjustments, is relevant to value the asset and/or liability in accordance with the scope of work, valuation method, valuation model and intended use.

60. Selecting Inputs

60.01 It is the valuer who is responsible for evaluating the data, assumptions, and adjustments used to develop inputs used to execute the valuation and to develop the resulting value. The valuer must be aware of market conventions to be able to determine the appropriateness of data, assumptions and adjustments that are used to develop inputs as of a valuation date. Conventions, such as quoted prices, spread or yield, ticks or basis points, and cash flow assumptions, must be understood and appropriately incorporated into the valuation.

60.02 The valuer must identify and assess the source of data, assumptions, and adjustments to develop inputs to determine any limitations or bias. This
includes data and inputs that are internally sourced and acquired externally from service organisations and specialists.

60.03 Inputs must be selected from relevant data, assumptions, and adjustments in the context of the asset and/or liability being valued, the scope of work, the valuation method, the valuation model and intended use.

60.04 Inputs must be sufficient for the valuation models being used to value the asset and/or liability based on the valuer using professional judgement.

60.05 The valuer must consider whether data, assumptions, adjustments or inputs are significant to the valuation and the resulting value when determining the efforts to obtain such information, including the relevancy of any proxy data used.

60.06 To the extent the valuer is unable to develop significant inputs that are “fit for use”, the valuer should pursue other methodologies to perform the valuation or consider its ability to perform the valuation appropriate for the intended use.

60.07 When valuing portfolios or groups of similar assets and/or liabilities, the valuer should assess whether the inputs are appropriately consistent across those portfolios or group.

60.08 If a valuation is recurring over time and certain data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs may be collected and used over time, they must be reassessed as of any valuation date to determine if they continue to be suitable.

60.09 If significant inputs are inadequate or cannot be sufficiently justified, the valuation would not comply with IVS.

70. Using Data and Inputs

70.01 The valuer must determine that data, assumptions, adjustments, and inputs are appropriate for the intended use as of the valuation date. As such, the valuer must perform quality control procedures over the data assumptions, adjustments, and inputs used for the valuation. Such procedures must address any significant valuation risks associated with the data and controls. A set of procedures may include but not be limited to quantitative testing by comparing with authoritative sources, qualitative or quantitative testing of sources of data or inputs, gaps, identifying outliers or performing factor attribution which correlates changes in data with changes in valuation results.

70.02 The valuer must consider whether data, assumptions, adjustments, or inputs are significant to the valuation and the resulting value when determining the efforts to perform quality controls.

70.03 The valuer must ensure that quality controls over data, assumptions, adjustments, and inputs exist throughout the valuation. This includes data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs that are internally sourced and acquired externally from service organisations and specialists.
70.04 The valuer should use data and inputs that are as contemporaneous as possible to the valuation date. As such, the valuer must design and implement quality controls to assess the timeliness of data and eliminate stale data:

(a) In the absence of timely data, the valuer should consider data that can be reasonably believed to approximate the data that would have been timely. For example, the valuer’s judgement determines which is the best proxy of the valuation date.

(b) If data, assumptions, adjustments, or inputs are not as of the valuation date, the valuer must assess if these are suitable, as well as the need for the additional quality controls. For example, historical data may be appropriate to develop inputs for a specific financial instrument. The valuer should assess that such data is relevant for the intended use.

(c) For recurring valuations, the valuer must reassess data, assumptions, adjustments, or inputs as of any valuation date to determine if they continue to be suitable. There is no consistent timeframe at which data, assumptions, adjustments or inputs might not be suitable since it will depend on the data being used and the market conditions at the time of their derivation and their use in the valuation. For proxies, whether the degree of similarity remains valid should be assessed.

70.05 Since data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs can be provided or used by various parties across a valuation process, individuals with the appropriate experience must be responsible for identifying and ensuring that these data elements are reflected appropriately in the valuation. Once data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs have been determined to be appropriate, they should not be altered or amended unless they go through a rigorous quality control process. If the valuer uses a data set that is altered, the original data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs set should remain available for comparison.

80. Documentation for Data and Inputs

80.01 The valuer must document the basis for conclusion on the overall quality of the significant data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs used in the valuation. Such documentation must include sources, steps and why the valuer decided to use such data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs. In addition, the documentation should include a description of any quality controls implemented.

80.02 The documentation must be adequate to allow another valuer, applying professional judgement, to understand the scope of the valuation, the work performed, and the conclusions reached.

80.03 The procedures of the review and challenge function should be documented to allow another valuer to assess the degree of work performed and the basis for conclusions drawn.

80.04 For recurring valuations, the valuer must explain and document the basis for the significant data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs used, including significant changes that occurred and why they were appropriate.
90. Valuation Models Overview

90.01 This section supplements IVS 105 Valuation Models, adding greater detail as it relates to financial instruments.

90.02 The objective of this section of this standard is to set out the requirements pertaining to the appropriate selection and use of models in a valuation.

90.03 A valuation model is a quantitative implementation of a method in whole or in part that converts inputs into outputs used in the development of a value.

90.04 A valuation model may rely on other valuation models to derive its inputs or adjust its outputs.

90.05 A valuation model may be developed internally or sourced externally from a specialist or a service organisation.

90.06 Individuals with the appropriate experience must be responsible for developing implementing, testing and using valuation models.

100. Characteristics of Appropriate Valuation Models

100.01 For a valuation to produce values consistent with the intended use, a valuation must use valuation models that are suitable for the valuation approach for the financial instrument.

100.02 The valuer must determine that the valuation model is appropriate, which for the purposes of IVS 500 Financial Instruments means “fit for use” in terms of assets and/or liabilities being valued, the scope of work, and the valuation method.

100.03 The valuer must apply professional judgement to balance the characteristics of a valuation model shown below:

(a) accuracy: the valuation model is free from error and functions in a manner consistent with the objectives of the valuation,

(b) completeness: the valuation model addresses all the features of the asset and/or liability to determine value,

(c) timeliness: the valuation model reflects the market conditions as of the valuation date,

(d) transparency: all persons preparing and relying on the valuation model must understand how the valuation model works and its inherent limitations.

100.04 In certain cases, the valuation model may not incorporate all of these characteristics. Therefore, the valuer must assess and conclude whether the valuation model is appropriate to value the assets and/or liabilities in accordance with the scope of work, the valuation method and intended use.

110. Valuation Model Selection

110.01 The process of selecting a valuation model that is for the intended use involves professional judgement. The potential for error in valuation models necessitates the importance of sound and comprehensive processes.
around valuation model development (see IVS 105 Valuation Models, section 40):

(a) the selection of an appropriate valuation model should include the following processes:

(i) design, develop, and implement: determining the appropriate valuation approaches and techniques,

(ii) test and calibrate to the market (ie, recent transactions or quotes): ensure that the implementation is consistent with the intended use,

(iii) document: documenting the policies and procedures undertaken around the entire model development process and consistent with the valuation's intended use and any limitations or adjustments.

(b) processes should be in place when relying on valuation models developed by a specialist or a service organisation to assess such models to a similar level as an internally developed model.

120. Testing a Valuation Model

120.01 Valuation models must be tested prior to use. Testing a valuation model is integral in determining whether the various components and its overall function are performing as intended, and must include:

(a) appropriateness for its intended use,

(b) the suitability of the inputs used by the valuation model,

(c) mathematical accuracy,

(d) operational accuracy (ie, data links, etc),

(e) robustness (ie, the model outputs respond appropriately over a range of inputs and if there are any limitations).

120.02 The nature of testing and analysis will depend on the type of valuation model and underlying financial instrument being valued. A variety of tests will likely be required to develop an appropriate valuation model. If valuation model testing reveals the valuation model is not suitable for its intended use, the valuation model must be remediated or rejected.

120.03 The valuer must understand a valuation model's capabilities and limitations given its simplifications and assumptions. Limitations come in part from weaknesses in the valuation model due to its shortcomings, approximations, and uncertainties. Limitations are also a consequence of assumptions underlying a valuation model that may restrict the scope to a limited set of specific circumstances and situations.

120.04 Testing should be conducted to assess the potential limitations of a valuation model and to evaluate its behaviour over a range of inputs. Testing must also assess the impact of assumptions and identify situations where a valuation model is not fit for its intended use or becomes unreliable. Testing must be applied under a variety of market conditions, including scenarios that are outside the range of ordinary expectations. Extreme
scenarios must be evaluated to identify any boundaries of valuation model effectiveness.

120.05 An appropriate valuation model must have documented evidence supporting significant modelling choices, including the valuation methodology, valuation modelling assumptions, inputs, and specific mathematical calculations. As part of this process, significant inputs to the valuation model should be subjected to analysis by both evaluating the quality and extent of the valuation model and conducting additional analysis and testing as necessary. The following are core validation processes around evaluating conceptual soundness:

(a) assessing whether the valuation model is consistent with the scope of work and intended use,
(b) comparison of valuation methodologies adopted to alternative theories and approaches,
(c) modelling assumptions must be assessed, with analysis of their impact on valuation model outputs and limitations,
(d) the relevance and reliability of data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs used by the valuation model must be evaluated.

120.06 If testing indicates that a valuation model may be inaccurate or unstable, there must be policies in place that call for the valuation model to be either modified, have limitations placed on its use, replaced, or abandoned.

120.07 Qualitative information and professional judgement used in a valuation model must be evaluated, including the logic, modelling assumptions, and types of inputs used, to establish the conceptual soundness of the valuation model and set appropriate conditions for its use. The validation process must ensure that qualitative and professional judgement assessments are conducted in an appropriate and systematic manner, are supported, and are documented.

120.08 Maintaining a suitable valuation model requires a monitoring process that involves periodic reviews, undertaken by qualified and objective reviewers, to an extent that is appropriate for the level of valuation risk associated with the continued use of the valuation model.

120.09 There should be procedures for responding to any deficiencies that are discovered during the monitoring process.

120.10 For valuation models that are relied upon on an ongoing basis or in the case of multi-use models, regular monitoring must be performed to evaluate whether they continue to be fit for their intended use.

120.11 Ongoing monitoring must be performed periodically, with a frequency appropriate to the nature of the model usage, the availability of new data or modelling approaches, changes in the market environment, and the magnitude of the valuation risk involved.

120.12 A process must be in place to monitor the maintenance of an appropriate valuation model’s core characteristics, including:

(a) ongoing review of appropriateness,
120.13 Any ongoing monitoring should include many of the tests employed as part of the initial valuation model development process:

(a) operational accuracy: there must be process verification checks that all valuation model components are functioning as designed and continue to be operationally accurate. Tests must also be conducted to assess ongoing model robustness and stability,

(b) input verification: there must be a process to verify that all valuation model inputs remain complete, reasonable, and accurate, and continue to represent the highest quality available,

(c) model control: valuation models must be subject to change control procedures to ensure that the model logic is correct. Change control procedures should address approval requirements, documenting changes and subsequent validation. Model overrides (impacting valuation model inputs or outputs) should be monitored and assessed to determine whether they are valid and have been appropriately documented. Model overrides need to be tracked and analysed to assess their impact on model performance. Some model overrides may indicate that a valuation model is not performing as intended or has limitations.

120.14 An ongoing monitoring process evaluates the impact of change relative to the original valuation model development parameters and environment. Valuation models must be evaluated to determine whether changes in the financial instrument itself, intended use of the valuation, or market conditions necessitate adjustment, redevelopment, or replacement of the valuation model.

120.15 An ongoing monitoring process should also consider new information as it becomes available, particularly if it was not available during the original valuation model development process. New empirical evidence or theoretical research may suggest the need to modify or even replace original methods.

120.16 Any valuation model limitations and sensitivities identified in the development process must be regularly assessed as part of the ongoing monitoring. If valuation models are known to only work for certain ranges of input values, market conditions, or other factors, they must be monitored to identify situations where these constraints are approached or exceeded. As part of the ongoing monitoring process, depending on the availability of benchmarking information, it may be appropriate to compare a given valuation model’s outputs relative to estimates from alternative internal or external models. Discrepancies between the outputs from a valuation model to benchmarks should trigger investigation into the sources and degree of the differences, and examination of whether they are within an expected or appropriate reasonable range given the nature of the comparison. The results of a benchmark analysis may suggest revisions to a valuation model; however, differences do not necessarily indicate that a valuation model is in error. A benchmark itself is an alternative prediction,
and the differences may be due to differences in the data or method used. Rather, if a valuation model and benchmark match well, that is evidence in favour of the valuation model.

120.17 If significant deficiencies are identified in the valuation model as part of quality control processes, including review and challenge, the resulting value is not IVS compliant.

130. Documentation for Valuation Models

130.01 Documentation should be sufficient to provide a record of the valuation and include sufficient information to describe the valuation conclusion reached, such that the valuer applying professional judgement is able to understand and review the valuation (see IVS 105 Valuation Models, section 50).

130.02 There should be documentation of significant inputs to the valuation model including details of model design, development, implementation, and testing.

130.03 The valuer must document all relevant valuation information based upon the intended use, including accounting, legal, and regulatory requirements, recognising that there is professional judgement as to the evidence that should be included.

130.04 Documentation should be sufficiently detailed so that parties unfamiliar with a valuation model, such as valuation model users, can understand how the valuation model operates, its limitations, and its key assumptions.

130.05 An appropriate valuation model must have documentation that includes the following information:

(a) valuation methodology selection process, including theoretical approach and supporting research and alternatives assessed,
(b) valuation model design and formulae,
(c) limiting assumptions and conditions inherent in the valuation model,
(d) input selection process,
(e) nature and rational for judgmental assumptions,
(f) valuation model testing procedures and results,
(g) validation procedures and results (if applicable) and when it should be re-validated,
(h) valuation model limitations and mitigation of limitations, if they exist,
(i) conclusion and any qualifications if applicable.

140. Quality Control Overview

140.01 This quality control section supplements IVS 100 Valuation Framework, section 30, adding greater detail as it relates to financial instruments.

140.02 Quality controls are procedures that ensure the valuation is performed consistent with IVS. The nature and extent of the quality control process
depends on the intended use, intended user, the characteristics of the asset and/or liability being valued and the complexity of the valuation.

140.03 Quality controls may be automated and/or manual and may include but are not limited to data reviews, valuation model validations, independent recalculation, back testing, and fact checking.

140.04 Quality controls must be appropriately designed and executed in a manner that affirms the completeness and integrity of the valuation process and the appropriateness for the intended use of the conclusion of value.

140.05 Quality controls must be appropriately documented. Documentation must be adequate to allow the valuer applying professional judgement to understand the scope of the quality control, the work performed, and the conclusions reached.

140.06 For recurring valuations, quality controls must be periodically assessed to ensure that integrity and completeness of the control environment is appropriate as of the valuation date. The review process must be documented.

140.07 The valuer may delegate the performance of the quality control process (eg, engage a service organisation or a specialist) but cannot discharge their own accountability for the valuation and the value.

140.08 Quality controls should include a degree of review and challenge.

150. Characteristics of Appropriate Quality Control

150.01 In selecting and implementing quality controls, such controls must address the following:

(a) complete: valuations produce values that are sufficient to address attributes of the assets and/or liabilities,

(b) effective: successful in producing an IVS-compliant value,

(c) transparent: provide a record of the valuation and include sufficient information to describe the valuation conclusion reached, such that the valuer applying professional judgement is able to understand and review the valuation.

160. Application of Quality Control

160.01 Quality controls must be designed and implemented to help ensure that valuations are performed in compliance with IVS.

160.02 To achieve this, quality controls should confirm as of the valuation date that quality control processes have ensured the following:

(a) completeness of the population of instruments to be valued,

(b) accuracy of the financial instruments to be valued with sufficient descriptive details to perform the valuation,

(c) Quality control processes have been executed over:

(i) data, assumptions, adjustments and inputs,
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(ii) the selection of models to determine value,
(iii) manual or other interventions over the established process,
(iv) communication and documentation of the valuation process and the resultant value.

160.03 For valuations that include the delegation to other specialists or service organisations, the valuer must understand and assess the roles and responsibilities, the work performed, and the results reached.

160.04 Quality controls should be reassessed as of any valuation date since financial instruments and the environment in which they are valued can change over time.

170. Review and Challenge

170.01 Review and challenge is an assessment of the valuation or the value independent of the valuer. In performing a valuation, review and challenge should be performed to assess the reasonableness of the decisions made by the valuer throughout the valuation and compliance with IVS.

170.02 With respect to models, an independent validation should be performed to assess the appropriateness of the selected valuation model in line with design objectives and intended use, to determine if it is performing as designed, and whether valuation model limitations have been identified and the impact of limitations on value are understood.

170.03 A validation process should be performed by one or more individuals with sufficient knowledge, skills, and expertise relative to the financial instrument being valued. In addition, they should have the authority to effectively challenge the valuation model.

170.04 The extent and rigor of validation procedures should be commensurate with the intended use of the valuation model. The specific tests performed and their frequency are matters that depend on the circumstances and must be defined and appropriately set as part of the overall valuation.

170.05 For valuation models that are intended to be used on an ongoing basis, the validation process should continue periodically while the valuation model remains in use.

170.06 Validation procedures and the results of the validation must be documented and transparent to the valuer and users of the model in a timely manner.

170.07 Validation procedures and the results of the validation of third-party valuation models must be documented and transparent to the valuer and users of the valuation model in a timely manner.

180. Valuation Control Framework

180.01 For valuations with more complexity or involving multiple individuals or processes, the assignment of responsibilities must be documented to ensure that accountability for the execution of all components is clear by developing a valuation control framework.

180.02 The valuation control framework should address:
(a) clear definition of the roles and responsibilities of each party in the 
valuation,
(b) identification of responsible parties, including quality control and 
review and challenge, and confirmation that responsible parties 
have correct and sufficient capabilities and resources to fulfil their 
responsibilities,
(c) valuation assessment, escalation, and remediation procedures,
(d) the types and extent of valuation risk associated with the valuation,
(e) for each instrument type either directly identify or define attributes for 
each of the following:
   (i) data and inputs,
   (ii) valuation models,
   (iii) requirements for documentation across the valuation,
   (iv) timeline and frequency of valuations.

180.03 The valuer may delegate the performance of the process (eg, engage a 
service organisation or a specialist). The impact of such should be considered in the valuation control framework.

180.04 For recurring valuations, the valuation control framework should be reviewed and updated to help ensure they continue to be relevant. In addition, a review must be conducted in the event of significant changes to the financial instruments or their environment.

190. Valuation Execution

190.01 There must be a process in place to ensure the proper usage of inputs and valuation models to develop a value in accordance with the intended use. Proper usage should include an understanding of process to develop and use inputs and valuation models, along with any limitations, uncertainties, or inaccuracies.

190.02 There must be a process in place to assess the valuation for compliance with the scope of work and the value for its intended use.

190.03 Limitations, uncertainties, or inaccuracies must be assessed to determine whether the value has been developed appropriately for the intended use.

190.04 Calibration must be performed during a valuation. Calibration is a comparison of outputs from a valuation model with actual observed and or expected outcomes. Actual outcomes could include prices observed in secondary market trading or prices observed in originations. Expected outcomes may consist of established expected reasonable ranges of values as compared with implied valuation metrics or values from alternative valuation models. Expected outcomes may also consist of professional judgement to confirm whether the resultant values make sense.

190.05 A variety of quantitative and qualitative testing and analytical techniques should be used in the assessment of the calibration analysis. Tests should be based on a valuation model's methodology, its complexity, data availability, and the valuation risk relating to the valuation. Tests should be
190.05 If the analysis produces evidence of inappropriate inputs or valuation model performance, action must be taken to address the nature of the issue and understand the causes and remediation of the variance.

200. **Documentation**

200.01 Documentation must be sufficient to describe the quality controls implemented, including review and challenge (if any). The documentation must contain sufficient detail to be considered reasonable by the valuer applying professional judgement.

200.02 To the extent there are issues identified during the quality control process, including review and challenge, the issue(s) identified, along with the bias for decisions made and the resulting actions, should be documented.

200.03 For recurring valuations, documentation must be reviewed and updated at regular intervals to help ensure they continue to meet their objectives. In addition, a review must be conducted in the event of significant changes to the financial instruments or their environment.